WAU VEA
TAN TAN
BURFAU
B U R E A U V E R I T A S

Aquaculture Stewardship Council Audit Report for Farms Trout

B U R E A U VERITAS						
			Christiansn	ninde Dambrug		
Date:	1.4.2014		Ву:	BUREAU VERITAS Certification - Denmark - Oldenborggade 2, 7000 Fredericia		
CLIENT : MAIN CONTACT	Danfore	/DanAqua A/S		ASSESSORS TEAM :		
(Audited person):	Erik	D. Hansen		7.00200010 127.111.	Sølvi Skare, ASC Lead Auditor	, Lisbeth Jungdahl, LA SA 8000
REPORT REFERENCE :	ASC			REPORT WRITING DATE :	April 14	-18 2014
LEAD ASSESSOR :	Sø	lvi Skare		REPORT REVIEWING DATE :		
		INITIAL				X
ASSESSME	ENT / MISSION	SURVEILLANC	E			
		COMPLEMENT	TARY /SUPPLEMEN	ITARY		
			<u>1. S</u>	ummary:		
				g of equipment was examined. The inte d 18 minor non-conformities. They are		
Christiansminde Freshwater fa	rm is an ongrowing farm for trout u		of water to Råsted Lille	about 300 grams. The farm is located i eå and to end recipient Nissum Fjord	in Holstebro municipality. Water inlet t	rom underground pumped water and
			<u>3.</u>	Scope:		
STANDARD	ASC trout Standard Version 1.0) - February 2013	3			
	Activities at Christiansminde freshwater farm is farming of trout from fingerlings to harvest, in rectangular concrete basins in a recirculated system, using fresh water pumpin from underground. Treatment of water in bio filter, blow cones, micro sieves, sewage treatment plant and lagoon. Fish are delivered from delivery basin to trucks and transported alive to slaugther house. Control of the fish as well as feeding, is managed at the farm. The farm has a building containing office and storage, The farm has a environmental approvement from Holstebro municipality the ues of 900 tons feed.					
Species :	Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)					
Description of receiving water						

1

		<u>4. Au</u>	dit Plan:
Desk reviews and other activiti	ies undertaken before or after any site v	∕isits.	Documentation review in oct 2013
Stakeholder submissions, inclue each submission.	uding written or other documented infor	mation and CAB written responses to	
	Name of site :		Christiansminde Freshwater Farm
	Adress:	Gl. Rå	stedvej 3, 7570 Vemb, Matrikkel number 6i, 6m, 6k, Stenum Hovedgård, Råsted
	Contact :		Erik D. Hansen
Sites of the Company concerned by the ASC. For each site show:	Names and affiliations of individuals consulted or otherwise involved in the audit (representatives of the client, employees, contractors, stakeholders and any observers that participated in the audit):		Jesper Evinrud, John Christensen, Niels Wittus, Lisbeth Jess Plesner
	Date & Duration of the visit :	Previous Audi	The audit on the site Christiansminde Dambrug: 27.3.2014
	N		r in any other sites belonging to Danforel A/S

5 Findings

	<u>o: i mangs</u>									
	P	REVIOUS ASSESSMENTS REVIEW	CURRENT ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION							
	Number	NON-CONFORMANCES REFERENCES	Open/closed	Number	NON-CONFORMANCES REFERENCES	Open /closed				
Observations	NA	NA	NA	-						
Minor NC	NA	NA	NA	18		Closed				
Major NC	NA	NA	NA	2		Closed				

Summary of Conditions:

6. Evaluation Results:

Christiansminde Dambrug has a new and comprensive quality system, which cover the whole organization from eg to finished slaughtered fish. The audit was performed at Christiansminde Dambrug. Danforel A/S is a company showing enthusiasm towards their employees, concerning health, environment and safety, