

**IMO Group Office**  
Weststrasse 51  
CH-8570 Weinfelden  
Fon +41 (0) 71 626 0 626  
Fax +41 (0) 71 626 0 623  
info@imo.ch  
www.imo.ch



IMO Group Office Weststrasse 51 CH-8570 Weinfelden

CHO MOI 2 FISH FARM  
C.P. Viet Nam Corporation – Ben Tre Branch  
Village Long Hoa, Long Giang commune, Cho Moi district, An Giang province  
Vietnam

## Final Audit Report\*

---



CAB: Institute for Marketecology (IMO)  
Author: M.Stark  
Date: 02.08.13

*\*This report is for public release and does not contain any confidential information.*

## Table of Contents

Glossary .....	3
1. Executive Summary .....	4
2. CAB contact information .....	4
3. Background on the applicant farm .....	4
4. Scope.....	4
5. Audit plan.....	5
6. Findings .....	6
A description of the certification status can be found under section 8. of this report. ....	6
7. Evaluation results .....	7
8. Decision .....	7
9. Determination of the start of the COC .....	7
10. Non-conformity report(s) .....	8
11. Next scheduled audit.....	9
Annexes .....	10
Annex 1a. Evaluation results P1-6.....	10
Annex 1b. Evaluation results P7 .....	10
Annex 2. Classification of minor / major non-conformities .....	11
Annex 3. Form 1– Request for Interpretation or Variance .....	12
Annex 4. Stakeholder submissions.....	13

## Glossary

ASI	Accreditation Services International
CC	Certification Committee IMO
d	day(s)
IMO	Institute for Marketecology
TOS	Tori Spence
Lead	Lead Auditor
MIS	Michèle Stark
UOC	Unit of certification
TXS	Tran Xuan Sang
PTB	Phan Thanh Binh

## 1. Executive Summary

Any version of this report in any other language than English is an unverified translation, and in case of differences the English version shall take precedence.

Cho Moi 2 Fish Farm is located Village Long Hoa, Long Giang commune, Cho Moi district, An Giang province and belongs to the C.P. Viet Nam Corporation – Ben Tre Branch. The farm grows *Pangasius hypophthalmus* in ponds. The audit was carried out by two auditors in Vietnamese.

During the environmental assessment, two (2) majors, five (5) minors (7 corrected prior to publication of this report) and no recommendation was raised. During the social assessment, no major, six (6) minors (6 corrected prior to publication of this report) and two (2) recommendations were raised.

Besides the grow-out, the scope of the assessment includes the harvest, landing and subcontracted transport to processing. COC certification is required from the point of unloading from the boats at processing.

IMO determines that all the requirements of the standard are sufficiently met and has certified Cho Moi 2 Fish Farm.

.

## 2. CAB contact information

Institute for Marketecology (IMO)  
Fisheries & Aquaculture  
Weststr. 51  
8570 Weinfelden, Switzerland

Tel: 0041-71-626 0 626 (general)

Email: [aqua@imo.ch](mailto:aqua@imo.ch)

Website: [www.imo.ch](http://www.imo.ch)

## 3. Background on the applicant farm

Cho Moi 2 Fish Farm is applying for ASC Pangasius standard certification is owned by the C.P. Viet Nam Corporation – Ben Tre Branch. The farm is hired from three local farmers - Mr. Dang Huu Nghi, Mr. Dang Thanh Nhan and Tran Van Ba for fingerlings and grow-out fish. In which, the farm of Mr. Tran Van Ba – total area is 97933,6m<sup>2</sup> - is hired for grow-out ponds raised according to ASC standards - 12 ponds (6 grow out ponds, 2 sedimentation ponds, 1 waste water treatment, 2 empty ponds, 1 water pond).

Farms of Mr. Tran Van Ba has registered to and approved by Long Giang Commune, Cho Moi district, An Giang Province in 25.2.2013 for aquaculture according to the Decision No.11/2008/QD-UBND in 31.3.2008 issued by An Giang Province People Committee. The pond area registered is 55409m<sup>2</sup>.

Grow-out ponds and sedimentation ponds were established in 2006 while the others were established in 2007.

The farm shares water from Hau river with local communities. Effluent water was treated and biological methods. Socio-economics is fish growing, small trading and agriculture (rice).

There are ten employees working in the farm. The farm consists of an office, fish feed stores and worker accommodation. Most of the workers can stay in the farm and get accommodation and food.

## 4. Scope

The assessment was carried out against the ASC Pangasius Standard v1.0.

The species produced at the farm is *Pangasius hypophthalmus*

Audit scope: Cho Moi 2 Fish Farm (single site), *Pangasius*.

Receiving water bodies :Hau river.

## 5. Audit plan

action	locations	persons	dates*
Desk review: pre-audit data	IMO Head office	MIS	03.06.13
Audit (principle 1-6)	Cho Moi 2 Fish Farm	TXS (lead)	18 & 19 07.2013
Audit (principle 7)	Cho Moi 2 Fish Farm	PTB	18.07.2013
Stakeholder & community meetings/interviews	Local Government Office, Village Long Hoa	PTB	18.07.2013
Writing of the report	IMO Head office	MIS	02.08.13
Reviewing the report	IMO Head office	TOS	02.08.13
Client report to client	IMO Head office	TOS	28.08.13
Updating report	IMO Head office	TOS	30.8.2013
Draft public report to ASC	IMO Head office	TOS	30.8.2013
Stakeholder comments			10 days
Updating report	IMO Head office	TOS	13.09.2013
Certification decision	IMO Head office	TOS CC	01.10.2013
Final public report to ASC	IMO Head office	TOS	02.10.2013

\* The previous versions of the report are not public.

The audit was carried out with Mr. Le Minh Kha – Quality Manager, Mr. Kasem Phongmanee – Technical General Manager, Mr. Le Chau Quoc Phu – AAH, Mr. Khang – technical staff, and others joined parts of the audit, depending on their responsibility and the criteria being assessed.

Stakeholder and community interviews were carried out with the following persons:

Name	Affiliation
Nguyen Quoc Phu	Nhon My Commune staff
Vo Minh Viet	Farmer Leader - Nhon Hoa Commune
Hoang Trong Quoc	Nhon Hoa Commune
Nguyen Van Chum	Farmer at Nhon Hoa Commune
Truong Van Ut	Farmer at Nhon Hoa Commune
Nguyen Thi Ut	Farmer at Nhon Hoa Commune
Le Van Dung	Farmer at Nhon Hoa Commune
Nguyen Thi Tan	Farmer at Nhon Hoa Commune
Le Hoang Son	Farmer at Nhon Hoa Commune
Nguyen Van Khuynh	Farmer at Nhon Hoa Commune
Ngo Ba Thai	Long Hoa Commune
Nguyen Ngoc An	Long Hoa Commune
Pham Duy Hoang	Long Hoa Commune
Nguyen Duy Hoi	Local person - Long Hoa Commune
Nguyen Thanh Tra	Local person - Long Hoa Commune
Nguyen Duy Binh	Local person - Long Hoa Commune

First audit.

## 6. Findings

Details of the evidence of compliance found during the audit for each individual criteria of the standard can be found in Annex 1.

Any outstanding non-conformities and their respective action plans are listed under section 10. of this report. Any recommendations or closed non-conformities are not listed here and are part of Annex 1.

This farm established a whole set of social compliance procedures and policies according to the ASC standard, and already meet most of ASC standard requirements, both for documentation maintenance and on-site practice.

According to the local community interview with stakeholders, no negative comments about this farm were raised. Auditor interviewed 4 workers in this farm; the workers all claimed they were happy to work here and satisfied with payment, working conditions and also the working hours.

Community interviews further confirmed the audit findings and interviewed persons emphasized their support for this project.

For details of stakeholder submissions received throughout the certification process, please see Annex 4.

The harvest was overseen by the auditor prior to the audit (17 June 2013). The auditor was able to see the harvest as well as transporting to the boat to the processor for the ponds that were harvested.

All water measurements and analysis were done and found to be sufficiently compliant.

In general, the farm under assessment was well prepared for the audit with all pre-audit data available prior to the audit. The auditors had open access to all documentation, the farm and staff/workers as required. The farm is well managed and documented and staff trained to implement the internal procedures. The farm has good communicate with local persons.

A description of the certification status can be found under section 8. of this report.

## 7. Evaluation results

Details of the evidence of compliance for each criteria in the standard can be found in Annex 1.

## 8. Decision

IMO determines that Cho Moi 2 Fish Farm farm meets all the requirements of the standard and has issued a certificate for the scope defined under section 4. of this report. Any outstanding non-conformities and their respective action plans are listed under section 10. of this report.

## 9. Determination of the start of the COC

### Risk assessment - COC within the farm

L – low risk: no such activities or a controlled system in place (e.g. license)

M – medium risk: such activities occur within the farm but there is a good system in place

H – high risk: such activities occur, there is a risk of mixing and the system in place is not sufficient

Ref to CR	Integrity of certified products	Associated risk	rationale
17.5.1	System in use	M	Farms have set up in mid of 2012. The documentation is recorded
17.5.1.2	The opportunity of substitution prior to or at harvesting	L	Little incentive for substitution.
17.5.1.3	The possibility of introducing product from outside the unit of certification	L	There are some Pangasius farms close by. However, the possibility of introducing product from outside is low since these farms are belonged to other companies.

17.5.1.4	Robustness of the management system	L	Strong management system
17.5.1.5	Any transshipment activities taking place	L	Using motorbikes to take fishes to the boat. The harvest group is under CP staff control, not CP staff.
17.5.1.6	The number and/or location of points of harvest	M	It takes more or less minute to transport live fishes from the ponds to the boat after harvest.
	Overall risk estimation	L	

If the CAB determines the system is sufficient, products can enter into further certified chains of custody and be eligible to carry the ASC Label.

Scope of aquaculture certificate, including the points of change of ownership after which COC certification is needed:

Besides the grow out, the scope of the assessment includes the harvest, landing and subcontracted transport to processing. COC certification is required from the point of unloading from sealed tanks.

No retrospective approval has been applied for. Only products harvested as of the date of certification are approved to carry the ASC logo.

If the CAB determines the system is not sufficient, products may not enter into further certified chains of custody and are not eligible to carry the ASC Label.

The following products may not enter into further certified chains of custody and are not eligible to carry the ASC Label:

NA

This determination will remain in force until revised by the CAB in a subsequent audit.

## 10. Non-conformity report(s)

Producer: C.P. Viet Nam Corporation – Ben Tre Branch					
N° of CC	Year	Cat.	Non-conformity (summary)	Action plan	Deadline
			See the Annex 1a & 1b		

<i>N° of CC</i>	<i>Number of not fulfilled compliance criteria (e.g. 1.1.1). In case of doubts indicate at least chapter of report.</i>
<i>Year</i>	<i>First year when the non-conformity has been observed.</i>
<i>Cat.</i>	<i>Sanction Category: rate using rec, min or Maj</i>
<i>Non-conformity</i>	<i>Discrepancy to standard.</i>
<i>Action plan</i>	<i>Measure to correct non-conformity stated by company and to be approved by IMO. Implementation of corrective measure to be completed by deadline.</i>
<i>Deadline</i>	<i>Date when IMO will assess the implementation of the corrective measure.</i>
<i>Status</i>	<i>Status of implementation of corrective measure: done, partly done, not done</i>
<i>rec</i>	<i>Recommendation (no action plan required)</i>
<i>min</i>	<i>Minor non-conformity: see Annex 2</i>
<i>Maj</i>	<i>Major non-conformity: see Annex 2</i>



## 11. Next scheduled audit

Next planned surveillance audit; (year, month):	July 2014
Complete re-certification every three years; at the latest (year):	2016

IMO has the right to carry out additional unannounced audits according to the IMO standard operation procedures (SOPs). Likewise, an additional audit can be carried out within the framework of a document review.

### Operator's comments (optional):

None

The operator has confirmed their agreement with this report and has committed to implementing the action plan/corrective measures. The final certification decision is made by the responsible certification officer at IMO.

## **Annexes**

### **Annex 1a. Evaluation results P1-6**

Please see separate document. The following information is confidential and has been removed from the public report:

- Water monitoring data and analysis

### **Annex 1b. Evaluation results P7**

Please see separate document.

## **Annex 2. Classification of minor / major non-conformities**

### **Minor non-conformities**

a) For initial certification, the CAB may recommend the applicant for certification once an action plan to address non-conformity has been agreed to by both the client and the CAB.

i. The action plan shall include a brief description of:

A. The root cause(s) of the non-conformity

B. The corrective action(s) to be taken is intended to satisfactorily address the non-conformity

C. The timeframe for implementation of corrective action(s)

ii. Minor non-conformities may be extended once for a maximum period of one (1) year if full implementation of corrective action was not possible due to circumstances beyond the control of the client.

b) The CAB should raise a major non-conformity where minor non-conformities are repeatedly raised against a particular requirement.

c) The CAB shall require that minor non-conformities raised during surveillance audits are satisfactorily addressed in one (1) year.

### **Major non-conformities**

a) The CAB shall require that major non-conformities shall be satisfactorily addressed by an applicant:

i. Prior to certification being granted.

ii. Within three months of the date of the audit or a full re-audit shall be required.

iii. That the root cause of the non-conformity is identified.

b) In the case of a major non-conformity raised during the period of validity of a certificate, the CAB shall require:

i. That the certificate holder satisfactorily addresses the non-conformity within a maximum of three (3) months

ii. Major non-conformities may be extended once for a maximum period of another three months if full implementation of corrective action was not possible due to circumstances beyond the control of the client

iii. That the root cause of the non-conformity is identified

## Annex 3. Form 1– Request for Interpretation or Variance

*This form is for the submission of requests by CABs to ASC to request interpretations of ASC normative requirements and/or requests for variance from specific normative requirements.*

### I CAB Request

1.1 NAME OF CAB	1.2 DATE OF SUBMISSION	1.3 CAB CONTACT PERSON	1.4 EMAIL ADDRESS OF CAB CONTACT PERSON
Not used			
<b>1.5 ASC DOCUMENT REFERENCE</b>			
<b>1.6 BACKGROUND (PROVIDE FULL EXPLANATION OF THE ISSUE)</b>			
<b>1.7 RECOMMENDED ACTION/DECISION</b>			

### II ASC Determination

2.1 STATUS	2.2 DATE OF ASC DETERMINATION
<input type="checkbox"/> Closed	
<b>2.3 ASC DETERMINATION ON VARIANCE</b>	
<b>2.3 ASC INTERPRETATION</b>	

## Annex 4. Stakeholder submissions

including written or other documented information and CAB written responses to each submission.

Public consultation period	Stakeholder submission	IMO Response
Audit announcement (30 days prior to audit)	No submissions received	n/a
Draft public report (10 days from report publication)	No submissions received	n/a

criteria	recomen-dation	minor NC	major NC	NC	action plan	deadline	action plan approved by IMO	status
2.2.1a		1		Six grow-out ponds of Mr. Tran Van Ba were established in 10.2006 according to the Environmental Impact Assessment report approved by Cho Moi Environmental Resource Agency . Eleven ponds of Mr. Dang Van Nhan & Dang Huu Nghi were established in 1.2008 according to the Environmental Impact Assessment report. However, there is no approval by Cho Moi Environmental Resource Agency .  Sludge pond was established on2006 as described in Environmental Impact Assessment reports of Mr. Tran Van Ba, approved by Cho Moi Environmental Resource Agency. Sludge pond and waste water treatment pond was	Supply approval for environment protection commitment from Cho Moi Environment Office by 2006 and 2007 for Mr. Dang Van Nhan and Mr. Tran Van Ba (owner of the farm)	27.7.2013	Approved	Done
3.1.4a		1		Intertek lab is ISO 17025 certified. Lab sampling minutes were checked. The minutes only mentioned the coordinate of water taken position, not sure at 50% depth pond.	Revise sampling instruction, add "pond water samples are collected at 50% water depth" and also time for taking sample	27.7.2013	Approved	Done
3.2.1e		1		Witness the DO taken in the morning and took the values of farm and auditor. Mr. Pham Van Viet was in charge of taking sample. Onsite values of farm as well as auditor were not in range of six month data for DDO. However, the values of the farm are close with the auditor ones and in general, the values complying with the requirement. Beside, the time of audit (mid of month) was not at the same time of the farm taken sample (beginning and end of month) Ex: Farm value is 12.43%. Auditor value is 12.56%	The DO is changing during year, results of measurement by auditor is in the stormy season when water overflow get effect of strongly wind so DO is higher – from July to October while results of measurement by farm is from December to June with no storm	27.7.2013	Approved	Done
3.4.1b		1		Volumes of sludge did not calculated and recorded in three months.	Revise sludge management procedure, and form monitoring sludge discharge from pond, add measurement method and quantity of sludge for each event of sludge taking out	27.7.2013		Done
3.5.2b		1		There are 8 septic toilets. There is no plan for emty and maintenance as well as the contract with the company that built the septic toilet	Submit construction contract between C.P and contractor, statement from contractor for advising maintenance time of septic toilet Submit maintenance plan for waste	27.7.2013	Approved	Done
6.4.4c			1	Only one threshold is established by number of deadfish. If the number of dead fish increased 100% compare to the previous day and it continued in the next 3 days, then the threshold is established. Besides, the farm set up the threshold for emergency plan if the number of deadfish makes up 50% comparing to total fishes in the pond. In the farm diaries of four ponds harvested and others, the number of deadfish is followed and implemented according to threshold regulated. The AAH approves the threshold. But farm does not set up the thresholds as required by counting for differing mortality rates during the 1st week, the 1st month and any month after that.	Farm just apply ASC for the first crop, thresholds have not been set up but farm use reference 100% fish dead increased compared with average of prevous 3 days to report to health specialist as mortality event Thresholds to be set up by statistic the results of 4 ponds harvested	2.8.2013	Approved	Done
6.4.4d			1	Mortality events Mortality records showing the daily deadfish number in each ponds since 12. 2012. Deadfish monitoring sheet are used to recorded the mortality event. However, the threshold is not set up correctly as required by standard, mortality events must be reported again.		2.8.2013	Approved	Done
Total	0	5	2		Mortality events has been set up			

Scope: *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus, Pangasius bocourti*

**Preamble:**

In order to determine the level of compliance against the ASC Pangasius Standard it is essential to use information of completed crop cycle(s), or on a specific point in time in the crop (e.g. stocking) for several requirements. For this reason, for first audits, it is necessary for farms to present full data on at least one or more completed crop cycle(s) per site at the time of the assessment.

Therefore, at the time of the first audit:

- farmer must be able to show full records (e.g. feed-use, mortality rate, etc.) of at least 1 completed crop cycle per site (i.e. from stocking to harvest) and the relevant information for all the crops stocked after having stocked that crop
- certifier must use these records of each site to calculate the level of compliance of the relevant indicators

Applicable to all relevant requirements in this Audit Manual:

**Client:** At first audit: data of at least 1 full crop cycle per site must be made available to certifier.

**Auditor:** At first audit: data of at least 1 full crop cycle per site must be used to determine compliance.

add "1" per criteria in applicable column below

**PRINCIPLE 1. LOCATE AND OPERATE FARMS WITHIN ESTABLISHED LOCAL AND NATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORKS**

**1.1 Criteria: Local and national regulations**

			Evaluation results				
			Description	ok	minor	major	
	Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):					
1.1.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Presence of all pertinent permits and registrations required by local and national authorities</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Maintain records to show the farm has all registrations as required by local and national authorities.	A. Verify farm has all registrations as required by local and national authorities.	Business licence of Head CP company issued first time in 22.07.1996 and recent time (8th time) in 12.7.2012. Aquaculture is included in business scope. CP Viet nam Cooperation - Ben Tre Branch has their own 1st business registration in 28.2.2005, and amend 2nd in 11.7.2012 mentioned the aquaculture scope Farms of CP Viet nam are hired from three local farmers - Mr. Dang Huu Nghi, Mr. Dang Thanh Nhan and Tran Van Ba for fingerlings and grow-out fishes. In which, farm of Mr. Tran Van Ba - 97933,6m2 is hired for grow-out ponds for applying ASC - 12 ponds (6 grow out ponds, 2 sedimentation ponds, 1 waste water treatment, 2 empty ponds, 1 water household pond). Contracts were signed in 22.9.2011, 13.10.2011. Besides, there are business cooperation contracts are also signed between three these farmers and CP in 28.6.2013. Farms of Mr. Tran Van Ba has registered to and approved by Long Giang Commune, Cho Moi district, An Giang Province in 25.2.2013 for aquaculture according to the Decision No.11/2008/QD-UBND in 31.3.2008 issued by An Giang Province People Committee. The pond area registered is 55409m2.	1		
		b. Obtain an aquaculture farming licence (as applicable).	B. Verify farm has aquaculture farming licence (as applicable).	Farm is under management of CP Viet nam Cooperation - Ben Tre Branch company. Therefore, no aquaculture farming licence is required for the farm by government. Farms of Mr. Tran Van Ba has registered to and approved by Long Giang Commune, Cho Moi district, An Giang Province in 25.2.2013 for aquaculture according to the Decision No.11/2008/QD-UBND in 31.3.2008 issued by An Giang Province People Committee. The pond area registered is 55409m2.	1		
		c. Obtain a commercial licence (as applicable).	C. Verify farm has a commercial licence (as applicable).	Business licence of Head CP company issued first time in 22.07.1996 and recent time (8th time) in 12.7.2012. Aquaculture is included in business scope. CP Viet nam Cooperation - Ben Tre Branch has their own 1st business registration in 28.2.2005, and amend 2nd in 11.7.2012 mentioned the aquaculture scope	1		
		d. Obtain any other contracts, licences, or permits as required by local and national authorities (also see 1.1.3. and 1.1.4).	D. Verify compliance.	See a, b, c	1		
1.1.2	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Presence of documents proving compliance with pertinent tax laws</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Maintain records of tax payments to appropriate authorities (e.g. land use tax, water use tax, revenue tax) for the last 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months	A. Verify client has records of tax payments to appropriate authorities. (Note: For integrated systems, tax may only apply at the processing level. Nonetheless clients must show evidence of tax payment)	Income business tax in 2012 was done in 21.1.2013 by Dong Nai Tax Agency. The first quarter in 2013 has not yet been informed by Dong Nai Tax agency Trade tax in 2012 was done in 16.1.2013. For 2013, tax will be collected in the beginning of next year.	1		

		b. Keep updated information on applicable tax laws for the jurisdiction in which the farm is operating.	B. Verify client has current tax law information and a basic understanding of tax requirements.	OK	1		
1.1.3	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Presence of documents proving compliance with pertinent water discharge (including water effluents) regulations</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Ponds</p>	<p><b>Instruction to Clients for Indicator 1.1.3 - Showing Compliance with Water Discharge Regulations</b></p> <p>Indicator 1.1.3 requires the farm to show compliance with all water discharge regulations at the local and national level. If the authoritative regulatory agency has imposed limits on farm water discharge (i.e. by issuing a discharge permit or other comparable mechanism) the obligation shall rest with the client to demonstrate compliance. Four types of evidence are acceptable:</p> <p>a. Statement by a fully independent ISO 17025 accredited laboratory showing that their staff collected samples at discharge;</p> <p>b. Results of water testing from a fully independent ISO 17025 accredited laboratory;</p> <p>c. Relevant legal documents showing compliance; or</p> <p>d. Statement from local authorities with competence on water quality and capacity to test water quality parameters stating compliance.</p> <p>Where regulations require monitoring of farm water discharge, that monitoring shall be conducted annually (at a minimum) or more frequently if required under local or national regulations. If there is insufficient evidence to show that the farm complies with water discharge regulations then the auditor will raise a non-conformity.</p> <p>Note 1: The ASC Pangasius Standard also specifies criteria for some water quality parameters. These are considered separately under Principle 3 below.</p>					
		a. Submit a statement by a fully independent ISO 17025 accredited laboratory showing that their staff collected samples at discharge	A. Verify compliance. If (b), (c) or (d), then enter 'not applicable' for (a).	An Giang Monitoring centre and technical Resource Environmental with ISO 17025 did the test in 6.2013.	1		
		b. Submit results of water testing from a fully independent ISO 17025 accredited laboratory.	B. Verify compliance. If (a), (c) or (d), then enter 'not applicable' for (b).	The results of water discharge testing is verified.	1		
		c. Submit relevant legal documents showing compliance.	C. Verify compliance. If (a), (b) or (d), then enter 'not applicable' for (c).	Water discharge permit is issued by An Giang Department of Environmental Resource in 20.5.2013 that allows max 27.000m3/day and night.	1		
		d. Obtain a statement from local authorities with competence on water quality and capacity to test water quality parameters stating compliance.	D. Verify compliance. If (a), (b) or (c), then enter 'not applicable' for (d).	The lab is under management of Provincial Department of Environmental Resource. Therefore, it has competence on water and capacity to test water quality parameters.	1		
1.1.4	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Presence of documents proving compliance with local and national legal regulations on land and water use</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. For ponds, maintain copies of land ownership or contract of lease. For pens or cages, maintain permits showing allowance to farm in the designated location.	A. Verify client has documents to show legal access to and use of land and water.	Land using right for Mr. Tran Van Ba issued by Cho Moi Provincial People Committee in 31.10.2006, 18.1.2008, 9.6.2005, 9.5.2007. Water using permit is issued by An Giang Department of Environmental Resource in 20.5.2013 in which : water using max is 28000m3 per day and night.	1		
		b. Obtain required permits to use and discharge water for the purposes of operating a farm. Comply with any and all permit restrictions stated therein (e.g. maximum capacity of production, water allocation volumes, etc).	B. Verify farm has obtained permits and complies with the terms.	Required permits to use and discharge water are available: 1. Water using permit is issued by An Giang Department of Environmental Resource in 20.5.2013 in which : water using max is 28000m3 per day and night. 2. Water discharge permit is issued by An Giang Department of Environmental Resource in 20.5.2013 that allows max 27.000m3/day and night.	1		
		c. If the farm operates in a country and region with no permitting system for land and water use, provide documentary evidence (e.g. letter from authorities) attesting to this fact.	C. As applicable, review evidence to confirm that the farm does not need permits for land and water use in the country and region of operation.	N/A	1		
<b>PRINCIPLE 2. FARMS MUST BE LOCATED, DESIGNED, CONSTRUCTED AND MANAGED TO AVOID (OR, AT LEAST, MINIMIZE) THEIR NEGATIVE IMPACTS ON OTHER USERS AND THE ENVIRONMENT</b>							
<b>2.1 Criteria: Meeting official development plans</b>							
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
2.1.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Farms [4] located in approved aquaculture development areas</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Provide a detailed map of the farm with at least 4 GPS coordinates.	A. Review map to confirm farm location and accuracy of GPS coordinates. If possible, verify spatial information using Google Map, satellite images or similar means.	Map with GPS coordinates is available.	1		
		b. Provide official plans that identify approved aquaculture development areas. If there are none, obtain a statement from the authorities as confirmation.	B. Review plans. If farm states there is no plan, confirm that the country and region of operation does not have approved aquaculture development areas.	Farms of Mr. Tran Van Ba has registered to and approved by Long Giang Commune, Cho Moi district, An Giang Province in 25.2.2013 for aquaculture according to the Decision No.11/2008/QĐ-UBND in 31.3.2008 issued by An Giang Province People Committee. The pond area registered is 55409m2. Besides, there is the official map issued by An Giang Province Environmental Resources Information and Land Registration mentioning about the aquaculture permit in CP farm area.	1		
		c. Show that the farm is located in an area approved for aquaculture using evidence from maps or list of officially designated locations.	C. Verify farm is located in an approved aquaculture area. If there are no such areas, auditor response is 'not applicable'.	There is the official map issued by An Giang Province Environmental Resources Information and Land Registration mentioning about the aquaculture permit in CP farm area.	1		
Footnote	[4] Pond, cage and pen-based facilities						
<b>2.2 Criteria: Conversion of natural ecosystems</b>							
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			



2.2.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> For ponds [5], evidence [6] that only land that has been allocated to agriculture or aquaculture for 10 years prior is used for new pond development or for farm expansion</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Ponds established after August 31, 2010</p>	<p>a. Provide a declaration that identifies the month and year of farm construction, and specify dates of any subsequent farm expansions.</p>	<p>A. Verify the declaration gives date of farm construction and any subsequent expansions. Identify any ponds established after August 31, 2010.</p>	<p>Six grow-out ponds of Mr. Tran Van Ba were established in 10.2006 according to the Environmental Impact Assessment report approved by Cho Moi Environmental Resource Agency .</p> <p>Eleven ponds of Mr. Dang Van Nhan &amp; Dang Huu Nghi were established in 1.2008 according to the Environmental Impact Assessment report. However, there is no approval by Cho Moi Environmental Resource Agency .</p> <p>Sludge pond was established on2006 as described in Environmental Impact Assessment reports of Mr. Tran Van Ba, approved by Cho Moi Environmental Resource Agency.</p> <p>Sludge pond and waste water treatment pond was established on2006 as described in Environmental Impact Assessment reports of Mr. Dang Thanh Nhan, Mr. Dang Huu Nghi. However, there is no approval by Cho Moi Environmental Resource Agency .</p>					
		<p>b. If the farm (or any of its expansions) was constructed after August 31, 2010, obtain a statement/historical land use map from a government organization indicating that the land was agriculture or aquaculture land for 10 years prior to their construction.</p>	<p>B. Review evidence from government organizations. Where land-use maps or spatial information is provided, cross-check against map of farm (see 2.1.1).</p>	<p>See A</p>					
		-	<p>C. Verify accuracy of (a) and (b) above during interviews with local community members to confirm there is no evidence for conversion of wetlands or any other ecosystem (other than agriculture or aquaculture land) as applicable under indicator 2.2.1.</p>	<p>Local community confirmed the agriculture and quaculture land. No conversion wetland or any other ecosystem</p>					
Footnote [5] For Ponds established after the publication of the PAD standards.									
Footnote [6] From government organizations.									
2.2.2	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Evidence that a contribution of at least USD \$0.50 per ton of fish produced has been paid to the environmental and social restoration fund [7] annually</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p>a. Submit a signed letter to the ASC committing to pay a contribution to the fund for all certified fish harvested from the day of first certification.</p>	<p>A. Verify the farm has signed a letter stating commitment to contribute to the fund.</p>	<p>Letter stating commitment to contribute to the fund was verified. It was sent in 14.5.2013</p>					
		<p>b. Retain the receipt from ASC showing that farm's signed letter was received.</p>	<p>B. Verify evidence that ASC has received the letter.</p>	<p>Received by Michiel Fransen in 31.5.2013. Evidence was verified.</p>					
		<p>c. Retain evidence of all payments made into the fund.</p>	<p>C. Verify farm has made payment(s) into the fund. As soon as ASC has set-up the fund, this information will be posted on the ASC website.</p>	<p>NA. The fund has not been set up yet.</p>					
Footnote [7] To be identified by the Aquaculture Stewardship Council (ASC). If a fund has yet to be created and recognized by ASC at the time of auditing, then requirement 2.2.2 will not be considered.									
2.2.3	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Evidence [8] that no earth has been discharged into common [9] water bodies</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Ponds established after August 31, 2010</p>	<p>a. Provide a declaration stating that the farm has not discharged earth into common water bodies after August 31, 2010.</p>	<p>A. Verify the farm has made a declaration.</p>	<p>Declaration of the farm in 01.12.2012</p>					
		<p>b. For construction activities listed in 2.2.1a that involved earth moving and that occurred after August 31, 2010, provide a statement indicating where the earth was moved to or how it was disposed of.</p>	<p>B. Review list of construction activities and means for disposing of earth.</p>	<p>NA. No construction activities after August 31 2010.</p>					
		-	<p>C. During local community interviews, verify there is no evidence that the farm has discharged earth into common water bodies.</p>	<p>Local people confirms the farm has pond round 10 years before. No discharged earth into common water</p>					
Footnote [8] For ponds established after the publication of the PAD standards.									
Footnote [9] Exception made for discharge into water bodies belonging to the farm and without negative impacts to other water resource users.									
2.2.4	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Evidence [10] of no negative impacts on endangered species [11]</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p>a. Do a search of published and grey (e.g. local newspapers, magazines) literature to identify endangered species that occur in the area.</p>	<p>A. Review search results for adequacy and completeness.</p>	<p>External consultant - Mr. Huynh Quoc Tinh coordinator of WWF- has completed the survey on endangered species in the farm area -An Giang- according to the research of An Giang Environmental Resource, IUCN, Red List of Threatened Species version 2012.1, Viet nam Red List, PRA An Giang . There are 6 birds, 2 mammals, 2 amphibian, 1 animal, 2 kind of fishes found as endangered species in An Giang Area.</p>					
		<p>b. Determine whether any species occurring in the area are listed as endangered by relevant national authorities.</p>	<p>B. Review the source and accuracy of the list.</p>	<p>Source is from research of An Giang Environmental Resource, IUCN, Red List of Threatened Species , version 2012.1 . The list is accurate.</p>					
		<p>c. Prepare a list of all endangered species occurring in the area by combining results from 2.2.4(a) and 2.2.4(b) with results from the IUCN database search (see 6.6.2).</p>	<p>C. Review list for completeness. Compare with results from search of IUCN database for red list species (see 6.6.2).</p>	<p>List of endangered species by combining results from 2.2.4 a &amp; b with results from IUCN datbase search found in the whole Vietnam, not only for An Giang. There are 4 kind of endangered species: Ciconia episcopus ,Grus grus , Mycteria leucocephala, Anhinga melanogaster (birds,), Phalacrocorax carbo, Python molurus,</p>					
		<p>d. Prepare written procedures describing how the farm avoids negative impacts to endangered species that may occur on the farm.</p>	<p>D. Review procedures for adequacy.</p>	<p>Procedure is adequate and implemented. The pictures of endangered species are hang in the farm.</p>					

			E. During local community interviews, verify there is no evidence that: - the farm is presently having a negative impact on endangered species - the farm has recently had a negative impact (since August 2010).	No evidence relating to harm endangered species	1		
Footnote	[10] Farmers shall submit the result of a search of published and grey (e.g. local newspapers, magazines) literature. Statements from local communities and organizations shall also be produced.						
Footnote	[11] As set by IUCN and national authorities.						
<b>2.3 Criteria: Site connectivity</b>							
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
2.3.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Farm does not impede navigation, aquatic animals or water movement <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> Pens and Cages	a. Obtain community testimonials or similar evidence to show the farm does not impede navigation, aquatic animals or water movement.	A. Inspect site to verify that pens, cages and/or associated farm structures do not impede navigation, aquatic animals or water movement.	N/A. Ponds			
			B. During local community interviews, verify there is no evidence that the farm impedes navigation, aquatic animals or water movement.	N/A. Ponds			
2.3.2	<b>Indicator:</b> Minimum width of the water body [15] without cages (see Diagram 1, Annex C) <b>Requirement:</b> ≥ 50% <b>Applicability:</b> Cages	a. Provide a map or diagram showing measurements of cages and width of the water body.	A. Cross-check the current farm map or diagram using Google Map, satellite images or similar means (if detailed information is available). If current farm layout differs from the most recent available image, verify that the map or diagram reflects the actual farm layout.	N/A. Ponds			
		b. Provide measurements and calculations sufficient to show compliance (see Diagram 1 from Annex C of the ASC Pangasius Standard)	B. Verify that calculations are accurate and confirm compliance.	N/A. Ponds			
Footnote	[15] Water body: Any pond, lake, canal, river, stream or any other distinct mass of water, whether publicly or privately owned, including the banks and shores thereof.						
2.3.3	<b>Indicator:</b> Maximum width a farm can occupy calculated when the water body level/width is at its minimum (see Diagram 2, Annex C) <b>Requirement:</b> ≤ 20% percent of the width of the water body <b>Applicability:</b> Pens	a. Provide a map or diagram showing measurements of pens and width of the water body.	A. Cross-check the accuracy of the farm map or diagram using Google Map, satellite images or similar means (if detailed information is available).	N/A. Ponds			
		b. Provide measurements and calculations sufficient to show compliance (see Diagram 2 from Annex C of the ASC Pangasius Standard)	B. Verify that calculations are accurate and confirm compliance.	N/A. Ponds			
			C. Inspect site to verify that farm diagrams accurately show the size and position of pens within the water body.	N/A. Ponds			
2.3.4	<b>Indicator:</b> Maximum number of contiguous pens allowed (see Diagram 3, Annex C) <b>Requirement:</b> Two, only if a stretch of river bank that is at least the length of the two pens is left free from farms on both sides of the pens <b>Applicability:</b> Pens	a. Provide a map or diagram showing the size and number of pens, and showing the shoreline distance between pens.	A. Inspect site to verify the farm's diagrams accurately show the size and position of pens, and the shoreline distance between pens.	N/A. Ponds			
		b. On the map, show how the arrangement of pens complies with the requirement for number and separation distance (see Diagram 3, Annex C)	B. Verify the farm's arrangement of pens is in compliance.	N/A			
<b>2.4 Criteria: Water use</b>							
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
2.4.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Farm complies with water allocation [16] limits as set by local authorities or a reputable independent institution [17] <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> Ponds	a. Maintain records of water intake. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	A. Verify the farm keeps complete records of water intake.	The water intake were recorded. There were 4 ponds harvested were recorded the water intake. The range of water intake is from 417200m <sup>2</sup> - 1059198m <sup>2</sup>	1		
		b. Obtain a statement from local authorities indicating the water allocation limits (units given) for the farm. If local authorities do not set water allocation limits for farms operating in the region, obtain a statement from local authorities attesting to this fact.	B. Review the water allocation limits set for the farm by local authorities. If local authorities do not set water allocation limits, confirm the farm has an attestation.	Water using permit is issued by An Giang Department of Environmental Resource in 20.5.2013 in which : water using max is 28000m <sup>3</sup> per day and night.	1		
		c. If water allocation limits are not set by local authorities (see 2.4.1b), obtain a statement from a reputable independent institution (see Footnote 17) indicating the water allocation limits (units given) for the farm.	C. Review evidence that water allocation limits have been set for the farm by a reputable independent institution (as applicable).	An Giang Department of Environmental Resource is the government agency.	1		
		d. Demonstrate the reputation of the authority/institution identified in 2.4.1(b) by providing peer reviewed articles and/or reports on water allocation (if applicable).	D. Review evidence for reputation of the authority/institution responsible for water allocation (as applicable).	An Giang Department of Environmental Resource is the local government that has right to issue the water using license.	1		
		e. Calculate the farm's water intake on a crop-by-crop basis to show compliance with water allocation limits.	E. Check the farm's water intake against the water allocation limits. Verify compliance with limits set by local authority. Cross-check against reported values for total water abstracted (see 2.4.2).	The daily total water intake were calculated and shown below the water allocation limit. Only one case is above limit. Ex: The max daily volume intake in 15.5.2013 from pond A14, A15, A16, A18, A20 is 39120m <sup>3</sup> . The max daily volume intake in 10.6.2013 from pond A14, A15, A16, A18 & A20 is 25070m <sup>3</sup> The max daily volume intake in 15.5.2013 from pond A14, A15, A16, A19 is 18700m <sup>3</sup>			1

Footnote	[16] Valid for both surface water and groundwater. Surface water is defined as "water collecting on the ground or in a stream, river, lake, wetland or ocean." Groundwater is defined as "water beneath the earth's surface that supplies wells and springs." Note the term "surface water" is used here in place of the original term "surficial water" that appeared in the Pangasius Aquaculture Dialogue Standards.				
Footnote	[17] A reputable independent institution can be a government organization, an academic institution or an organization that is not linked specifically to the aquaculture sector, but has generated water use parameters for the region, or is responsible for water allocation. Reputability of the institution shall be demonstrated by the farmer showing peer reviewed articles and/or reports on water allocation. Documents produced for a sector other than aquaculture are also acceptable. A track record of at least three years of operation must be available.				
	<p><b>Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.4.2 - Calculating the Ratio of Total Water Abstracted per Ton of Fish Produced</b></p> <p>Annex D of the ASC Pangasius Standard provides a formula for calculating "Q" which is the ratio of total water abstracted per ton of fish produced. Farms must perform these calculations using harvest data from individual ponds (i.e. it is done on a crop-by-crop basis) and then using those results to determine a farm-wide average across all ponds. Calculations can be done as described here. For the first pond:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- compute the total volume of water abstracted ("TEV") in cubic meters (m<sup>3</sup>) during the production cycle;</li> <li>- compute the total weight of fish produced ("A") in metric tons at harvest time; and</li> <li>- calculate Q for the first pond using the equation: <math>Q = TEV / A</math></li> </ul> <p>Repeat the calculations for the second pond, third pond... etc. until Q has been determined for each pond that was harvested. Use the Q values from each pond (Q, Q<sub>2</sub>, Q<sub>3</sub>...Q<sub>n</sub>) to compute the farm-wide average, or Q<sub>avg</sub>.</p>				
2.4.2	<p><b>Indicator:</b> For ponds. Maximum ratio of total water abstracted [18] (not consumed) per ton of fish produced (calculate abstracted water using formula in Annex D)</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> 5,000 m3/metric ton of fish produced</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Ponds</p>	<p>a. Using records of water intake (see 2.4.1a), calculate total water abstracted (m3) for each pond harvested by the farm. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).</p> <p>A. Review calculations against intake records to confirm accuracy.</p>	<p>Volumes of intake water were reviewed. The farm record the water level after discharging (A level) and the water level after taking water (B level). The farm will deduct B level to A level to get the water intake every day. Calculations are accurate.</p> <p>There were 4 ponds harvested were recorded the water intake. The range of water intake is from 417200m2 - 1059198m2</p>	1	
		<p>b. Maintain records showing amount of fish harvested from each pond.</p> <p>B. Verify the farm keeps records showing the amount of fish harvested.</p>	<p>Records of fish harvested are verified. OK</p>	1	
		<p>c. Calculate the total weight of fish produced (in metric tons) from each pond.</p> <p>C. Review calculations against sales records and estimates of current stock biomass to confirm accuracy. If needed, reconcile the totals with the weight of any fish that were harvested but not sold (i.e. crops lost after a disease outbreak).</p>	<p>Total weight of fish produced in each pond was calculated..</p>	1	
		<p>d. For each pond, calculate the ratio of total water abstracted per ton of fish produced (see above Instructions and Annex D of the ASC Pangasius Standard as an example).</p> <p>D. Review farm's calculations for accuracy. Cross-check that water volumes (2.4.2a) and harvest weights (2.4.2b) from individual ponds can be reconciled with total annual production (2.4.2c) and total annual water intake (2.4.1e).</p>	<p>Farm's calculations was reviewed. The farm will use: Total water intake in one crop divides to harvested fish in that crop. Calculation is accurate. Ex: Pong A 14: Q = 3.697m3/metric</p>	1	
		<p>e. Using results from all harvested ponds, calculate the farm-wide average ratio of total water abstracted per ton of fish produced (see Instructions above).</p> <p>E. Confirm the farm-wide average Q is ≤ 5,000 m3/metric ton of fish produced.</p>	<p>Checked the results of 4 ponds of harvested in 2013. The farm-wide average Q is 2.811m3 to 3.697m3. Compliance.</p>	1	
Footnote	[18] Water abstracted is water removed from the water body and introduced into the farm. It includes both surficial water and groundwater.				
<b>PRINCIPLE 3. MINIMIZE THE NEGATIVE IMPACT OF PANGASIOUS FARMING ON WATER AND LAND RESOURCES</b>					
<b>3.1 Criteria: Nutrient utilization efficiency</b>					
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>	<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>		
	<p><b>Instruction to Clients for Indicators 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 - Laboratory Analysis of TP and TN in Feed</b></p> <p>In order to demonstrate compliance with Indicator 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, farms must be able to establish the amount of total phosphorus (TP) and total nitrogen (TN) in feeds. Farms shall obtain from each of their feed suppliers a declaration stating the maximum TP and TN content. Farms shall then verify supplier declarations by testing a representative number of batches (e.g. 1 sample for every 1,000 tonnes of a feed used) for TP and TN content. Tests shall be performed by a fully independent laboratory that is accredited to perform these analyses in accordance with ISO 17025. Results should show that declarations made by the feed supplier are accurate and that the feed is within the limits stated in the declaration. Farms must demonstrate compliance for all feeds used in the crops that are included in the calculation, regardless of whether those feeds were farm-made or commercially sourced. All calculations should be made on a crop-by-crop basis.</p> <p><b>Note 1:</b> For first audits, farms are not required to check the TP and TN content of feeds using an independent laboratory.  <b>Note 2:</b> Feed refers to all feeds or feed items, regardless of where or how they are produced, and applies to all farms seeking certification.</p>				
3.1.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Maximum amount of total phosphorus (TP) [19] added as feed per metric ton of fish produced.</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> 20 kg/t</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Pens and Cages</p>	<p>a. Maintain records showing the type of feed and the amount used. This requirement applies to all feed used in the crops that are included in the calculation. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).</p> <p>A. Confirm the farm has complete and accurate records for feed used.</p>	<p>N/A. Ponds</p>		
		<p>b. Obtain relevant declarations of TP content from feed suppliers for all feed used in the crops included in the calculation. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).</p> <p>B. Verify the farm has obtained declarations for TP content in feed.</p>	<p>N/A. Ponds</p>		
		<p>c. Provide evidence that the farm tested TP from a representative sample of feeds (see instructions) to verify that declarations from the feed supplier are accurate and that the feed is within limits stated in declarations (as applicable).</p> <p>C. Review evidence to confirm that farm checks whether TP content is reported accurately by feed suppliers (if applicable).</p>	<p>N/A. Ponds</p>		

		d. Use results of 3.1.1a and 3.1.1b to calculate the amount of TP in kilograms (kg) added to each enclosure. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	D. Review farm's calculations. Cross-check purchase records against the feed quantities reported by the farm.							
		e. Using total weight of fish produced (answer from 2.4.2c), calculate the amount of TP added as feed per metric ton of fish produced. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	E. Review farm's calculations to confirm the farm complies with the Requirement.	N/A. Ponds						
Footnote	[19] TP includes all forms of phosphorus found in the sample (Adapted from Australian Government, Department of Meteorology).									
3.1.2	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Maximum amount of total nitrogen (TN) [20] added as feed [21] per metric ton of fish produced.</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> 70 kg/t</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Pens and Cages</p>	<b>Note:</b> see instructions for Indicator 3.1.1								
		a. Maintain records showing the type of feed and the amount used. This requirement applies to all feed used in the crops that are included in the calculation. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	A. Confirm the farm has complete and accurate records for feed used.	N/A. Ponds						
		b. Obtain relevant declarations of TN content from feed suppliers for all feed used in the crops included in the calculation. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	B. Verify the farm has obtained declarations for TN content in feed.	N/A. Ponds						
		c. Provide evidence that the farm tested TN from a representative sample of feeds (see instructions) to verify that declarations from the feed supplier are accurate and that the feed is within limits stated in declarations (as applicable).	C. Review evidence to confirm that farm checks whether TN content is reported accurately by feed suppliers (if applicable).	N/A. Ponds						
		d. Use results of 3.1.2a and 3.1.2b to calculate the amount of TN in kilograms (kg) added to each enclosure. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	D. Review farm's calculations. Cross-check purchase records against the feed quantities reported by the farm.	N/A. Ponds						
		e. Using total weight of fish produced (answer from 2.4.2c), calculate the amount of TP added as feed per metric ton of fish produced. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	E. Review farm's calculations to confirm the farm complies with the Requirement.	N/A. Ponds						
Footnote	[20] TN means the measure of all forms of nitrogen found in the sample, including nitrate, nitrite, ammonia N and organic forms of nitrogen (Australian Government, Department of Meteorology).									
Footnote	[21] Feed refers to all feeds or feed items, regardless of where or how they are produced, and applies to all farms seeking certification. Farms that meet the requirements should be able to demonstrate compliance, regardless of whether their feed is made by a commercial feed mill or on site. See Principle 5 for further details.									
3.1.3	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Amount of TP discharged per metric ton of fish produced (See TP measurement methodology and calculation in Annex D)</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> 7.2 kg/t</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Ponds</p>	<p><b>Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.1.3 and 3.1.4 - Sampling and Laboratory Analysis of TP and TN Discharged</b>  Determination of the concentration of total phosphorus (TP) in water samples shall be made using the method: Kejdahl and Indo-phenol Blue. Determination of the concentration of total nitrogen (TN) in water samples shall be made using the method: Kejdahl and Ascorbic acid. Determinations will be made by a fully independent laboratory that is accredited to perform these analyses in accordance with ISO 17025.  Farms will measure the amount of TP and TN discharged from a minimum of 1 pond in production; at least one of these ponds shall be randomly selected. The farm must record the number and identity of selected ponds before sampling. Required procedures for collecting water samples are as follows:  - two water samples are taken: one from the pond (=pond water) and one from the intake (=intake water). The two samples are taken on the same day.  - all water sample collections are done following the methodology provided by a fully independent ISO 17025 accredited laboratory and will be available to the certifier at the day of the audit. The accredited laboratory will be required to verify that sampling was conducted in accordance with this methodology.  - all water samples are collected in second half of crop production (i.e. ≥ 90 days after stocking)  - pond water samples are collected at 50% of pond depth  - all water samples are collected before 11:00am  - pond water samples are collected &gt; 6 hours after the intake of water into the pond</p> <p>For first audits farm records for monitoring TP and TN discharged must cover ≥ 6 months.  To prepare for first audit:  - farm invites accredited laboratory to the farm to have the water sampled  - if samples are out of compliance, farm takes corrective actions prior to ASC audit  - in case of non-compliances, farm does have the water sampled by accredited lab after implementation of corrective actions to show compliance  - all sampling results are supplied to auditor by the accredited laboratory to show that corrective action has been taken and that farms is now in compliance with the ASC Standard</p>								
		a. Specify the name and relevant qualifications/accreditations of the independent laboratory that is used to perform water quality monitoring and a copy of the contract specifying that water sampling and analyses are to be conducted in line with instructions for 3.1.3	A. Confirm the laboratory is suitably qualified and briefed to conduct water sampling and analyses.	intertek lab is ISO 17025 certified. Lab sampling minutes were checked. The minutes only mentioned the coordinate of water taken position, not sure at 50% depth pond.						
		b. Obtain laboratory results for TP concentration in pond water samples and intake water samples.	B. Review laboratory results for TP concentration.	Results for TP concentration is reviewed.			1			

		c. For each pond, identify the total weight of fish produced (result from 2.4.2b), and the total volume of water discharged (answer from 2.4.1) during the crop production cycle.	C. Review accuracy of farm's data.	Weight of fish produced and total volume of water discharged are kept in the farm diary. Accuracy.	1		
		d. Enter the values from b and c (above) into the Total TP Discharge Formula (Annex D of the ASC Pangasius Standard) to calculate amount of TP discharged per metric ton of fish produced per pond. Repeat for each pond that was sampled.	D. Review farm's calculations to confirm accuracy.	Review the calculation. Four ponds that TP sample taken. Accuracy	1		1
		e. Use the TP values (answer d) from different ponds to calculate the farm-wide average amount of TP discharged per metric ton of fish produced.	E. Review farm's calculations of average TP to confirm compliance with the Requirement.	Four ponds were taken sample for the first audit, TP is from 1.47 to 6.50. Average TP is 3.63. Compliance	1		1
		<b>Note:</b> see instructions for Indicator 3.1.3					
		a. Specify the name and relevant qualifications/accreditations of the independent laboratory that is used to perform water quality monitoring.	A. Confirm the laboratory is suitably qualified to conduct water sampling and analyses.	Intertek lab is ISO 17025 certified. Lab sampling minutes were checked. The minutes only mentioned the coordinate of water taken position, not sure at 50% depth pond.			
		b. Obtain laboratory results for TN concentration in pond water samples and intake water samples.	B. Review laboratory results for TP concentration.	Results for TN concentration is reviewed.	1		
		c. For each pond, identify the total weight of fish produced (answer from 2.4.2c), and the total volume of water discharged (answer from 2.4.1) during the crop production cycle.	C. Review accuracy of farm's data.	Weight of fish produced and total volume of water discharged are kept in the farm diary.	1		
		d. Enter the values from b and c (above) into the Total TN discharge Formula (Annex D of the ASC Pangasius Standard) to calculate amount of TN discharged per metric ton of fish produced per pond. Repeat for each pond that was sampled.	D. Review farm's calculations to confirm accuracy.	Calculation was reviewed and are accurate.	1		
		e. Use the TN values (answer d) from different ponds and to calculate the farm-wide average amount of TP discharged per metric ton of fish produced.	E. Review farm's calculations of average TN to confirm compliance with the Requirement.	Four ponds were taken sample for the first audit, TN is from 9.78 to 25.73. Average TN is 17.08. Compliance.	1		1
<b>3.2 Criteria: Measuring water quality in receiving water body</b>							
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
		<p><b>Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.2.1 - Measuring Percent Change in Diurnal Dissolved Oxygen</b></p> <p>Farms shall monitor the percent change in diurnal dissolved oxygen in receiving waters. Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration is reported relative to DO at saturation for the water's specific salinity, temperature and altitude. DO is measured using a hand-held oxygen meter or a more accurate (chemical) method, with accuracy established in peer-reviewed documents. The location of measurements should be the first natural receiving water body and as close as practical to the point of discharge but at a distance not exceeding 200m from the point of discharge. In addition, the following procedures are followed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- DO monitoring is conducted fortnightly (i.e. once every two weeks)</li> <li>- On each sampling day, two DO measurements are taken: at 1 hour before sunrise and at 2 hours before sunset (+/- 30 min).</li> <li>- DO measurements are taken at 0.3 meters below the water surface.</li> <li>- Temperature and salinity is recorded at the same time that DO is measured.</li> </ul> <p><b>Note 1:</b> An exemption to Indicator 3.2.1 is made for farms that have "cleaner" water (i.e. where the value of the farm TP and TN is lower than that of the intake water. This applies regardless of whether the receiving water is eutrophic. See Indicators 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 for more information about measuring differences in TN and TP between pond inlet and outlet.</p>					
		a. Provide DO measurements .	A. Review dataset to confirm that monitoring covers the required timeframe.	The value for DO measurement were taken once every two week and summarized as follows: Max DO in the morning: 3.95 (15.5.2013 - 5:00am) Max DO in the afternoon: 6.93 (15.1.2013 - 3:30) Min DO in the morning: 3.01 (21.5.2013 - 5:00) Min DO in the afternoon: 5.23 (1.4.2013 - 3:30) Records of DO in receiving water cover >=6months (Jan 2013). OK	1		
		b. Calibrate all equipment at the frequency and by the method recommended by the manufacturer. Temperature, salinity and altitude are to be adjusted for in calibration or calculations.	B. Verify the farm technicians calibrate equipment as required.	Calibration was done every sample taken as required by manufacturer	1		
		c. Calculate percent change in DDO for each monitoring date using the equation in Annex D.	C. Review calculations to confirm accuracy.	Calculations were reviewed and accurate.	1		
		d. Use results of 3.2.1c to calculate the average percent change in DDO over the entire 12-month monitoring period. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	D. Confirm the average percent change in DDO is ≤ 65%.	The average percent change in DDO is 37.7%. Compliance Ex: max DDO: 48% (1.1.2013) min DDO: 26.38% (15.7.2013)	1		
3.1.4	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Amount of TN discharged per metric ton of fish produced (See TN measurement methodology and calculation in Annex D)</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> 27.5 kg/t</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Ponds</p>						

		e. Arrange to take DO measurements while the auditor is at the farm.	E. Witness the farm measuring DO to confirm compliance with procedures. On-site values should fall within range of farm data for DDO. If an out of range measurement is observed, raise a non-conformity.	Witness the DO taken in the morning and took the values of farm and auditor. Mr. Pham Van Viet was in charge of taking sample. Onsite values of farm as well as auditor were not in range of six month data for DDO. However, the values of the farm are close with the auditor ones and in general, the values complying with the requirement. Beside, the time of audit (mid of month) was not at the same time of the farm taken sample (beginning and end of month) Ex: Farm value is 12.43%. Auditor value is 12.56%			
Footnote	[22] DO is the concentration of oxygen dissolved in water, expressed in mg/l or as percent saturation, where saturation is the maximum amount of oxygen that can theoretically be dissolved in water at a given altitude and temperature (biology-online.org).						
Footnote	[23] "Receiving water" is the first natural water body that receives the water from the farm and does not belong to the farm.						
3.3 Criteria: Measuring quality of pond effluents Water quality of pond effluents [24]							
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):		Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):			
Footnote	[24] This criteria is not pertinent to either cage or pen cultures.						
3.3.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Maximum average percentage change of TP between inlet and outlet (See TP measurement methodology and TP discharge formula in Annex D).</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> 100%</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Ponds</p>	<p><b>Instruction to Clients on Indicators 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 - Measuring Change in TP and TN Between Inlet and Outlet</b></p> <p>Determination of the concentration of total phosphorus (TP) in water samples shall be made using the method: Kejdahl and Indo-phenol Blue. Determination of the concentration of total nitrogen (TN) in water samples shall be made using the method: Kejdahl and Ascorbic acid. Determinations will be made by a fully independent laboratory that is accredited to perform these analyses in accordance with ISO 17025. Laboratory results will be accompanied by a statement that indicates compliance to the methodology set in the ASC Pangasius Standard and this Audit Manual.</p> <p>Farms will measure the change in TP and TN from only a subset of the total number of ponds in production: 15% of all ponds (value rounded up to the nearest whole number). At least one of these ponds shall be randomly selected. The farm must record the number and selection of ponds before sampling. Required procedures for collecting water samples are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- samples are collected by staff from the fully independent accredited laboratory;</li> <li>- samples are taken from the 'inlet' and the 'outlet' (inlet = the water in the intake canal, as close as possible to the farm being certified. Outlet = the actual water being discharged, not the receiving water. For farms using a water treatment system this could be the water in the final part of the treatment system before being discharged);</li> <li>- samples are collected from pond inlets and outlets during the second half of crop production (i.e. ≥ 90 days after stocking);</li> <li>- on each sampling day, at least two samples are collected from the outlet and these are taken at least 1 hour apart (use the average value in calculations below); and</li> <li>- at a minimum the farm must sample from one pond per year.</li> </ul> <p>Percent Change in TP = (Outlet TP Conc.) - (Inlet TP Conc.) / (Inlet TP Conc.) x 100</p> <p>Percent Change in TN = (Outlet TN Conc.) - (Inlet TN Conc.) / (Inlet TN Conc.) x 100</p> <p>When more than one pond is sampled, determine a "farm-wide average" by calculating the average percent change for all sampled ponds.</p> <p>For first audits, farm records for monitoring percent change in TP and TN must cover ≥ 6 months.</p>					
		a. Provide laboratory results for TP in water samples from inlet and outlet.	A. Review laboratory results for TP.	The results are reviewed.		1	
		b. For each pond, calculate the percent change of TP between inlet and outlet on each sampling day using the equation shown above.	B. Review calculations to verify accuracy.	Reviewed the calculation. TP in outlet is 1.73, inlet is 1.08		1	
		c. Use results of 3.3.1(b) to calculate the average percent change in TP over the entire monitoring period.	C. Confirm the average percent change in TP is ≤ 100%. If any single value falls outside limits, raise a non-conformity.	The average percent change in TP = 60.19%, below to 100%. OK		1	
		d. Provide evidence of the on-site visit for the sampling of pond effluents for TP and TN by staff from the accredited laboratory.	D. Review visit evidence for sampling for TP and TN to confirm compliance with procedures.	Checked the visit minute in 22.6.2012. Compliance		1	
3.3.2	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Maximum average percentage change of TN between inlet [25] and outlet [26] (See TN measurement methodology and TN discharge formula in Annex D).</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> 70%</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Ponds</p>	<p><b>Note: see instructions for Indicator 3.3.1</b></p> <p>a. Provide laboratory results for TN in water samples from inlet and outlets.</p> <p>b. For each pond, calculate the percent change of TN between inlet and outlet on each sampling day using the equation shown above.</p> <p>c. Use results of 3.3.2(b) to calculate the average percent change in TN over the entire monitoring period.</p> <p>d. During the on-site visit, arrange for the auditor to observe sampling of pond effluents for TP and TN.</p>	<p>A. Review laboratory results for TN.</p> <p>B. Review calculations to verify accuracy.</p> <p>C. Confirm the average percent change in TN is ≤ 70%. If any single value falls outside limits, raise a non-conformity.</p> <p>D. Witness sampling for TP and TN to confirm compliance with procedures.</p>				
		a. Provide laboratory results for TN in water samples from inlet and outlets.	A. Review laboratory results for TN.	The results were reviewed.		1	
		b. For each pond, calculate the percent change of TN between inlet and outlet on each sampling day using the equation shown above.	B. Review calculations to verify accuracy.	Review the calculation. TN in outlet is 8.98, inlet is 5.53		1	
		c. Use results of 3.3.2(b) to calculate the average percent change in TN over the entire monitoring period.	C. Confirm the average percent change in TN is ≤ 70%. If any single value falls outside limits, raise a non-conformity.	The average percent change in TN = 62.39, below 70%. OK		1	
		d. During the on-site visit, arrange for the auditor to observe sampling of pond effluents for TP and TN.	D. Witness sampling for TP and TN to confirm compliance with procedures.	Checked the visit minute in 26.3.2013. Compliance. The audit had not been arranged at sampling of pond effluents for TP and TN. However, it is not required by ASC.		1	
Footnote	[25] Inlet: The water in the intake canal, as close as possible to the farm or pond being certified.						
Footnote	[26] Outlet: The actual water being discharged, not the receiving water.						

3.3.3	<b>Indicator:</b> Minimum dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in water discharged (See DO measurement methodology in Annex D) <b>Requirement:</b> 3 mg/l <b>Applicability:</b> Ponds	<b>Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.3 - Measuring DO in Water Discharged</b> See Indicator 3.2.1 for a general description of the equipment and method used to measure dissolved oxygen (DO). Take DO measurements at the outlet where water is discharged (i.e. measure DO in the actual water being discharged, not in the receiving water. For farms using a water treatment system this could be the water in the final part of the treatment system before being discharged). Test DO at least once per week.				
		a. Provide records of DO in water discharged to the natural environment. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months	A. Review dataset to confirm that monitoring covers the required timeframe.	Records of DO in water discharged cover >=6months (Jan 2013). OK	1	
		b. Use data from all weekly measurements to calculate the average DO in water discharged over the entire monitoring period. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 3 months.	B. Confirm DO in water discharged by farm is ≥ 3 mg/l. If any single value falls outside limits, raise a non-conformity.	DO in water discharged by farm is > 3mg/l Ex: Max DO: 3.8 (15.5.2013, 3:30am) Min DO: 3.03 (01.3.2013, 3:45am)	1	
		c. During the on-site visit, make arrangements for the auditor to observe calibration of equipment and measurements.	C. During the on-site visit, observe how the farm calibrates equipment and takes DO measurements (or takes samples for chemical analysis) to confirm compliance.	Observed the calibration and DO measurement. OK.	1	
3.4 Criteria: Sludge disposal for ponds and pens, not cages [27]						
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>		
Footnote	[27] For cage culture, there are no requirements for benthic monitoring included, as cages account for a small percentage of production. This situation will be monitored and revised if the production of cage culture rises significantly.					
3.4.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Evidence that sludge is not discharged directly into receiving waters or natural ecosystems [28] <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Provide a detailed sludge management plan (also see 3.5.1). The plan will ensure that no sludge in any form is discharged directly into receiving waters or natural ecosystems.	A. Review the farm's sludge management plan.	The sludge management plan is reviewed. The sludge is stored in the sludge ponds. When needed, the farm will give to neighbors for using in agriculture in theory. The plan ensures that no sludge in any form is discharged directly into receiving waters or natural ecosystem.	1	
		b. Maintain records of sludge disposal to show volume or weight and condition (i.e. fresh or dried) when disposed. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 3 months.	B. Review records to confirm appropriate disposal according to plan.	Volumes of sludge did not calculated and recorded in three months.		
		c. If sludge is transferred (e.g. for agricultural use), obtain a declaration from the receiving party that specifies the sludge volume, delivery date, and expected use. The party shall declare that the sludge will not be discharged directly into receiving waters or natural ecosystems.	C. If yes to (c), confirm farm has appropriate documentary evidence.	NA	1	
		d. If a sludge repository is used, provide a map showing its location within the farm or documents showing legal access to the repository (either ownership or a statement from the owner of right of use).	D. If yes to (d), inspect sludge repository during on-site visit.	There is the sludge pond in the farm.	1	
		-	E. During local community and employee interviews, verify there is no evidence that the farm discharged sludge directly into receiving waters on natural ecosystems	No evidence to discharge sludge directly to receiving water		
Footnote	[28] "The complex of a community and its environment functioning as an ecological unit in nature." More simply, it's both living and non-living things that interact with each other. In these standards, both the terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems are considered.					
3.4.2	<b>Indicator:</b> Evidence of a sludge repository of appropriate size (See Sludge Repository formula in Annex D) <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> Farms managing the sludge using a repository	<b>Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.4.2 - Size of Sludge Repository</b> A Sludge Repository Formula is given in Annex D of the ASC Pangasius Standard. Farms shall document how this formula was used to calculate the appropriate size (minimum volume) of a sludge repository. Farms may, for example, document their calculations in the sludge management plan (see 3.4.1a). All sludge areas and volumes must be considered in the calculation. For 'Area of Pond', consider only the area of the pond from which sludge has to be removed over the following 2 months. <b>Note 1:</b> If the Sludge Repository Formula yields a negative number then the repository exceeds the minimum volume (i.e. it is an appropriate size).				
		a. Provide calculations showing the sludge repository is of appropriate size.	A. Review farm's calculations to verify accuracy. Confirm compliance.	Calculation is reviewed. OK. The min volume required by ASC is 8460m3. The real volume the farm has is 28.112m3	1	
		b. Provide evidence of legal access to the sludge repository (see 3.4.1c).	B. During on-site visit, inspect the farm's sludge repository.	Inspected the farm's sludge repository during the visit. This is on the farm itself and covered by existing licences. OK	1	
3.5 Criteria: Waste management						
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>		
3.5.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Evidence of farm solid wastes being discharged into the natural environment	a. Prepare a plan for farm solid waste management. The plan may encompass other forms of farm-generated wastes (see 3.4.1, 3.5.2, 3.5.3, and 3.5.4).	A. Review the farm's solid waste management plan.	Waste management plan was reviewed. Expired medicine, medicine and chemical packages are kept in the storage, waiting the decision from Head Office. Household waste is collected and burned in the separated place in the farm	1	

	<b>Requirement:</b> None <b>Applicability:</b> All	b. During the on-site visit, arrange for the auditor to inspect the farm's solid waste management system.	B. Inspect the farm for any evidence of solid waste (e.g. bags, containers) being discharged into the natural environment surrounding the farm.	Inspected the farm. No waste found in the waste water ponds.	1		
		-	C. Confirm that the farm's solid waste management plan is implemented and effective. Evaluate if there is a risk or potential for discharges.	The plan is implemented and effective. No risk for discharges is found.	1		
3.5.2	<b>Indicator:</b> Evidence of human and animal solid wastes being discharged into the natural environment <b>Requirement:</b> None <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. During the on-site visit, give the auditor a general description of the farm's system for removal of human and animal solid waste. Allow the auditor to inspect.	A. Inspect the farm's solid waste system for any evidence of human or animal solid wastes being discharged into the natural environment.	Inspected four septic toilets. No evidence of human or animal solid waste is discharged into the natural environment.	1		
		b. For septic systems, provide a schedule for emptying and maintenance (see 3.5.4c).	B. Verify that emptying and maintenance follow the schedule.	There is 8 septic toilets. There is no plan for empty and maintenance as well as the contract with the company that built the septic toilet			
		c. During the on-site visit, provide the auditor with locations of all septic toilets and a schedule for their emptying and maintenance.	C. Inspect septic toilets to verify there is no leakage or direct discharge into the natural environment. Verify that emptying and maintenance follow the schedule.	Inspected the septic toilets. No leakage or direct discharge into the natural environment is found.	1		
		d. Provide evidence for burial of animal feces (as applicable).	D. Inspect site to verify that the farm buries any animal feces (if applicable).	NA. No animal feces.	1		
		e. Identify septic toilets in construction contracts if possible.	E. Review construction contracts (if applicable).	NA. Only the septic drawing map was available.	1		
3.5.3	<b>Indicator:</b> Evidence of chemical and medicine wastes being discharged into the natural environment <b>Requirement:</b> None <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Prepare a plan for farm management of chemical and medicine wastes.	A. Review farm's plan for management of chemical and medicinal wastes.	Farm's plan for management of chemical and medicine waste was reviewed. Expired medicine, medicine and chemical packages are kept in the storage, waiting the collection from local government.			1
		b. During the on-site visit, allow the auditor to inspect the farm's management of chemical and medicinal wastes.	B. Inspect the farm for any evidence of chemical or medicinal waste being discharged into the natural environment surrounding the farm.	Inspected the farm. No chemical or medicinal waste is found.	1		
		-	C. Confirm that the farm's plan is implemented and effective. Evaluate if there is a risk or potential for discharges.	The farm's plan is implemented and effective. No risk or potential discharges is found.	1		
3.5.4	<b>Indicator:</b> Evidence of proper disposal [30] of dead/moribund fish <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	<b>Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.5.4 - Preparing a Plan for Disposal of Dead/Moribund Fish</b> Prepare a plan for the proper disposal of dead/moribund fish that specifies the means of disposal using one or more of the following categories: incineration (excluding regular burning, as not allowed); burial; fermentation and use as fertilizer; septic tank; production of fish meal or fish oil; feed for animals other than pangasius (requires statement from aquatic animal health specialist, see Principle 6); sold.  Dead fish should never be used for human consumption unless specifically slaughtered and processed for that purpose in an appropriate facility.					
		a. Provide auditor with the farm's plan for disposal of dead/moribund fish.	A. Review the farm's plan for compliance with Indicator 3.5.4.	The farm's plan for disposal of dead/moribund fish is reviewed. Deadfish is collected everyday and classified in two kinds: sick or normal. Sick dead fish will be buried and normal dead fish is sold to Tran Hai Dang company.	1		
		b. <u>burial, incineration, fermentation</u> ; plan identifies processes, location(s) and containers.	B. Verify by inspection (as applicable).	Separated place in the farm is used for burying sick deadfish.	1		
		c. <u>septic tank</u> ; plan gives procedures for disposal of fish in septic tanks, specifies the schedule for emptying tanks, and identifies personnel involved (e.g. contracts with external parties).	C. Verify by review of documentary evidence (as applicable).	N/A	1		
		d. <u>production of fish meal or fish oil</u> ; specified in plan (if done by farm). Note that this option is allowed only if aquatic animal health specialist rules out pesticides.	D. Verify by inspection (as applicable).	N/A	1		
		e. <u>feed for animals other than pangasius</u> (excluding fish meal and fish oil as covered in "d"); Option is allowed only if an aquatic animal health specialist concludes that mortality was not caused by an infectious agent or a pesticide/chemical pollutant.	E. Verify that farm obtains written statement(s) from aquatic health specialist (as applicable).	N/A	1		
		f. <u>sold</u> ; Plan identifies the option of sales. For all sales, the farm must prepare a contract that states how the buyer will use the dead fish. If intended as animal feed (either directly or as fish meal/oil) the contract and the statement of the specialist confirm compliance with requirements.	F. Verify by review of documentary evidence (as applicable).	Contract with Tran Hai Dang company to sell normal deadfish	1		
		-	G. Confirm the farm's plan is effectively implemented. Evidence will include interviews with farm workers who confirm that disposals followed the plan.	Interview workers. Farm plan is effectively implemented.	1		



Footnote	[30] Proper disposal of dead fish include: incineration, burial, fermentation and use as fertilizer and production of fish meal or fish oil. Dead fish should never be used for human consumption. Also acceptable if there is strong evidence that the mortality was not caused by an infectious agent or a pesticide/chemical pollutant, the fish can be used as feed for animals other than pangasius. Evidence on the cause of mortality shall be provided by the aquatic animal health specialist (see Principle 6).					
<b>3.6 Criteria: Energy consumption</b>						
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>	<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
3.6.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Information available on the following variables (per year per farm in the certification unit):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fuel used</li> <li>- Quantity of electricity</li> <li>- Amount of dead fish for each disposal method.</li> </ul> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p>a. Maintain records (e.g. receipts) of farm energy consumption. Compute the quantity of fuel and electricity used by the farm in the last 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.</p> <p>b. Provide records of mortality quantities (see Indicator 6.4.4) and their disposal method (see Indicator 3.5.4). For first audits, farm records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).</p>	<p>A. Review calculations. Verify the farm keeps records of energy consumption.</p> <p>B. Verify the farm maintains accurate records of mortalities and disposals.</p>	<p>Electricity, petrol are used in the farm. Receipt are kept of electricity in 7 months (Dec 2012 to now) = 470900kW Receipts of petrol, lubricant are kept in the last 6 months. Petrol is 780 litres, lubricant is 3900 litres. Calculation was reviewed. OK</p> <p>Record the deadfish quantity and disposal were kept in the farm diary. Records are kept since 12.2013.</p>	1	1
<b>PRINCIPLE 4. CONSERVE SPECIES DIVERSITY AND WILD POPULATIONS</b>						
<b>4.1 Criteria: Presence of pangasius in the water drainage system</b>						
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>	<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
4.1.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Farm located in a river basin where the farmed species is indigenous or has a self-recruiting [32] stock established before 1st January 2005</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Farms in a river basin where the species is either indigenous or has a self-recruiting stock established</p>	<p><b>Note:</b> If the farmed species is not indigenous to the river basin and the species does not have a self-recruiting stock established, then Indicator 4.1.1. does not apply. Enter 'not applicable' here and proceed to assess farm compliance against Indicator 4.1.3.</p> <p>a. Provide a declaration from farm and seed supplier identifying the species (Latin name) of pangasius farmed. Maintain records of seed purchases.</p> <p>b. Provide a map of the river basin showing the location of the farm (see 2.1.1).</p> <p>c. If the farmed species is indigenous to the river basin, provide documentary evidence (peer-reviewed papers, IUCN, FAO or other international organization).</p> <p>d. If the species is not indigenous and has a self-recruiting stock established in the river basin, provide documentary evidence (peer-reviewed papers, official government [competent authority] statements or other comparable references on multiple incidences of different age classes at different times and location) indicating that the stock was self recruiting before 1st January 2005.</p> <p>e.</p>	<p>A. Review declarations. Confirm that the farmed species is accurately identified in purchase records.</p> <p>B. Review map to confirm farm location within river basin.</p> <p>C. Confirm that documentation shows the farmed species is indigenous to the river basin.</p> <p>D. Confirm that documentation shows the farmed species has a self-recruiting stock that was established in the river basin before 1st January 2005.</p> <p>E. Verify the identity of the farmed species by direct observation during on-site visit.</p>	<p>Checked the farm and seed supplier's declarations showing the Latin name of pangasius farmed. OK</p> <p>Map to confirm farm location within river basin is reviewed. OK</p> <p>There is publication proving the species is indigenous: Scientific Magazine issued by University of Can Tho in 2008</p> <p>N/A. Indigenous</p> <p>Verified during the audit. OK</p>	1	1
4.1.2	<p><b>Indicator:</b> If a self-recruiting stock is established, evidence of no negative impacts on the environment [33]</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Farms in a river basin where the species is not indigenous and a self-recruiting stock is established</p>	<p>a. Provide documentary evidence: peer-reviewed papers, official government (competent authority) statements or other comparable references indicating no negative impacts.</p> <p>Negative impact by a self-recruiting stock includes but is not restricted to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- changing the genetic diversity of wild pangasius through interbreeding</li> <li>- competition (e.g. displacement of local species)</li> <li>- habitat destruction</li> </ul>	<p>A. Review evidence of no negative impact. If a self-recruiting stock has not become established in the river basin, or if the species is indigenous to the river basin, Indicator 4.1.2 is not applicable.</p>	<p>N/A. Indigenous</p> <p>N/A. Indigenous</p> <p>N/A. Indigenous</p> <p>N/A. Indigenous</p> <p>N/A. Indigenous</p>	1	1
Footnote	[32] Self-recruiting is defined as naturally reproducing. Peer-reviewed papers, official government (competent authority) statements or other comparable references on multiple incidences of different age classes at different times and location are necessary as evidence.					
Footnote	[33] Peer-reviewed papers, official government (competent authority) statements or other comparable references are necessary as evidence.					
4.1.3	<p><b>Indicator:</b> If the species is not indigenous and does not have a self-recruiting stock established, evidence that the species cannot establish in the river basin [34]</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Farms in a river basin where the species is not indigenous and does not have a self-recruiting stock established</p>	<p>a. Provide peer-reviewed papers based on field data. Theoretical analysis is not acceptable.</p>	<p>A. Review evidence provided by the farm to confirm that the farmed species cannot establish in the river basin.</p>	<p>N/A. Indigenous</p> <p>N/A. Indigenous</p> <p>N/A. Indigenous</p> <p>N/A. Indigenous</p> <p>N/A. Indigenous</p>	1	1
Footnote	[34] Peer-reviewed publication in a reputable journal is required as evidence that the species cannot be established.					
<b>4.2 Criteria: Genetic diversity</b>						
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>	<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			

4.2.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Demonstration [35] that the seed [36] has been generated from the pangasius population naturally reproducing in the river basin [37] <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> Farms in a river basin where the species is either indigenous or has a self-recruiting stock established	a. Obtain evidence for either of the following: - the species is indigenous to the river basin (result from 4.1.1); or - a self-recruiting stock has established in the river basin (result from 4.1.2).	A. Review evidence to confirm pangasius is indigenous to the river basin or else has a self-recruiting stock established there.	There are two publication proving the species is indigenous: Climate Change Monitoring - Mongabay.com FAO	1		
		b. Provide a map of the river basin showing the location of the farm (see 2.1.1).	B. Review map to confirm the farm's location coincides with an indigenous pangasius population or a self-recruiting stock that has established in the river basin.	Map to confirm farm location within river basin is reviewed. OK	1		
		c. Obtain a declaration from seed supplier(s) stating that the seed was generated from broodstock deriving (even if through several generations of spawning in captivity) from the pangasius population naturally reproducing in the river basin.	C. Review declarations. Confirm that the source of the seed is accurately identified in purchase records.	Declaration of broodstock origin is confirmed by the seed supplier for every delivery for seed.	1		
		d. For all seed purchases, maintain sufficient records (e.g. receipts) to identify the river basin source of broodstock. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	D. Verify that sourcing of seed is in compliance with the Requirement.	Seed receipts with identifying the river-basin source of broodstock are verified.	1		
Footnote	[35] A thorough map of pangasius establishment that indicated the range of the species, as well as distinct stocks, will be necessary.						
Footnote	[36] Throughout these standards, the word "seed" is used for pangasius seed only.						
Footnote	[37] This standard is applicable to all farms using seed sourced from either populations which are indigenous or populations which are established before January 2005.						
<b>4.3 Criteria: Source of seed</b>							
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
4.3.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Allowance for use of wild-caught seed for grow out <b>Requirement:</b> None <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Provide a declaration that the farm does not use wild-caught seed for grow out.	A. Verify declaration of no wild-caught seed for grow out.	Farm declaration of no wild-caught seed was verified.	1		
		b. Obtain statement from seed supplier(s) that the seed is not wild-caught (e.g. seed is derived from a broodstock held in captivity).	B. Verify that farm has statements from seed suppliers.	Statements from Thanh Mai & Nhan Son seed suppliers - were verified. No seed from wild-caught is used.	1		
		c. Maintain seed receipts for all stocking events. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	C. Verify the farm maintains accurate records for sourcing of seed.	Records for sourcing of seed were verified. No seed from wild-caught is used.	1		
<b>4.4 Criteria: Genetically engineered and hybridized strains</b>							
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
4.4.1	<b>Indicator:</b> No use of genetically engineered (transgenic) or hybrid seed <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Provide a declaration that the farm does not use genetically engineered (transgenic) or hybrid seed.	A. Verify declaration of no use of genetically engineered or hybrid strains.	Farm declaration of no use of genetically engineered or hybrid strains was verified.	1		
		b. Obtain statement from seed supplier that the seed is not genetically engineered (transgenic) or hybrid. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	B. Verify that farm maintains statements from seed suppliers.	Statements from Thanh Mai & Nhan Son seed suppliers were verified.	1		
Footnote	[31] A genetically modified organism (GMO) is an organism, with the exception of human beings, in which the genetic material has been altered in a way that does not occur naturally by mating and/or natural recombination (Directive 2001/18/EC).						
<b>4.5 Criteria: Escapees.</b>							
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
4.5.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Evidence that inlets and outlets to culture systems and all confinements are equipped with net mesh or grills appropriately sized to retain the stocks in culture preventing fish of any size (in the holding unit being assessed) to escape <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Provide farm records indicating fish sizes (e.g. average weight recorded monthly). For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	A. Review records for fish size in different holding units.	Fish sizes are recorded every 15 days. Records were reviewed.	1		
		b. Maintain records indicating the size of net mesh or grills for the entire farm. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	B. Review records for mesh or grill size.	There is one net size used in the farm : 2cmx2cm. Net is made by iron and sticketed in the cover of drain. Weekly record for net checking were reviewed. OK	1		
		-	C. During the on-site visit, inspect the size of net mesh or grills to confirm compliance.	Checked the inspection net on-site. OK	1		
4.5.2	<b>Indicator:</b> Evidence of regular, timely inspections (at least once a day); mitigation and repairs are performed on net mesh or grills and recorded in a permanent register (available for inspection) <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Provide farm records for daily inspection of net mesh or grills used in production (e.g. grow-out) units.	A. Review records to verify inspections are regular and timely.	Fish sizes are recorded every 15 days. Records were reviewed.	1		
		b. Keep records of mitigation and repairs in a permanent register. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	B. Review the register to verify repairs are performed and recorded.	Reviewed the records. There was one time of repair in 12.2.2013 at pond A15. Change the net.	1		
		c. Arrange for the auditor to observe an inspection during the on-site visit.	c. Witness the farm performing an inspection of meshes and grills to confirm that the program is effective.	Witness the inspection net on-site. OK	1		

4.5.3	<b>Indicator:</b> Bund [38] height sufficient [39] to prevent water spillage, along with escapes, in the rainy season when flooding occurs <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> Ponds	a. Provide official records or statement showing local maximum water level (river levels, tide levels, flooding levels, etc) in the previous 10 years.	A. Review records covering ≥ 10 years or statement to establish the maximum height of high water when flooding occurs.	Survey done by Hydrology Centre of An Giang in 01.9.2012 for the farm says the highest flood level is 2.84 metres in 2001 comparing with the Hon Dau point. There are 20 points within the farm surveyed. The lowest area in the farm is 0.52 meter higher than the max flood level in 2001.	1	
		b. Obtain a statement from local authorities or reputable organisation reporting the altitude (m above sea level) of the bund in its lowest point. Show location of bund low-point on a map of the farm (see 2.1.1).	B. Review statement and map. During the on-site visit, inspect farm to verify that bund height is sufficient to prevent spillage when flooding occurs. Note: dyke, dike, bund and berm all have the same meaning for this criteria.	In the report issued on 01.9.2012, Hydrology Centre of An Giang concludes the lowest point in the farm is 0.52 meter higher than the max flood level in 2001. Map shows the bund low-point. Inspected farm. The bund height is sufficient to prevent spillage.	1	
		c. Provide a written statement that there were no incidents of significant spillage or escapement due to flooding in the last 12 months.	C. During local community and employee interviews, verify there is no evidence for significant spillage or escapement from the farm in the last 12 months.	No escapes found according to local persons	1	
Footnote	[38] Bund: berm containing the water in the pond.					
Footnote	[39] Consider 10 years maximum water level (including cases of storms).					
4.5.4	<b>Indicator:</b> Presence of trapping devices [40] placed in effluent/drainage canals or on water outlets to capture escapees, a record of findings and actions taken (available for inspection) <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Identify the quantity and location of all trapping devices. The term 'trapping device' does not include mesh or grid barriers (see 4.5.1).	A. Review how the farm uses trapping devices to monitor escapees. Verify that trapping devices do not injure/compromise fish (e.g. gill nets).	One trap devices are used at the drain made by frabic net, 2cmx22cm. The trap was verified during the audit. OK	1	
		b. Maintain a record of regular (at least weekly) trap inspections and observed escapees.	B. Review records of inspection and observed escapees.	Weekly record of escapee was reviewed. OK. There is trapchanging when the trap was damaged. Ex: 24.6.2013	1	
		c. When escapees are detected, record any actions taken to reduce or eliminate escapement. For first audits, these records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	C. Review the suitability of any actions taken by the farm to reduce escapement.	Report to farm manager and check the net again once finding out escapees. Actions taken are suitable.	1	
		-	D. During the on-site visit, inspect to verify that traps are configured properly and located suitably to ensure effective farm-wide monitoring of escapees.	Observed the trap checking during the audit. OK	1	
Footnote	[40] These devices should not injure or compromise fish health (e.g., gill nets).					
<b>4.6 Criteria: Pond Maintenance</b>						
<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>			<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
4.6.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Evidence that the bund has remained intact [41] throughout the culture cycle <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Prepare a procedure for the monitoring and repair of damaged bunds.	A. Review farm's procedure for bund monitoring and repair.	Farm's procedure for bund monitoring and repair has been mentioned in the Emergency Plan. Daily monitoring is recorded.	1	
		b. Maintain a record of bund monitoring and repair that identifies date of damage detection and when the farm initiated and completed repairs.	B. Review records for evidence that the bund has remained intact in the last 12 months. If a bund was found to be compromised, there shall be evidence that repairs were completed as soon as practical.	There is record used in case bund damage.	1	
		c. During the on-site visit, arrange for auditor to inspect farm's bunds.	C. Inspect bunds to confirm compliance. Examine for any signs of collapse and note evidence of repairs.	Inspected the farm. No signs of collapse is found. Bund has strengthened sometimes when flooding came.	1	
		-	D. During local community and employee interviews, verify that bunds have remained intact throughout the culture cycle.		1	
Footnote	[41] Has not been affected in such a way to allow the escape in part or all of the farmed stock.					
4.6.2	<b>Indicator:</b> Evidence assuring there has been no intentional release [42] <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Prepare a declaration that the farm has made no intentional releases in the last 12 months.	A. Review declaration to confirm compliance.	Farm decalaration was reviewed. Compliance.	1	
		b. Maintain records and receipts to show that all crops stocked have been harvested and sold (see 2.4.2 and 5.2.1) or properly disposed (see 3.5.4). For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	B. Review records to confirm that all stockings can be accounted for by harvest or disposal.	Records were checked. All stocking can be counted, harvested .	1	
		c. Prepare a written justification for any periods of inactivity lasting longer than 3 months. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	C. Review annual production records to determine if there are significant discrepancies that could indicate the possibility of intentional release.	No inactivity lasting longer than three months is found.	1	
Footnote	[42] The original intent of footnote 42 from the Pangasius Aquaculture Dialogue Standards has been clarified here for auditing purposes. It now reads: "Significant discrepancies between the number (or biomass) of fish stocked and the number (or biomass) of fish sold in the absence of disease outbreaks, major theft or escapes would indicate the possibility of intentional release."					
<b>PRINCIPLE 5. USE FEED AND FEEDING PRACTICES THAT ENSURE THAT FEED INPUTS ARE SUSTAINABLE AND MINIMIZED</b>						
<b>5.1 Criteria: Sustainability of feed ingredients</b>						
<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>			<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
	<b>Indicator:</b> Use of uncooked or unprocessed fish and/or fish products	a. Maintain records (e.g. receipts) for all purchases of commercial feed in the last 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	A. Review farm records for commercially sourced feeds.	Only CP feed is used. Receipts were kept since 12. 2012	1	

5.1.1	[43] (including trash fish) as feed <b>Requirement:</b> No <b>Applicability:</b> All	b. If any farm-made feed was used, provide a description of ingredients and preparations. Maintain evidence of purchase (e.g. receipts) or ownership of all ingredients. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	B. Review ingredients to verify that farm-made feed had no uncooked or unprocessed fish and/or fish products (including trash fish).	N/A. No farm-made feed used	1		
			C. Verify that farm records are sufficient to account for all feed used. There should be no indication of unexplained sources of feed.	N/A. No farm-made feed used	1		
Footnote	[43] Fish products are defined as all forms of fish or products derived from fish (e.g., whole fresh, frozen, minced, dried, meals, oils, and processing by-products).						
5.1.2	<b>Indicator:</b> Use of pangasius fish processing by-products [44] as feed or feed ingredients <b>Requirement:</b> No <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Prepare a declaration that no by-products of pangasius fish processing were used as feed for pangasius at any time during the last 12 months.	A. Review farm's declaration to confirm that no by-products of pangasius fish processing were used as feed for pangasius.	Farm's declaration confirming that no by-products of pangasius fish processing were used as feed for pangasius in 01.05.2012	1		
		b. For all feed used in the last 12 months, obtain a declaration from the manufacturer showing compliance. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months and all the feed requirements apply only to fish on site.	B. Review manufacturer's declaration to confirm no pangasius by-products were in feed.	Commitment of feed manufacturer signed in 01.05.2012 was reviewed	1		
		c. If farm-made feed was used in the last 12 months, prepare a declaration that no pangasius by-products were used as feed ingredients. If fish meal or fish oil was used, obtain a statement from the respective supplier confirming compliance. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	C. Review farm documentation to confirm that no pangasius by-products were used in feed preparation (if applicable).	N/A. No farm-made feed used	1		
Footnote	[44] Trimmings, viscera, heads and frames from the processing of fish—either wild or farmed—are processing by-products. Generally, these are not counted as part of the “fish product” amount when calculating feed fish equivalencies, as this helps promote the best use of the wild-caught fish. However, it is not acceptable to use pangasius by-products in pangasius diets.						
5.1.3	<b>Indicator:</b> Fish products used in feed are not in the “threatened categories” [45] on the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species [46] <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	<p><b>Instructions to Clients for Indicator 5.1.3 - Confirm there are no IUCN Red List Species in Feed</b></p> <p>For the purposes of this Indicator, the ASC definition of ‘fish products’ shall encompass all wild-capture marine resources, including finfish and invertebrate species (e.g. shrimp, crab, squid). Farms must be aware that feeds which contain any IUCN Red Listed species do not comply with the Standard. This restriction extends to feeds that use by-products (e.g. trimming) or aquacultured products of IUCN Red Listed species.</p> <p>For each fish product used as a feed ingredient, determine whether the species is on the IUCN Red List as follows: - go to <a href="http://www.iucnredlist.org/">http://www.iucnredlist.org/</a> - in the primary search field enter the genus and species - click on “run search” and record the status of the species.</p> <p>Note: The IUCN Red List uses nine categories for ranking species according to threat, and search results may include species that are not currently threatened. For the purposes of determining whether the feed complies with Indicator 5.1.3, consider only species identified as “Vulnerable”, “Endangered”, or “Critically Endangered”. Species that are listed in other IUCN categories (e.g. “Not evaluated”, “Data Deficient”, and “Least Concern”) may be excluded from further analyses.</p>					
		a. Obtain a statement from feed manufacturer identifying the origin of all fish products used as feed ingredients (to specify genus, species and region of harvest). For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months and all the feed requirements apply only to fish on site.	A. Confirm that farm has records of ingredients from all commercially sourced feeds.	Statement from CP feed manufacture verifying the origin of all fish product used as ingredients was available. Trimming of Decapterus maruadsi, Abalister Stellaris, Leiognathus Equalites, Sardinella Gibbosa are used in the fish meal, no using of fisdool.	1		
		b. Verify that none of the species identified in 5.1.3(a) are in “threatened categories” on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.	B. Repeat search of IUCN database to verify that farm obtained an accurate result.	Repeat search of IUCN database. Farm has accurate results.	1		
		c. If farm-made feed was used, verify that no species are in “threatened categories” on the IUCN Red List. If fish meal or fish oil were used, obtain a statement from the respective supplier confirming compliance.	C. Confirm that farm has provided sufficient evidence of compliance.	N/A. No farm-made feed used	1		
Footnote	[45] Vulnerable, Endangered and Critically Endangered.						
Footnote	[46] <a href="http://www.iucnredlist.org">www.iucnredlist.org</a> Use latest version. A period of one year is allowed for adaptation to any new amendment, therefore if a new animal is added to the IUCN list, producers have one year to meet the standards.						
5.1.4	<b>Indicator:</b> Fish products used in feed are not from species listed in the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) Appendices I, II and III [47] <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Obtain a statement from feed manufacturer identifying the origin of all fish products used as feed ingredients (to specify genus, species and region of harvest). [See Indicator 5.1.5 about sourcing of trimmings and aquacultured products as feed ingredients]. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months and all the feed requirements apply only to fish on site	A. Confirm that farm has a statement from the feed manufacturer verifying the origin of all fish products used as ingredients in all commercial feeds.	Feed manufacturer is only CP. Statement from CP feed manufacture verifying the origin of all fish product used as ingredients was available. Trimming of Decapterus maruadsi, Abalister Stellaris, Leiognathus Equalites, Sardinella Gibbosa are used in the fish meal, no using of fisdool. The genus, species and region of harvest (Vietnam, Indonesia, Thai Lan Bay) of fishes used in the fishmeal are also confirmed by fishmeal suppliers (Phuc Loc, Nghe Quynh, Kien Giang). OK	1		
		b. Determine if any species identified in 5.1.4(a) is listed in CITES appendix I, II, or III by doing the following: - go to <a href="http://www.cites.org/eng/resources/species.html">http://www.cites.org/eng/resources/species.html</a> - select option “Species”, enter genus and species, and click “find it”	B. Repeat search of CITES database to verify that farm obtained an accurate result.	Repeat search of CITES database. Farm has accurate results.	1		

		C. If farm-made feed was used, verify that no species are listed in CITES Appendix I, II or III. If fish meal or fish oil were used, obtain a statement from the respective supplier confirming compliance.	C. Confirm that farm has provided sufficient evidence of compliance.						
						N/A. No farm-made feed used		1	
Footnote	[47] <a href="http://www.cites.org/eng/app/appendices.shtml">http://www.cites.org/eng/app/appendices.shtml</a>								
5.1.5	<p><b>Indicator:</b> ISEAL-certified fishmeal and fish oil products must be used in feed</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Within 3 years of becoming available in a region</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All, after 3 years of ISEAL-certified fishmeal and fish oil becoming available in the region of production. Not applicable if only trimming and aquaculture products are used</p>	<p><b>Note 1:</b> "becoming available in a region" means being commercially available in the region (UN regions) by at least two independent suppliers and indicated in grey literature (the date of appearing in grey literature is to be used).</p> <p><b>Note 2:</b> "products" does not apply to trimmings and aquacultured products used as feed ingredients (see Indicator 5.1.3).</p> <p>a. Obtain a statement from feed manufacturer identifying the origin of all fish products used as feed ingredients (to specify genus, species and region of harvest). For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months and all the feed requirements apply only to fish on site.</p> <p>b. Provide evidence that fish meal and fish oil products used in feed are from sources certified as compliant to the standards of an ISEAL member.</p>	<p>A. Confirm that farm has statement from feed manufacturer identifying the origin of all fish products used as feed ingredients (to specify genus, species and region of harvest).</p> <p>B. Review evidence and confirm compliance.</p>	<p>Statement from CP feed manufacture verifying the origin of all fish product used as ingredients was available. Trimming of Decapterus maruadi, Abalister Stellaris, Leiognathus Equalites, Sardinella Gibbosa are used in the fish meal, no using of fisdool. The genus, species and region of harvest (Vietnam, Indonesia, Thai Lan Bay) of fishes used in the fishmeal are also confirmed by fishmeal suppliers (Phuc Loc, Nghe Quynh, Kien Giang). OK</p> <p>N/A. Trimmings are used in the fish meal and fish oil.</p>				1	
5.1.6	<p><b>Indicator:</b> ISEAL certified fishmeal and fish oil products must be used in feed</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Within 5 years from the publication date of the PAD standards</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All, after August 2015. Not applicable if only trimming and aquaculture products are used</p>	<p>a. Obtain statement from feed manufacturer as for Indicator 5.1.5. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months and all the feed requirements apply only to fish on site.</p> <p>b. Provide evidence of certified fish feed ingredients as for Indicator 5.1.5.</p>	<p>A. Confirm that farm obtains information about feed ingredients.</p> <p>B. Review evidence and confirm compliance.</p>	<p>Statement from CP feed manufacture verifying the origin of all fish product used as ingredients was available. Trimming of Decapterus maruadi, Abalister Stellaris, Leiognathus Equalites, Sardinella Gibbosa are used in the fish meal, no using of fisdool. The genus, species and region of harvest (Vietnam, Indonesia, Thai Lan Bay) of fishes used in the fishmeal are also confirmed by fishmeal suppliers (Phuc Loc, Nghe Quynh, Kien Giang). OK</p> <p>N/A. Trimmings are used in the fish meal and fish oil.</p>				1	
5.1.7	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Interim Option A: Fishmeal or fish oil products used in feed have been sourced from fisheries with an average FishSource (FS) score</p> <p>Interim Option B: Fish Products used in feed have been sourced from facilities certified as being in compliance with Sections 11 (Responsible Sourcing), 2 (Traceability), and 3 (Responsible Manufacturing) of the International Fishmeal and Fish Oil Organisation's (IFFO) "Responsible Sourcing Program for Certification of Responsible Practice for Fishmeal and Fish Oil Production"</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> ≥ 6.0 with no individual score &lt; 6.0 or an N/A in the stock assessment category</p> <p>Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> Up to when standard 5.1.5 or 5.1.6 can be met. Not applicable if only trimming and aquaculture products are used</p>	<p><b>Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.1.7 - FishSource Score of Products Used in Feed</b> To determine FishSource scores of fish species used as feed ingredients, do the following: - go to <a href="http://www.fishsource.org/">http://www.fishsource.org/</a> - select "Species" drop down tab to the left - select the species that is utilized by the farm as a source of fish meal or oil - confirm that the search identifies the correct species, then select the top tab that reads "Scores" - Review scores to verify average FS scores ≥ 6.0; no individual score &lt; 6.0, and no "N/A" for "Stock Assessment" category (category 4 in FishSource scoring).</p> <p>If results show the species does not meet all three of the above criteria, then the feed does not meet requirements of the ASC Pangasius Standard. If the species has not been assessed (i.e. it is not listed on the FishSource website), then the feed does not meet requirements of the Standard. Contact FishSource via Sustainable Fisheries Partnerships to identify the species as a priority for assessment.</p> <p>a. Obtain statement from feed manufacturer as for Indicator 5.1.5. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months and all the feed requirements apply only to fish on site.</p> <p>b. Provide an FS score or verification of IFFO certification for each species used as a feed ingredient in all feeds used by the farm during the last 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months and all the feed requirements apply only to fish on site.</p>	<p>A. Verify that farm obtains information about feed ingredients.</p> <p>B. Review FS scores and IFFO certification for species used in feed. Cross check against species listed in feed supplier declarations (see 5.1.3a).</p>	<p>Statement from CP feed manufacture verifying the origin of all fish product used as ingredients was available. Trimming of Decapterus maruadi, Abalister Stellaris, Leiognathus Equalites, Sardinella Gibbosa are used in the fish meal, no using of fisdool. The genus, species and region of harvest (Vietnam, Indonesia, Thai Lan Bay) of fishes used in the fishmeal are also confirmed by fishmeal suppliers (Phuc Loc, Nghe Quynh, Kien Giang). OK</p> <p>N/A. 5.1.5&amp;6 can not be meet this audit and trimming are used in the fish meal.</p>				1	
<b>5.2 Criteria: Efficient management of feed use on the farm</b>									
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>			<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>				
5.2.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Maximum weighted [50] average of economic Feed Conversion Ratio (eFCR) for the complete production cycle</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> 1.68</p>	<p>a. Obtain receipts and/or statements from seed supplier indicating average weight of seed and numbers. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months and records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).</p> <p>b. Maintain records showing the type of feed and the total amount used (see 3.1.1a).</p> <p>d</p>	<p>A. Review records to confirm that farm has records for all seed.</p> <p>B. Confirm that farm has complete and accurate records for feed.</p> <p>C. Verify the farm keeps records showing amount of fish harvested.</p>	<p>Average weight of seed and numbers are mentioned in each seed receipts and kept in the farm. OK</p> <p>Total feed used is calculated. Farm has complete and accurate records for feed. OK</p> <p>Record of fish harvested is verified in the farm diary. OK</p>				1	

	Applicability: All	d. Calculate eFCR and yield for each crop harvested during the last 12 months using the formulas given in Annex D of the Pangasius Standard. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	D. Review calculations for accuracy and completeness.	The calculations are reviewed. Accuracy and completeness.	1		
		e. Calculate maximum weighted average eFCR for the complete production cycle using the formula given in Annex D of the Pangasius Standard.	E. Review calculations for accuracy. Confirm compliance.	Weighted average eFCR is 1.62 and was counted from 4 harvested ponds during last 12 months. The calculations are accurate. OK	1		
Footnote: [50] Weighting to be conducted by the amount of fish produced in different farming units (e.g. ponds, pens and cages).							
5.2.2	Indicator: Maximum Fish Feed Equivalence Ratio (FFER) Requirement: 0.5 Applicability: All	a. Obtain statement(s) from feed manufacturer indicating the maximum inclusion percentage of fish meal and fish oil in each type of feed used. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.  b. Calculate the FFER using the formula given in Annex D of the Pangasius Standard. By-products from fish processing of species other than pangasius but not on the IUCN Red List or CITES lists can be used and not be factored in as "fish meal or oil" for this calculation	A. Verify that farm obtains information about percent inclusion of fish meal and fish oil for all feed types.  B. Review calculations to verify accuracy. Confirm compliance.	N/A. Fishmeal is sourced from trimming. The percentage is 0.  N/A. Fishmeal is sourced from trimming. The percentage is 0.	1  1		
PRINCIPLE 6. Minimize ecosystem and human health impacts, while maximizing fish health, welfare and ensuring food safety							
6.1 Criteria: Mortalities							
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):		Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):			
6.1.1	Indicator: Maximum average real percentage mortality, from stocking to harvest, during the grow-out period (See Real Percent Mortality formula in Annex D). Requirement: 20 % Applicability: All	<p><b>Instructions to Clients for Indicator 6.1.1 - Calculating Average Real Percentage Mortality (RPM)</b> Calculate the weighted average of Real Percentage Mortality using the stocking &amp; harvesting data from every enclosure used by the farm in the last 12 months. Do one calculation per enclosure as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Determine the number of fish stocked. This number may be obtained from <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>direct counts of fingerlings, or</li> <li>computed by taking the total weight of stocked fish and dividing by the average weight of the fish stocked</li> </ul> </li> <li>Determine the number of fish harvested. This number may be obtained from <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>direct counts of harvested fish, or</li> <li>computed by taking the total weight of harvested fish and dividing by average weight of the fish harvested</li> </ul> </li> <li>Using the formula in Annex D, compute the Real Percentage Mortality for the enclosure (Note 1).</li> <li>Repeat steps 1-3 for every other enclosure used by the farm.</li> <li>Compute the weighted average RPM for all enclosures over the last 12 months as follows</li> </ol> $\text{Weighted Average RPM} = \frac{[(\text{RPM}E1 \times \text{Yield}E1) + (\text{RPM}E2 \times \text{Yield}E2) \dots + (\text{RPM}En \times \text{Yield}En)]}{(\text{Yield}E1 + \text{Yield}E2 \dots + \text{Yield}En)}$ <p>Where E1, E2, En are the 1st enclosure, the 2nd enclosure and the nth enclosure</p> <p>For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).</p> <p><b>Note 1:</b> Only use counts of live fish in these calculations. Do not include counts of dead fish when determining number of harvested fish or number of stocked fish. <b>Note 2:</b> Only use information from complete crops.</p>					
		a. Obtain receipts and/or statements from seed supplier indicating average weight of seed and numbers (see 5.2.1a). Maintain records to show the total number of fish stocked into each enclosure during the last 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months and records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	A. Review receipts. Confirm that farm records are sufficient to determine number of seed stocked into each enclosure.	Receipt of seed is kept with the numbers and weight of seed	1		
		b. Maintain harvest records for each crop (e.g. selling receipts or processing plant receipts) that are sufficient to show the total number of fish harvested from each enclosure. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	B. Review records. Confirm that farm records are sufficient to determine number of fish harvested from each enclosure.	Harvest numbers are kept in the farm diary.	1		
		c. Calculate the weighted average of the Real Percentage Mortality (see above) using the formula given in Annex D of the Pangasius Standard. Provide calculations to the auditor.	C. Review farm's calculations to verify accuracy. Confirm that average real percentage mortality is ≤ 20%.	Calculations were reviewed. Max mortality is 19.6%, min is 6.1%. The average real mortality in 6 months is 14.8%. Compliance	1		
6.2 Criteria: Veterinary medicines and chemicals							
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):		Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):			
		a. Prepare a list of all veterinary medicines, chemicals and biological products used on the farm in the past 12 months. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	A. Review list of medicines, chemicals and biological products.	List of medicine is available. There are three kinds of antibiotics used: Amoxicillin Trihydrate BP, Dococycline Hyclate, Florfenicol	1		
		b. Provide records detailing the use of any veterinary medicines, chemicals and biological products on the farm in the last 12 months. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	B. Review records to confirm farm usage of products. During on-site inspection, verify there is no evidence for unrecorded use of any veterinary medicines, chemicals or biological products (i.e. no empty containers or non-inventoried warehouse supplies).	Record of using medicines are reviewed.	1		
		c. For the list provided in 6.2.1a, identify suppliers and contact information.	C. Review list.	Review the list. OK	1		

6.2.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Use only veterinary medicines, chemicals and biological products approved for aquaculture by relevant national authorities and not banned for food fish use in the potential importing country.</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p>d. For the list provided in 6.2.1a, show that each item is approved for aquaculture by relevant national authorities.</p>	<p>D. Confirm that listed products used are approved for aquaculture.</p>	<p>List of aquaculture medicines, probiotics, chemical allowed to used issued in 22.6.2012, Circular No.25/2012/TT-BNNPTNT As for antibiotics used, they are allowed according to List of prohibited antibiotics used in aquaculture No. 15/2009/TT-BNN issued in 17.03.2009 by Department of Rural Development and Agriculture.</p>			
		<p>e. Provide a list of the farm's exports (i.e. sales to parties in foreign countries) over the last 12 months.</p>	<p>E. Review list and compare to farm's sales receipts.</p>	<p>No products from this farm so far to export.</p>	1		
		<p>f. If the farm cannot determine the country of export (6.2.1e), prepare a list of the top five countries importing pangasius from the country where the farm operates (regions operating within the same legislation on this matter, e.g. the EU, are considered as a single country).</p>	<p>F. Review list (as applicable).</p>	<p>List of country of export is available, including EU, China, Russia, Taiwan, Brazil</p>	1		
		<p>g. For each country identified in 6.2.1e (or 6.2.1f as applicable), provide a list of veterinary medicines, chemicals and biological products that are banned from imports of pangasius for human consumption.</p>	<p>G. Review list.</p>	<p>List of banned products is summarised in the Decision No. 1471/QĐ-BNN-QLCL issued by NAFIQAD in 20.6.2012</p>	1		
		<p>h. Show that in the last 12 months, the farm did not use any veterinary medicines, chemicals or biological products that are banned or non-approved in the importing country.</p>	<p>H. Review evidence. Cross-check the farm's export markets (i.e. the importing countries) against the list of products that are banned (see 6.2.1e) in those countries.</p>	<p>List of medicines used in the last 12 months are available. OK.</p>	1		
6.2.2	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Use only veterinary medicines and chemicals for therapeutic use prescribed by an aquatic animal health specialist [55] based on a verified condition, follow the label specifications concerning the use of the substance for the given purpose [56].</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p>a. Provide records of prescriptions, or the written advice of a suitably qualified aquatic animal health specialist [55], for veterinary medicines and chemicals used on the farm. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.</p>	<p>A. Review records of prescriptions or written advice for veterinary medicines and chemicals.</p>	<p>Prescriptions for each usage of medicines are reviewed. Ex: On 01.01.2013, pond A 14, using Florfenicol in 4 days, withdrawal time was 28 days. This prescription was made by AAH specialist - Mr. Le Chau Quoc Phu</p>	1		
		<p>b. For each application of veterinary medicines and chemicals for therapeutic use, provide a description of condition and evidence showing endorsement (prescription) from an aquatic animal health specialist. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.</p>	<p>B. Review written descriptions. Confirm use approved by AAH Specialist.</p>	<p>Signed by AAH for each prescriptions. OK</p>	1		
		<p>c. If application differs from the label specification, obtain written justification from aquatic animal health specialist. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.</p>	<p>C. Review justifications from AAH Specialist as applicable.</p>	<p>These antibiotics (Amoxycillin Trihydrate BP, Doxycycline Hyclate, Florfenicol) are used with the withdrawal period (four weeks) set by the farm, higher than regulating by the Circular No. 25/BNNPTNT issued 22.6.2012.</p>	1		
		<p>d. Provide copies of the title(s) of the aquatic animal health specialist showing how s/he is suitably qualified for the position.</p>	<p>D. Review evidence. Confirm that AAH Specialist is suitably qualified.</p>	<p>Mr. Le Chau Quoc Phu - graduated from University of Hue - Agriculture department. He completed the Pangasius Health Management on 4-8. 6.2012 issued by University of Can Tho, Aquaculture Department, and the Aquatic Health Management Specialist on ASC Compliance in 23-25.4.2012 issued by WWF.</p>	1		
Footnote	<p>[55] Aquatic animal health specialist defined following government's regulations, if such regulations exist in the producing country. If the government does not regulate on this, the following people can be considered as specialists:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Veterinarians with at least three months of academic training on fish health management (for a total of at least 60 hours). This training may be included with the veterinary degree.</li> <li>• Aquaculturists (with university or vocational degree) who have completed at least three months of training on fish pathology and treatment (for a total of at least 60 hours). This training may be included with the university or vocational degree.</li> </ul>						
Footnote	<p>[56] Label specifications may be overridden by the recommendations of the aquatic animal health specialist when justification for the decision is documented in the farm book or approved in the animal health plan.</p>						
6.2.3	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Follow the aquatic animal health specialist recommendations on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- how to apply the veterinary medicine and chemicals prescribed</li> <li>2 - how to handle &amp; store the veterinary medicines and chemicals prescribed</li> <li>3 - who needs to be informed about the disease and how</li> <li>4 - how to limit the spread of the disease to neighboring wild or farmed populations</li> </ol> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p>a. For veterinary medicines or chemicals applied and for all mortality events notified, provide statements of the specialist indicating his/her recommendation on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- how to apply the veterinary medicine and chemicals prescribed;</li> <li>- how to handle &amp; store the veterinary medicine and chemicals prescribed;</li> <li>- who needs to be informed about the disease; and</li> <li>- how to limit the spread of the disease to neighboring wild or farmed populations.</li> </ul> <p>For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.</p>	<p>A. Review health events to verify that the farm has written recommendations from the AAH Specialist addressing each of these four points.</p>	<p>Aquaculture disease plan management is issued on the 1.12.2012 including the kind of disease, application, Handling and storing of medicine is mentioned in the Feed and Chemical inventory Procedure issued in 1.12.2012 Person to be informed about disease and is noted in Biosecurity Management issued in 1.12.2012 To limit the spread of disease is mentioned in Dealing with Emergency signed on 1.12.2012.</p>	1		
		<p>b. Provide a declaration that the farm followed the recommendations of the aquatic animal health specialist.</p>	<p>B. Review farm's declaration to confirm following recommendations of the AAH Specialist.</p>	<p>Farm declaration was reviewed , done in 1.12.2012. Compliance</p>	1		
		<p>-</p>	<p>C. During on-site visits, inspect to verify proper storage according to the AAH Specialist's recommendations.</p>	<p>Inspected the storage. OK</p>	1		
		<p>-</p>	<p>D. During on-site visits, make direct observations to confirm there is no evidence of any of the recommendations not having been followed.</p>	<p>Inspected the storage and the farm. No evidence of any of the recommendations not having been followed.</p>	1		

6.2.4	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Allowance to sell fish or fish products before the completion of the withdrawal period specified on veterinary medicine or chemical labels or 750 °D if no withdrawal is specified on label</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> None</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. For chemical/medicinal treatments in the last 12 months, provide daily records of product use and water temperature during withdrawal periods. For first audits, records must cover ≥ 6 months and at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	A. Review records from all withdrawals.	Withdrawals are mentioned in each prescriptions and in the farm diary. Records were reviewed. OK	1		
		b. Provide labels indicating duration of withdrawal periods. If labels do not specify a withdrawal period, provide evidence that withdrawal periods were > 750 degree days.	B. Review labels and completion dates of withdrawal periods.	Review the label.OK For these antibiotics (Amoxicillin Trihydrate BP, Docycyline Hyclate, Florfenicol) the withdrawal periods (four weeks) are set by the farm, higher than regulating by the Circular No. 25/BNNPTNT issued 22.6.2012.	1		
		c. Provide evidence (e.g. receipts) to show no fish were harvested before completion of withdrawal period during the last 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	C. Evaluate evidence to verify that no fish were harvested before completion of withdrawal period.	Checked in the farm diary to evaluate the fish harvested before the completion of withdrawal period.	1		
6.2.5	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Allowance for the use of antibiotics critical for human medicine, as categorized by the World Health Organization [57].</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> None</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Maintain a list of all antibiotics used on the farm in the last 12 months. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	A. Review list of antibiotics used.	List of antibiotics used was reviewed. Those are Amoxicillin Trihydrate BP, Docycyline Hyclate, Florfenicol. OK	1		
		b. Prepare declaration stating that farm did not use any antibiotics critically important for human medicine as categorized by the WHO in the last 12 months.	B. Review declaration. Cross check list of antibiotics used by the farm (see 6.2.5a) against the WHO list of antibiotics critical to human medicine.	Farm declaration was reviewed. Checked the list of antibiotics used in the farm against the WHO list of antibiotics critical to human medicine. Compliance.	1		
		c. Provide the up-to-date list of the WHO [57]	C. Verify farm holds an up-to-date copy of the WHO list [57]	An up-to-date copy of the WHO list was verified (Version 3 in 2011)	1		
			D. During on-site visits, verify there is no evidence of use of antibiotics critical for human medicine through direct observation and inspection.	No evidence of using of antibiotics critical for human medicine were found during on-site visits. Compliance.	1		
Footnote	[57] Refer to the second WHO Expert meeting on Critically Important Antimicrobials for Human Medicine: Categorization for the Development of Risk Management Strategies to Contain Antimicrobial Resistance due to Non-Human Antimicrobial use, 29–31 May 2007 <a href="http://www.who.int/entity/foodborne_disease/resistance/antimicrobials_human.pdf">http://www.who.int/entity/foodborne_disease/resistance/antimicrobials_human.pdf</a>						
6.2.6	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Allowance for prophylactic use of veterinary medicines (excluding vaccines) prior to any evidence of a specific disease problem.</p> <p><b>Standard:</b> None</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Provide declaration stating that farm does not use any unauthorized prophylactic veterinary medicines (prior to evidence of a specific disease problem)	A. Verify farm holds declaration	Farm declaration stating that farm does not use any unauthorized prophylactic veterinary medicines was verified.	1		
		b. Obtain a declaration from the aquatic animal health specialist indicating that s/he is not aware of any unauthorized prophylactic use of veterinary medicines (prior to evidence of a specific disease problem) by the farm in the last 12 months. For first audits, the period covered by the declaration must be ≥ 6 months.	B. Verify the AAH Specialist declares there is no known unauthorized prophylactic use of veterinary medicines.	AHH Specialist declaration is verified.	1		
		c. Maintain receipts for all purchases of veterinary medicines. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	C. Verify farm maintains records of all purchases of veterinary medicines.	Purchases of veterinary medicines were verified.	1		
		-	D. During on-site visits, inspect the inventory of veterinary medicines to verify that all supplies are accounted for.	Inspect the medicine storage. OK	1		
		-	E. Reconcile the quantities purchased against stocks held on-site and records for usage (e.g. 6.2.5a) based on reviewing a sample of medicines.	Cross checked with the quantities purchased and quantity used and stocked during the audit. OK	1		
6.2.7	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Allowance for use of veterinary medicine (excluding vaccines) to serve as growth promoters [58].</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> None</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Obtain a declaration from the applicant, endorsed by an aquatic animal health specialist indicating that there has been no use of veterinary medicines (excluding vaccines) as growth promoters by the farm in the last 12 months. For first audits, the period covered by the declaration must be ≥ 6 months.	A. Verify the AAH Specialist supports the declaration that there is no use of veterinary medicine as growth promoters.	AHH Specialist declaration is verified.	1		
		-	B. Reconcile the quantities of veterinary medicines purchased against stocks held on-site and records for usage (e.g. 6.2.5a) based on reviewing a sample of medicines.	Checked the quantity used with the purchased ones and stocks held on-site. Compliance.	1		
Footnote	[58] Growth promoters: Veterinary medicines, such as antibiotics, to be given to healthy fish for the sole purpose of making them grow faster (i.e., not to treat a specific disease).						
6.3 Criteria: Pangasius health plan							
<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>			<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>				



6.3.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Presence of a written pangasius health plan reviewed yearly, updated and approved by a specified aquatic animal health specialist [59] (See Annex E for Health Plan.</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p>a. Prepare the farm's written pangasius health plan containing all required elements (Annex E).</p>	<p>A. Review health plan for compliance with Annex E.</p>	<p>The health plan includes 10 separated internal procedures issued in 01.12.2012. The names are as follows:  - Site history, organization and farm layout  - Species introduction  - Fingerlings Management  - Water environment Management  - Feeding management  - Disease management  - Waste management  - Biodiversity Management  - Harvest and post-harvest management  - Traceability procedure.  The details were checked and found compliance with Annex E.</p>	1		
		<p>b. Obtain review and written approval of the pangasius health plan by the farm's aquatic animal health specialist.</p>	<p>B. Confirm that the farm's aquatic animal health specialist has reviewed and approved the pangasius health plan.</p>	<p>AAH is reviewed and approves the health plan.</p>	1		
		<p>c. Review the health plan at least once every 12 months. Update as needed and obtain approval by the farm's aquatic animal health specialist.</p>	<p>C. Confirm that farm has health plan reviewed, updated, and approved every 12 months. For first audits, the response is 'not applicable'.</p>	<p>NA</p>	1		
		<p>-</p>	<p>D. During on-site visit, verify that the plan is implemented and effective.</p>	<p>The plan is implemented and effective.</p>	1		
<p>Footnote: [59] GlobalG A.P. AB 5.2.3 was taken as reference and amended to fit with the requirements of the PAD stakeholders.</p>							
<p><b>6.4 Criteria: Holding-unit specific record-keeping</b></p>							
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
6.4.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Availability of records of the name, reasons for use, dates, amounts and withdrawal times of all veterinary medicines and chemicals used in hatchery and grow-out facilities</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p>a. Maintain records that identify all the veterinary medicines and chemicals used at the grow-out facility. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.</p>	<p>A. Verify the farm maintains purchase records.</p>	<p>List of medicine used were verified.</p>	1		
		<p>b. Maintain copies of labels showing withdrawal times at the grow-out facility. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).</p>	<p>B. Verify the farm maintains records showing withdrawal times at the grow-out facility.</p>	<p>Records were verified. OK  For these antibiotics (Amoxycillin Trihydrate BP, Docyclyline Hyclate, Florfenicol) the withdrawal periods (four weeks) are set by the farm, higher than regulating by the Circular No. 25/BNNPTNT issued 22.6.2012.</p>	1		
		<p>c. Maintain signed declarations by the farm's aquatic animal health specialist stating the date, diagnosis, treatment and withdrawal times (if different from the label) of all veterinary medicines and chemical used at the grow-out facility. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.</p>	<p>C. Verify the farm maintains relevant declarations from the AAHS at the grow-out facility.</p>	<p>Farms maintain the declaration from AAHS stating the date, dianosis, treatment and withdrawal times of medicines and chemical used.</p>	1		
		<p>d. Obtain a signed declaration from seed suppliers identifying any chemicals or veterinary medicines that were used in production of seed. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).</p>	<p>D. Verify the farm obtains declarations from all seed suppliers.</p>	<p>Declarations of the seed supplier are done for every delivery with the chemical or veterinary medicined used in the Seed Report.  Checked the list of chemical used in Thanh Mai hatchery and Nhan Son hatchery in two stocking 26&amp;28.12.2012</p>	1		
6.4.2	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Availability of records of the source, size and quality of the seed stocked. Records of seed quality should include:  1- Description of gross signs and any abnormalities  2- List of veterinary medicines, chemicals and biological products used in earlier life stages  3- Results of pathogen testing as legislated</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p>a. For all stocking events in the last 12 months, obtain a signed letter from the seed supplier reporting:  - the source, size and quality of seed supplied;  - the date supplied;  - a description of any external signs of abnormalities at the time of sale;  - list of veterinary medicines, chemicals and biological products used in earlier life stages (i.e. used at any time from spawning onwards); and  - results of pathogen testing following legislation (as applicable).</p> <p>For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.</p>	<p>A. Verify the farm maintains records for seed quality as required.</p>	<p>Checked the seed record 26&amp;28.12.2012. OK</p>	1		
6.4.3	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Daily records showing regular monitoring of fish for signs of stress [60] or disease are kept</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p>a. Maintain daily records (e.g. diary) of monitoring for stress or disease. Records shall identify:  - date;  - presence of behavioural and external signs of abnormalities (i.e. feeding behaviour, swimming behaviour, lesions, spots, large ecto-parasites, fin erosion, etc); and  - number of dead fish.  For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).</p>	<p>A. Review daily records to confirm that all reporting elements are included. Verify compliance.</p>	<p>Daily records were reviewed. All reporting elements are included. Compliance.</p>	1		1
<p>Footnote: [60] Signs of stress or disease include abnormal behaviour (e.g., swimming), reduced appetite and external abnormalities (e.g., lesions, spots and fin erosion).</p>							

6.4.4	<p><b>Indicator:</b> All mortality events with daily mortality above the average daily mortality in the farm are reported to the aquatic animal health specialist</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p><b>Instructions to Clients for Indicator 6.4.4 - Establishing a Threshold for the Reporting of Mortality Events</b></p> <p>Indicator 6.4.4 requires that farms report all significant mortality events to the aquatic animal health specialist. The ASC Pangasius Standard does not prescribe a specific threshold value for all farms to apply across all circumstances. Instead, the Pangasius Standard requires farms to confer with their aquatic animal health specialist to develop a threshold for reporting mortality events that is appropriate for identifying significant or "above average" mortality events based on farm data. In establishing a threshold, the farm must consider the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- thresholds must be generated using farm data for mortality and this shall include farm information from at least 1 randomly selected pond;</li> <li>- thresholds must be stage-specific to account for differing mortality rates during the 1st week, the 1st month, and any month after that;</li> <li>- the farm's aquatic health specialist must set and approve the threshold value, not the farmer; and</li> <li>- the farm must describe how the threshold was established in the farm's Pangasius Health Plan.</li> </ul>					
		<p>a. Maintain a daily record of monitoring farm enclosures for mortality (see 6.4.3). For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).</p>	<p>A. Review daily mortality records.</p>	<p>Daily mortality records in the farm diary were reviewed</p>	1		
		<p>b. Have the farm's aquatic animal health specialist review the farm's daily records for mortality. Ask the AAH Specialist to specify a threshold for the reporting of mortality events based on review of farm mortality rates (see instructions).</p>	<p>B. Verify the farm's AAH Specialist has reviewed daily mortality records before specifying a threshold for the reporting of mortality events.</p>	<p>Farm uses the mortality data from four ponds harvested to set up the threshold. AAH Specialist has reviewed daily mortality records.</p>	1		
		<p>c. Describe how the threshold was established in the farm's Pangasius Health Plan (see 6.3.1).</p>	<p>C. Review the proposed mortality threshold in the farm's Pangasius Health Plan to confirm compliance with requirements.</p>	<p>Only one thresholds is established by number of deadfish .If the number of dead fish increased 100% compare to the previous day and it continued in the next 3 days, then the threshold is established. Besides, the farm set up the threshold for emergency plan if the number of deadfish makes up 50% comparing to total fishes in the pond. In the farm diaries of four ponds harvested and others, the number of deadfish is followed and implemented according to threshold regulated. The AAH approves the threshold. But farm does not set up the thresholds as required by counting for differing mortality rates during the 1st week, the 1st month and any month after that.</p>			
		<p>d. Maintain records to show that the farm reports all mortality events exceeding threshold to the AAH Specialist. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.</p>	<p>D. Review reporting records and cross-check against daily mortality records to confirm compliance with requirements.</p>	<p>Mortality records showing the daily deadfish number in each ponds since 12. 2012. Deadfish monitoring sheet are used to record the mortality event. However, the threshold is not set up correctly as required by standard, mortality events must be reported again.</p>			
6.5 Criteria: Fish welfare.		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>	<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>				
6.5.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Minimum average growth rate</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> 3.85 g/day</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p><b>Instructions to Clients for Indicator 6.5.1 - Calculating Average Growth Rate</b></p> <p>Annex D of the ASC Pangasius Standard provides formulas for calculating yield and average growth rate (AGR). Farms must perform these calculations using harvest and stocking data from individual ponds (i.e. it is calculated on a crop-by-crop basis). It should be done as follows:</p> <p>Yield (from Pond1) = total weight of fish harvested (from Pond1) - total weight of fish stocked (Pond1)</p> <p>AGR<sub>P1</sub> = Yield<sub>P1</sub> / duration of production cycle (Pond1)</p> <p>Where weights are given in grams (g), duration is given in number of days (d), AGR is computed in units of grams per day (g/d), and enclosures are identified by subscripts P1, P2, P3 etc.</p> <p>Repeat the AGR calculations for the second pond, third pond... etc. until an AGR has been determined for each pond that was harvested. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble). Next calculate the farm-wide weighted average AGR using the following formula:</p> <p>Weighted Average AGR = [ (AGR<sub>P1</sub> x Yield<sub>P1</sub>) + (AGR<sub>P2</sub> x Yield<sub>P2</sub>) ... + (AGR<sub>Pn</sub> x Yield<sub>Pn</sub>) ] / (Yield<sub>P1</sub> + Yield<sub>P2</sub> ... + Yield<sub>Pn</sub>)</p> <p><b>Clarification note:</b> Indicator 6.5.1 was developed under the assumption that: - fish are stocked at 80 grams, - harvested at 1,000 grams and - average production cycle is 8 months.</p> <p>Given that specific growth rates of Pangasius are variable with body size (i.e. size and age dependent), formulas will yield a reduced level of absolute growth if fish are harvested at a substantially smaller size than 1 kg. (e.g. farms that harvest fish at 600-700g average body weight).</p> <p>Auditors are instructed as to evaluate Indicator 6.5.1 as follows. Farms must provide auditors with sufficient information to verify average fish weight at stocking, average fish weight at harvest, and average duration of production cycle. Auditors shall review the farm's calculations of observed growth rate and monitor whether the farm is in compliance.</p>					

		a. Maintain records (e.g. receipts from seed suppliers) showing the weight of fish stocked into each enclosure (e.g. see 6.1.1). For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	A. Verify farm maintains records of the weight of fish stocked in each enclosure.	Weight of fish stocked in each farm is kept in the farm diary.	1		
		b. Maintain records showing the weight of fish harvested from each enclosure (see 2.4.2b). For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	B. Verify farm maintains records of the weight of fish harvested from each enclosure.	Weight of fish harvested in each farm is verified. OK	1		
		c. Calculate the average growth rate of fish in each enclosure as described above (see instructions).	C. Review calculations to confirm accuracy and completeness.	Calculations are accuracy and completeness.	1		
		d. Using results of 6.5.1c, calculate the farm-wide weighted average AGR.	D. Verify that the farm-wide weighted average AGR complies with requirements.	AGR is from 4.91 - 5.37 Farm-wide weighed average AGR is 5.22g/fish/day. Compliance.	1		
6.5.2	<b>Indicator:</b> Maximum fish density at any time <b>Requirement:</b> 38 kg/m2 for ponds and pen <b>Applicability:</b> Ponds and Pens	a. Provide a plan of the farm showing surface area (m <sup>2</sup> ) of each enclosure.	A. Review farm's calculation of surface area for each enclosure and confirm by inspection during on site audit.	Reviewed calculation. OK	1		
		b. Maintain records of the total weight (kg) of fish harvested from each pond and/or pen (see 2.4.2b). For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	B. Confirm the farm keeps accurate record of total weight of fish harvested from each pond and/or pen.	Weight of fish harvested in each farm is kept in the farm diary. OK	1		
		c. For each enclosure, divide the weight of fish harvested (result from 6.5.2b) by the surface area of the enclosure (results from 6.5.2a) to calculate fish density (kg/m2). For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	C. Review calculations for fish density at harvest to verify compliance.	Calculations were reviewed. Compliance.	1		
		d. In addition to calculating fish density at harvest (6.5.2.c), farms shall record monthly estimates of fish density for each enclosure using estimated biomass (e.g. from farm diaries) and surface area (see 6.5.2a). For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	D. Review monthly estimates of fish density to verify compliance.	15 days estimates of fish density were reviewed. Harvest Density is from 20 - 30kg/m2. Stocking density is from 3.11 - 6.1 kg/m2. Average density is 17kg/m2. Compliance.	1		
6.5.3	<b>Indicator:</b> Maximum fish density at any time <b>Requirement:</b> 80 kg/m3 for cages <b>Applicability:</b> Cages	a. Provide a description of the system specifying the total number of cages and volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) of each cage.	A. Review farm's calculation of volume for each cage and confirm by inspection during on site audit.	N/A. Ponds	1		
		b. Maintain records of the total weight (kg) of fish harvested from each cage. For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	B. Confirm the farm keeps accurate record of total weight of fish harvested from each cage.	N/A. Ponds	1		
		c. For each cage, divide the weight of fish harvested (result from 6.5.3b) by the volume of the cage (results from 6.5.3a) to calculate fish density (kg/m3). For first audits, records must cover at least 1 full crop per site (see preamble).	C. Review calculations for fish density at harvest to verify compliance.	N/A. Ponds	1		
		d. In addition to calculating fish density at harvest (6.5.3.c), farms shall record monthly estimates of fish density for each cage using estimated biomass (e.g. from farm diaries) and cage volume (see 6.5.3a). For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	D. Review monthly estimates of fish density to verify compliance.	N/A. Ponds	1		
<b>6.6 Criteria: Predator control</b>							
		<b>Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>		<b>Auditor Evaluation (Required CB Actions):</b>			
6.6.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Use of lethal predator [61] control <b>Requirement:</b> No <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Prepare a list of all predator control devices and their locations.	A. Review list.	a. There is no predator control devices in the farm.	1		
		-	B. Inspect sites to verify no use of lethal predator controls.	b. Inspected the farm. No use of lethal predator controls	1		
Footnote	[61] Predators are defined as animals which have the potential to kill healthy pangasius. These standards include all types of predators during the production period, but only birds, reptiles and mammals during the period of preparation of the holding units (e.g., ponds, cages and pens). Rats and mice are excluded from consideration as they are unlikely to harm fish on the farm, be endangered or pose a conservation concern.						
6.6.2	<b>Indicator:</b> Mortality of IUCN red listed species. <b>Requirement:</b> 0 (zero)	<b>Instruction to Clients for Indicator 6.6.2 - Presence of IUCN Red Listed Species</b> Determine whether IUCN red list species are present in the region as follows: - go to <a href="http://www.iucnredlist.org/">http://www.iucnredlist.org/</a> - follow to "other search options" - select "Taxonomy" - select "Animalia" - indicate appropriate "Location", "Systems", "Habitat", - click on "run search" and record animal species listed and whether they are threatened by the farming activity. Note: The IUCN Red List uses nine categories for ranking species according to threat, and search results may include species that are not currently threatened. For the purposes of determining whether a farm complies with indicator 6.6.2, species in the following IUCN categories may be excluded from further analyses: "Not evaluated", "Data Deficient", and "Least Concern".					

	<p>Requirement 5 (a)</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	<p>a. Perform analysis. Record all IUCN red listed species occurring in the area of the farm.</p>	<p>A. Repeat analysis to verify that client obtained an accurate result.</p>	<p>Repeated analysis. There are 11 species occurring in the area found in IUCN. The result is accurate.</p>	<p>1</p>		
		<p>b. If any IUCN red listed species are identified in the area of the farm (including receiving and source waters), write a procedure which describes how the farm will avoid causing mortality.</p>	<p>B. Verify that farm procedures are appropriate and implemented (as applicable).</p>	<p>There are 11 species found in IUCN. The farm has procedure to avoid causing mortality. Compliance</p>	<p>1</p>		
			<p>C. During local community interviews, verify there is no evidence of the farm causing mortality of IUCN red listed species [also see Indicator 2.2.4(E)].</p>	<p>No evidence relating to harm endangered species</p>	<p>1</p>		

criteria	recomen-dation	minor NC	major NC	NC	action plan	deadline	action plan approved by IMO	status
7.4.1 c	1	1		<b>Fire protection:</b> Three extinguishers at the front door of the store (2 items) and at the canteen (1 item) were gauged at red color (level not active).	To issue a checklist for monthly safety check of the farm facility and to take corrective action on time	7/27/2013	The action plan was acceptable	Corrective actions were finished on 8/2/2013
7.4.1 c				<b>Health and safety</b> The first aid box was only listing medicine but not monitored and checked for first aid tool/kit.	To issue a monitoring checklist for first aid box.	7/29/2013	The action plan is acceptable	Corrective actions were finished on 8/2/2013
7.1.1b				<b>Canteen:</b> Canteen did not keep samples to check hygiene as necessary for food provided to workers and did not have any evidence for its cleaning.	To issue an instruction for monitoring food safety and hygiene at the canteen including retaining food sample, daily inspecting food reception and weekly checking the cleaning of canteen.	7/27/2013	The action plan is acceptable	Corrective actions were finished on 8/2/2013
7.1.1b				<b>Dormitory:</b> Any fire extinguishers and alarm system were not equipped for the dormitory; no evidence for cleaning the dormitory.	_To install fire extinguishers and a clang for the dormitory. _To issue a checklist for monthly safety check of the farm facility and to take corrective action ontime.		The action plan is acceptable	Corrective actions were finished on 8/2/2013
7.1.1b				<b>Health and safety:</b> No emergency exit at the store where the farthest point to the unique front door was 80 meters.	_To install a name tag for the exit door and set location of cargo in storage and spare-parts deposited by contractors to avoid blocking exit ways.	7/29/2013	The action plan is acceptable	Corrective actions were finished on 8/2/2013
7.1.1b				<b>Health and safety:</b> Internal roads/ways had no rail at the intersection with water-supply trenches.	To install rails at the intersection between internal roads and water supply trenches.	8/3/2013	The action plan is acceptable	Corrective actions were finished on 8/2/2013
7.4.1c				<b>Canteen:</b> Food materials which the canteen prepared food (lunch and dinner) were not checked for food safety before using.	To issue an instruction for monitoring food safety and hygiene at the canteen including retaining food sample, daily inspecting food reception and weekly checking the cleaning of canteen.	7/27/2013	The action plan is acceptable	Corrective actions were finished on 8/2/2013

7.1.1b	<b>1</b>			<u>Health and safety:</u> The criteria that maximum weight might be ≤50kgs when handling (brought by a person) did not consider the human characteristics of the handler.	To study human characteristics of handling and to revise handling the current instruction.	7/29/2013	<i>The action plan is acceptable</i>	<i>Corrective actions were finished on 8/2/2013</i>
Total	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>					

**AUDIT MANUAL - ASC Pangasius Standard**  
**Created by the Pangasius Aquaculture Dialogue**



Scope: *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*, *Pangasius bocourti*

**Preamble:**

In order to determine the level of compliance against the ASC Pangasius Standard it is essential to use information of completed crop cycle(s), or on a specific point in time in the crop (e.g. stocking) for several requirements. For this reason, for first audits, it is necessary for farms to present full data on at least one or more completed crop cycle(s) per site at the time of the assessment.

Therefore, at the time of the first audit:

- farmer must be able to show full records (e.g. feed-use, mortality rate, etc.) of at least 1 completed crop cycle per site (i.e. from stocking to harvest) and the relevant information for all the crops stocked after having stocked that crop
- certifier must use these records of each site to calculate the level of compliance of the relevant indicators

Applicable to all relevant requirements in this Audit Manual:

**Social requirements in the standards shall be audited by an individual who is a lead auditor in conformity with SAAS Procedure 200 section 3.1.**

add "1" per criteria in applicable column below

**PRINCIPLE 7. DEVELOP AND OPERATE FARMS IN A SOCIALLY RESPONSIBLE MANNER THAT CONTRIBUTES EFFECTIVELY TO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT** Evaluation results

**7.1 Criteria: Labor law**

		Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):	Description	OK	minor	major
7.1.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Compliance with labor laws in the country where pangasius is produced  <b>Requirement:</b> Yes  <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Obtain all national and local labor regulations applicable to the farm. Regulations should cover at least the following issues: labor contracts, child labor, working time, working/living conditions, minimum wage and benefits/allowance, health and safety, presence of on-farm regulation.	Internal regulations of the farm dated April 11, 2012 seen the list of legal documents.	1		
		b. Ensure that the farm and all employees on the farm comply to the labor regulations.	Meeting minutes to declare and explain social policies held by the farm director. However, 5 negative findings (4 minor NCs and 1 recommendation) found in the audit showed that the farm did not implement declared policies .		1	

**7.2 Criteria: Child labor [62] and young workers [63]**

		Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):				
Footnote	[62] Child: Any person less than 15 years of age, unless local minimum age law stipulates a higher age for work or mandatory schooling, in which case the higher age would apply. If however, local minimum age law is set at 14 years of age in accordance with developing country exceptions under ILO Convention 138, the lower age will apply. Child labor does not include children helping their parents on their own farm, provided that working does not jeopardize their schooling or health.					
Footnote	[63] Young worker: Any worker between the age of child as defined and under the age of 18.					
7.2.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Minimum age of workers  <b>Requirement:</b> Yes	a. Maintain a list of all employees employed in the farm indicating date of birth	Lists of employees and relevant payrolls in 2012 and 2013 were available at the farm office.	1		
		b. Maintain copies of the official ID of all the employees listed showing date of birth	The farm maintained staff and workers's ID copies in personal employee records at the office and by the personnel staff.	1		

7.2.1	Requirement: 163 <b>Applicability:</b> All	c. Ensure that no employee is younger than 15 years old (use birthdate to calculate exact age), see footnote [62]	Lists of employees, payrolls in 2012 and 2013 showed that the farm did not employ child labor. 4 staff interviewed said that they had not seen any case of child labor employment in yet the farm.	1		
		d. Provide a declaration stating that the farm is against child labor and will not employ anybody younger than 15 years old.	The farm's social policies were available at its office during the audit.	1		
7.2.2	<b>Indicator:</b> For workers under 18 years olds 1 - Work does not jeopardize schooling 2 - Work, when added to the hours of schooling, does not exceed 10 hour/day 3 - Work is restricted to light work [64] 4 - Work is restricted to non-hazardous work [65] <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> Farms with employees younger than 18 years old	a. Ensure that the contracts for workers below 18 years old state the rights of young workers (as indicated in this Requirement) and job descriptions are detailed enough to allow auditors to assess that, for such workers, work is restricted to light work and is not hazardous	Not applicable			
		b. Maintain records of schooling commitments of each employee younger than 18 years old	Not applicable			
		c. Maintain daily records of working hours for all workers younger than 18 years old. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	Not applicable			
		d. Ensure that young workers' rights as indicated in this Requirement are duly respected in the farm	Not applicable			
Footnote	[64] Light Work: (ILO convention 138, article 7.1) Light work is work that is 1) not likely to be harmful to a child's health or development and 2) not likely to prejudice their attendance at school, participation in vocational orientation or training programs, or diminish their capacity to benefit from instruction received.					
Footnote	[65] Hazardous work: Work which, by its nature or circumstances in which it is carried out, is likely to harm the health, safety or morals of workers.					
<b>7.3 Criteria: Forced and compulsory labor [66]</b>						
<b>Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>						
Footnote	[66] Forced (Compulsory) labor: All work or service that is extracted from any person under the menace of any penalty for which a person has not offered him/ herself voluntarily or for which such work or service is demanded as a repayment of debt. "Penalty" can imply monetary sanctions, physical punishment, or the loss of rights and privileges or restriction of					
7.3.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Workers are free to terminate their employment and receive full payment until the last day of their employment, based on reasonable [67] notice given to their employer [68] <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Ensure that all contracts clearly state workers' freedom to terminate their employment and receive full payment until the last day of their employment	as seen in labor contracts reviewed and CBA (Dec 28, 2010)	1		
		b. Ensure that workers' rights as indicated in this Requirement are duly respected.	as seen in labor contracts reviewed and CBA (Dec 28, 2010)	1		
		c. Ensure that nobody in the farm or on behalf of the employer withholds employee's original identity papers	as seen in labor contracts reviewed and CBA (Dec 28, 2010)	1		
		d. Ensure that the farm does not withhold any part of workers' salaries, benefits, property or documents in order to oblige them to continue working for the employer	as seen in labor contracts reviewed and CBA (Dec 28, 2010)	1		
		e. Ensure that no employee is obligated to work at the farm to repay debt	No evidence showed that employees were obligated to work at the farm to repay debt, all interviewed workers said that they had no debt to pay the farm.	1		
Footnote	[67] As stated in the contract.					
Footnote	[68] Employers are those workers who, working on their own account or with one or a few partners, hold the type of job defined as a self-employed job, and in this capacity, on a continuous basis (including the reference period) have engaged one or more persons to work for them in their business as employees.					
<b>7.4 Criteria: Health and safety</b>						



		Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):			
7.4.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> The employer provides a non-hazardous working and living environment</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Maintain a list of all the health and safety hazards in the working and living environment of employees	The farm addressed a list of health and safety hazards in their policies which was publicly issues to employees.	1	
		b. Provide Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) or Safe Practice guidelines (SOP) for all health and safety hazards listed	The farm instructed how to implement policies on health and safety hazards as listed by issuing internal notice. For example, the instruction on transport on boat dated April 8th, 2013 signed by the director Charan Kongsin.	1	
		c. Ensure that employees are complying to the farm SOP on health and safety and that are adequately protected against hazards	The employees's compliance to the farm SOP such as wearing PPE was observed during the farm tour.	1	
		d. Ensure that employees have constant access to potable/safe drinking water	Potable water were easily accessible at the farm office, canteen, dormitory and working areas at the farm.	1	
		e. Ensure that sanitary conditions for the safe disposal of human waste are in practice.	The farm built toilet/restrooms at office, canteen, dormitory. In practice, they were in clean condition and enough for use (under 10 persons per a toilet/restroom.	1	
		f. Ensure that the employees' housing is constructed of materials able to withstand local conditions	The employees' housing were made of brick and metal sheets which were able to withstand local conditions seen as during the farm tour.		1
7.4.2	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Workers are aware of the health and safety hazards [69] at the work place and how to deal with them</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p>	a. Ensure that all workers are aware of the hazards listed on 7.4.1a and of the SOP in 7.4.1b	No evidence showing that workers violated the farm's SOP and interviewing workers confirmed that they did not know any disciplinary case in the farm.	1	
Footnote	[69] Hazard: The inherent potential to cause injury or damage to people's health—for instance unequipped to handle heavy machinery safely/unprotected exposure to harmful chemicals.				
7.4.3	<p><b>Indicator:</b> The employer records all accidents, even if minor [70], and take preventive and corrective action for each</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Maintain records of all accidents and corrective actions taken. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	Registered in the book of working accidents. Data showed no accident ever occurred at the farm.	1	
		b. Ensure that corrective actions are in place as relevant	Not applicable		
Footnote	[70] Accidents that could not be handled in-house, the person was taken to the closest clinic				
7.4.4	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Employer ensures that all permanent workers have health insurance [71]</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Maintain a list of all permanent workers	As seen during the on site document review.	1	
		b. Provide evidence showing health insurance coverage for all permanent workers	As seen during the on site document review. In addition, interviewed workers confirmed this.	1	
Footnote	[71] Health insurance is required for workers who are employed for >3months/year. If not covered under national law employers must provide insurance to cover 100% of any job-related accident/injury for permanent workers. The cost associated with permanent disabilities generated from a job related accident is, however, not included.				
7.5 Criteria: Freedom of association and collective bargaining [72]		Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):			

Footnote	[72] Collective bargaining: Voluntary negotiation between employers and organizations of workers in order to establish the terms and conditions of employment by means of collective (written) agreements.				
7.5.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Workers [73] have the right to form or join organizations to defend their rights (including their right to collective bargaining), without interference from the employer and without suffering negative consequences as a result of exercising this right [74].  <b>Requirement:</b> Yes  <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Maintain copies of employees' contracts and ensure that contracts explicitly state the right of freedom of association.	As seen in the current CBA at the farm office.	1	
		b. Ensure that workers have the freedom to form and join any trade union, are free of any form of interference from employers or competing organizations set up or backed by the employer. ILO specifically prohibits "acts which are designated to promote the establishment of worker organizations or to support worker organizations under the control of employers or employers' organizations.	Only one staff (mr. Cac) was trade union member and representative of workers. Interviewed workers had no complaint about becoming trade union member.	1	
		c. Ensure that trade unions and/or civil society organizations involved in Labor rights, are able to access/inform all workers directly (posters, pamphlets, visits).	The meeting minutes showed that the union representative was introduced to other employees.	1	
		d. Ensure that trade union representatives have access to their members in the workplace at reasonable times.	Interviewed workers said that they could access their representative at any time.	1	
		e. Provide a declaration explicitly stating the employer's commitment to freedom of association and collective bargaining rights of all.	As seen in the current CBA at the farm office.	1	
Footnote	[73] Worker: A person who enters an agreement of any duration with an enterprise to work for the enterprise in return for remuneration in cash or in kind. Immediate family members of the farm owner (i.e., children, spouse, parents, brothers and sisters) and exchange labor may not be considered as workers, unless they express their desire to be workers.				
Footnote	[74] Workers must not be prohibited from accessing such organizations when they exist. If they do not exist or are illegal, companies must make it clear that they are willing to engage in a collective dialogue through a representative structure freely elected by the workers.				
<b>7.6 Criteria: Discrimination</b>					
		<b>Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>			
7.6.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Workers do not suffer any discrimination [75] from the employer or other workers  <b>Requirement:</b> Yes  <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Provide and ensure the implementation of an anti-discrimination policy, stating that the company does not engage/support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political affiliation, age or any other condition that may give rise to discrimination.	There was no evidence of discrimination in any type, i.e. in policies, practice, and complaints. In addition, interviewed workers confirmed that they did not suffer any discrimination during working and staying at this farm.	1	
		b. Maintain records of employees' salary changes, promotions and training opportunities. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	The farm showed and submitted the timesheets and payrolls of January to June, 2013. There was no evidence of discrimination in salary changes, promotion and training opportunities.	1	
		c. Provide and ensure the implementation of a policy protecting pregnant and lactating mothers.	As seen in the current CBA at the farm office.	1	
Footnote	[75] Including but not limited to: race, caste, origin, color, gender, age, disability, religion, sexual orientation, resident or migrant, union and political affiliations.				
<b>7.7 Criteria: Fair and progressive practices toward workers(including disciplinary practices)</b>					
		<b>Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>			
7.7.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Employers treat all workers with dignity and respect  <b>Requirement:</b> Yes  <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Ensure that all employees are consistently treated with dignity and respect (e.g. no physical abuse).	The farm had a good culture practising social relationship. No interviewed workers confirmed that they were treated with dignity and respect. No evidence found as seen in interviewing workers	1	
		b. Ensure that no deductions in pay are made for disciplinary actions (e.g. for the accidental breaking of equipment)	There was no evidence of deductions in pay made for disciplinary actions by the farm. In addition, interviewed workers said that they had not been disciplined and deducted salary or heard about such case in the farm.	1	
<b>7.8 Criteria: Working hours</b>					
		<b>Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>			

7.8.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Maximum number of regular working hours <b>Requirement:</b> 8h/day or 48h/week (although these do not have to be consecutive hours) <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Maintain timesheets for all employees. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	The farm showed timesheets for all employees working in the farm in 6 last months. In addition, the staff and workers confirmed that these timesheets were exact.	1		
		b. Ensure that the regular time worked by farm workers does not exceed 8h/day or 48h/week	The farm's regulations stated the daily working time (7:30-11:00 am and 13:00-17:00) and weekly working days (Sunday was working off). These regulations were implemented as appropriate as seen in reviewing relevant records and confirmed by interviewees.	1		
7.8.2	<b>Indicator:</b> Workers have the right to leave the farm after completing the standard work-day <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All, Farm-Wide	a. Ensure that workers can leave the farm during their allocated free time (i.e. any time when they are not working).	Interviewed workers said that they could freely leave the farm during their allocated free time.	1		
		b. Maintain copies of employees contract and ensure that labor contracts clearly state workers' right to leave	The worker's right to leave was addressed in the CBA. Interviewed workers said that they understood this right in the CBA.	1		
7.8.3	<b>Indicator:</b> Minimum time off <b>Requirement:</b> Two nights/week off if residing on the farm and a total of four days/month off for all workers <b>Applicability:</b> All, Farm-Wide	a. Ensure that all workers residing at the farm have the right to 2 nights off/week	In practice, the farm's workers residing at the farm had seven nights off per a week. No converse evidence were found and it is confirmed by interviewed workers.	1		
		b. Ensure that all workers have at least 4 days/month off	The timesheets showed that all workers had 4 days off per a month or more. This was confirmed by interviewees.	1		
		c. Maintain timesheets for all employees (as in 7.8.1a). For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	The farm showed timesheets for all employees working in the farm in 6 last months (January to June of 2013).	1		
7.8.4	<b>Indicator:</b> Overtime hours 1- Are voluntary 2- do not exceed a maximum of 12 hours per week 3- occur on an exceptional (not regular) basis 4- are paid at a premium rate [76], ( i.e. an additional 20% is paid to the normal salary) <b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All, Farm-Wide	a. Ensure that for all employees, overtime hours: - are voluntary - do not exceed a maximum of 12h/week - occur on an exceptional basis - are paid at a premium rate (following the local/national regulation and at least 20% more than normal salary)	The farm said that they did not requested employees to work overtime. No evidence were found about working overtime at the farm. In addition, the staff and workers confirmed this status of overtime when they were interviewed.	1		
		b. Maintain timesheets for all employees (as in 7.8.1a). For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	The farm showed timesheets for all employees working in the farm in 6 last months. In addition, the staff and workers confirmed that these timesheets were exact.	1		
		c. Maintain copies of employees' contracts and ensure that employees' contracts state the overtime conditions and associated rights	The farm used the contract format issued by the labor authorities where legal regulations on working overtime was mentioned as appropriate.	1		
		d. Maintain records of payments for overtime hours	No records on working overtime.	1		
Footnote	[76] Premium rate: A rate of pay higher than the regular work week rate. Must comply with national laws/ regulations and / or industry standards. Must be 120% of normal rate or higher.					
<b>7.9 Criteria: Fair and decent wages</b>						
<b>Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>						
	<b>Indicator:</b> The employer pays at least	a. Obtain legal documents showing minimum wages for the location where the farm operates.	103/2012/NĐ-CP 1,650,000.00VNĐ region 4 compared with VNĐ 2,250,000.00 minimum paid by the farm.	1		

7.9.1	<p>minimum wages as defined by law, or ensures that wages cover basic needs [77], plus some discretionary income [78], whichever is higher</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All, Farm-Wide</p>	b. If minimum wage has not been established by law, calculate basic needs wages, in consultation with workers and their representative organizations, and cost of living assessments from credible sources. Document the process and ensure that all workers have access to it at reasonable times.	VND1,750.000 (ref research of Statistics Directorate). In addition, the staff and workers confirmed they could save a small monthly amount from their salaries.	1		
		c. Maintain copies of employees' contract and ensure that at least minimum wages are paid to employees	The farm paid minimum wage at VND 2,500,000.00 (excluded bonus and incentives)	1		
		d. Maintain receipts of salary payments. For first audit, receipts must cover ≥ 6 months.	As seen the receipts of salary payments of Jan. to June, 2013. All receipts were signed by relevant workers.	1		
Footnote	[77] Basic needs are determined by calculating the cost of the basic shopping basket needed for an adequate diet, the percentage of an average household's budget that goes to food and other necessary expenses, and the average size of a household in a given country. Recognized representative shopping basket surveys include those undertaken by national authorities and multi-lateral developmental agencies. A basic or living wage should be capable of sustaining 50% of an average-sized family with food, clean water, clothing, housing, transportation, schooling, obligatory tax payments, health care and an additional 10% discretionary income (SA8000). An employer shall minimally pay a full-time worker the basic					
Footnote	[78] For guidance and methods for basic needs wage calculation, see SA8000 Guidance Document.					
7.9.2	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Workers have the right to know the mechanism for setting the wages and benefits</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Provide a declaration stating the mechanism used for setting wages	As seen in the farm's memorandum dated Dec 25, 2012	1		
		b. Ensure that employees are aware of the mechanism used for setting wages	The farm showed a meeting minutes where they explained the current wage mechanism to employees and all interviewed workers said that they understood what their wages were composed.	1		
7.9.3	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Wages shall be paid in cash or in a manner most convenient to workers</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Maintain records of the preferred method of payment for each employee	The farm paid wages by cash and all interviewed said they liked that way of wage payment.	1		
		b. Maintain records of payments indicating the method of payment	As shown in the wage receipts, the workers signed their receipts when they received their wages.	1		
<b>7.10 Criteria: Labor contracts</b>						
<b>Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>						
7.10.1	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Workers have copies of, and can understand, their labor contract [79]</p> <p><b>Requirement:</b> Yes</p> <p><b>Applicability:</b> All</p>	a. Ensure that employees have copies of their labor contracts	There were 2 copies of labor contracts. The HR unit kept one copy and employees kept another. Interviewed workers confirmed this.	1		
		b. Ensure that employees understand their labor contracts	Interviewed workers said that they understood their labor contracts. In practice, there were no complaints or conflicts about labor contracts.	1		
Footnote	[79] Where verbal contracts are practiced (e.g., remote rural locations, cases of illiteracy and small family farms), extra care needs to be taken that the contents of the agreement are fully agreed to and well-understood. Cross interviews must take place to establish that the employer and the employee understand in the same way the terms of the verbal agreement.			1		
7.10.2	<p><b>Indicator:</b> Maximum length of probation period stated in the contract for workers, other than farm managers and workers with a university degree</p>	a. Maintain copies of contracts of employees (other than farm managers and workers with a university degree) and ensure that the probation time is clearly stated and does not exceed 1 month	The farm implemented compliantly the requirements for probation which was 1 month for common workers. As seen in the wage receipts compared with the list of employees.	1		

7.10.2	<b>Requirement:</b> 1 month <b>Applicability:</b> All	b. Ensure that probation times are understood by employees and respected	2 interviewed workers who was newly recruited this year said that they understood their probation times.	1		
7.10.3	<b>Indicator:</b> Maximum length of probation period stated in the contract for farm managers and workers with a university degree	a. Maintain copies of contracts of farm managers and workers with a university degree) and ensure that the probation time is clearly stated and does not exceed 2 months	The farm implemented compliantly the requirements for probation which was 2 months for university graduated workers/staff. As seen in the wage receipts compared with the list of employees.	1		
	<b>Requirement:</b> 2 months <b>Applicability:</b> All	b. Ensure that probation times are understood by employees and respected	As seen in the minutes held to explain the farm's HR policies where all relevant attendants signed.	1		
<b>7.11 Criteria: Management system</b>						
<b>Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>						
7.11.1	<b>Indicator:</b> The employer ensures all workers have appropriate channels to communicate anonymously with employers on matters relating to labor rights and working conditions	a. Maintain complaint boxes for employees throughout the farm.	The farm installed a complaint box at the front of the warehouse and had a register book to monitor this box.	1		
	<b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	b. Ensure that workers are aware of the use of complaint boxes and are encouraged to use them by farm management	The farm held a meeting to explain to employees how to use the complaint box.	1		
7.11.2	<b>Indicator:</b> Percentage of issues raised by workers which are registered, tracked and responded to by the employer	a. Maintain a register recording issues raised by workers (including complaint forms), date and response taken. For first audit, register must contain all records of the previous ≥ 6 months.	As seen in the register of the complaint box in January to June, 2013.	1		
	<b>Requirement:</b> 100% <b>Applicability:</b> All	b. Ensure that employees have access to the register at reasonable times	The complaint box was installed at the public and convenient location which ensured every employees could access.	1		
7.11.3	<b>Indicator:</b> Percentage of complaints that are resolved [80] within one month after being received [81]	a. Maintain evidence of issues raised by workers and being resolved. Evidence may include letters signed by employees or their representatives.	The register showed that there were no complaint raised by workers in Jan to June, 2013.	1		
	<b>Requirement:</b> 90% <b>Applicability:</b> All	b. Record the issues being resolved in the register as for 7.11.2a	The register showed that there were no complaint raised by workers in Jan to June, 2013.	1		
		c. Maintain monthly summaries and calculations of the percentage of issues resolved within 1 month	The register showed that there were no complaint raised by workers in Jan to June, 2013.	1		
Footnote	[80] Resolution of a conflict is defined as when both parties agree to remove it from the list of conflicts.					
Footnote	[81] Complaints include the ones coming from other resource users, employees and buyers (e.g., middlemen or processors).					
7.11.4	<b>Indicator:</b> A plan for addressing the yet to be resolved conflicts is developed and complied with	a. Maintain a register recording issues raised by workers (as for 7.11.2a) and including the plan for addressing yet to be resolved conflicts	The register showed that there were no complaint raised by workers in Jan to June, 2013.	1		

	<b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	b. Ensure that the plan is adhered to	The register showed that there were no complaint raised by workers in Jan to June, 2013.	1		
7.11.5	<b>Indicator:</b> Timeframe for the contracting[82] of suppliers and service providers that ensure suitable health and safety conditions for their workers [83]	a. For first audit, prepare a declaration of commitment to contract only suppliers and service providers that ensure suitable health and safety condition within 1 year.	As seen in the current policies on suppliers, the list of sub-contractors.	1		
	<b>Requirement:</b> Within 1 year from achieving certification <b>Applicability:</b> All	b. For subsequent audits, ensure that all health and safety conditions as indicated in these Requirements (i.e. within Criteria 7.1, 7.2 and 7.4) are respected by all the employees of suppliers and service providers who are working in the farm	Not applicable	1		
Footnote	[82] Including either written or verbal contracts.					
Footnote	[83] As defined in these Requirements.					
<b>7.12 Criteria: Record-keeping</b>						
<b>Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>						
7.12.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Records of the hours worked by every worker employed in the farm are available	a. Maintain timesheets for all employees. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	The farm showed and submitted the timesheets of January to June, 2013.	1		
	<b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All, Farm-Wide	b. Maintain a list of all employees employed in the farm	As seen in the current list of employees working in the farm.	1		
<b>7.13 Criteria: Participatory social impact assessment for local communities.</b>						
<b>Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>						
7.13.1	<b>Indicator:</b> A participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SIA) [84] is conducted (See Annex F for more information)	a. Provide a p-SIA inclusive of all items reported in Annex F. For large scale farms (e.g. vertically integrated operations) the p-SIA must be commissioned to professional experts. A new p-SIA should be conducted at least every 3-years.	The farm has a procedure for p-SIA and a report on p-SIA as appropriate. As seen in the list of p-SIA survey team in the appendix 2 and the list of discussion attendants in the appendix 1 of this report. This p-SIA report was issued on Dec 1st, 2012.	1		
	<b>Requirement:</b> Yes <b>Applicability:</b> All	b. For large scale farms, provide evidence of the experience of the professional experts commissioned. Evidence must indicate a track record of at least 3 years conducting participatory consultations with rural communities	The report on p-SIA were prepared by a professional consultancy named KIM DELTA Co. Ltd. Several officials working at the communal authorities were in the list of discussion attendants to be consulted when prepared the p-SIA report.	1		
Footnote	[84] p-SIA: An assessment of positive and negative consequences and risks of a planned or ongoing project (e.g., a farm or farm development) undertaken in such a manner that all stakeholder groups have input in process, results and outcome of such an assessment, and that steps taken and information gathered is openly accessible to all.			1		
7.13.2	<b>Indicator:</b> Local communities [85], local government and at least one civil society organization chosen by community have a copy of the p-SIA in locally appropriate language	a. Maintain records of all the people having received copy of the p-SIA	As seen in the list of p-SIA report receivers with relevant signatures.	1		

7.13.2	language	b. Obtain signatures from at least 50% of the people having received the p-SIA. The people signing must include at least: a representative of the local community (if such a representant can be identified by the majority of the community), a representative of the local government and one civil society organization (if available).	According to the list of of p-SIA report receivers dated January 6th, 2013, 50% of all discussion attendants who were distributed the p-SIA report signed in the list.	1		
Footnote	[85] Community: A group of people with possibly diverse characteristics who are linked by social ties, share common perspectives, and are joined by collective engagements within a geographically confined area. Four common indicators are 1.) a state of organized society in small form (town, village, hamlet) that recognizes a single representative (leader, formal or informal); 2.) the people inside a confined geographical area; small enough to allow face-to-face interaction as the main form of contact between the individuals within the group; 3.) having a common good or a common interest and recognizing that,					
7.14 Criteria: Complaints by local communities						
<b>Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>						
7.14.1	Indicator: A verifiable conflict resolution policy [86], [87], for local communities is developed and applied  Requirement: Yes  Applicability: All	a. Prepare and ensure the application of a conflict resolution policy for local communities	The farm publicly published farm policies on fish raising, relationship with employemees, relationship with local communities, and environment protection dated Dec. 1st, 2012 signed by the director Niret Prompiw.	1		
		b. Maintain records of all the people having received copy of the policy	The plan used a register to record the document distribution.	1		
		c. Obtain signatures from at least 50% of the people having received copies of the policy. The people signing must include at least: a representative of the local community (if such a representant can be identified by the majority of the community), a representative of the local government and one civil society organization (if available).	As seen in the table of document distribution at the farm office.	1		
		d. Maintain records of meetings (at least twice per year) held with local communities to identify and resolve conflicts. Records must include list of participants, agendas and agreed action plan and summaries. For first audits records must cover at least one meeting (this could be part of the p-SIA process if the p-SIA was conducted less than 6 months before the audit)	Meetings were held very month and relevant minutes were retained at the office, i.e. the meeting held in April as seen in the relevant minutes.	1		
Footnote	[86] The policy shall state how conflicts and complaints will be tracked transparently and explain how to respond to all received complaints.					
Footnote	[87] The process of resolution is documented and meetings are summarized. Summaries include an agenda (the list of concerns), resolutions or agreements reached, who shall take what action by when, and a list of participants. Local government and at least one civil society or customary organization chosen by the community shall have access to the conflict resolution process and the documentation thereof. A conflict is deemed resolved if both parties in the negotiation process have agreed to take it off the agenda.					
7.14.2	Indicator: Complaint boxes, complaint registers, and complaint acknowledgement receipts in local language(s) are used  Requirement: Yes  Applicability: All	a. Maintain complaint boxes in public locations reachable by the local community.	The complaint box for local residents was installed at the office of Nhon Hoa hamlet authority nearby the farm.	1		
		b. Retain complaint forms submitted by local communities. For first audits, records must include at least previous ≥ 6 months.	The farm showed and submitted a register monitoring local residents' complaints from Jan., 2013 to date (July).	1		
		c. Provide evidence that complaints have been acknowledged to the local community (e.g. through a statement from the local community stating having received acknowledgement or acknowledgement receipts)	There was no complaint received form local residents, authorities and other stakeholders as seen in the register and as interviewed in the meetings held at the office of Nhon Hoa hamlet authority during the audit	1		
		d. Maintain a register of the complaints received. Register should include date, complaint and action taken. For first audits, register must contain records from at least previous ≥ 6 months.	There was no complaint received form local residents, authorities and other stakeholders as seen in the register.	1		

7.14.3	<b>Indicator:</b> Percentage of conflicts resolved within the date of being filed  <b>Requirement:</b> Within 6 months 50% Within 1 year 75% Within 2 years 100%  <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Maintain a register of complaints as per 7.14.2d, clearly identifying what complaints have been resolved and the resolution date	There was no complaint received from local residents, authorities and other stakeholders as seen in the register	1		
		b. Maintain minutes of community meetings as per 7.14.1d showing issues discussed and issues resolved	Minutes of meetings with local communities were retained at the farm office as appropriate	1		
<b>7.15 Criteria: Preferential employment for local communities</b>						
<b>Compliance criteria (Required Client Actions):</b>						
7.15.1	<b>Indicator:</b> Evidence of advertising positions within local communities before migrant workers are hired  <b>Requirement:</b> Yes  <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Maintain a list of all employees employed in the farm indicating also place of origin	As seen in the list of personal records of the farm's employees.	1		
		b. For farms where employees are coming from a location other than the location of the farm (based on 7.15.1a) present copies of the dated advertisements posted around the farm to advertise. For first audit copies must cover more than previous ≥ 6 months	The farm showed and submitted such advertisements posted around the farm.	1		
		c. For farms where employees are coming from a location other than the location of the farm (based on 7.15.1a) present a list containing the name, address and contact number of all the people consulted to advertise the position in the local community. For first audit records must cover more than previous ≥ 6 months	The farm showed and submitted such advertisements posted around the farm.	1		
7.15.2	<b>Indicator:</b> An explanation on the reasons for employing each worker is available and the explanation justifies not employing workers from local communities  <b>Requirement:</b> Yes, if workers outside the local community are employed  <b>Applicability:</b> All	a. Maintain a list of all employees employed in the farm indicating also place of origin as in 7.15.1a	As seen in the list of personal records of the farm's employees.	1		
		b. For farms where employees are coming from a location other than the location of the farm (based on 7.15.1a) provide a written explanation for employing workers outside the local community.	As seen in the farm policies.	1		
<b>Total</b>				<b>86</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>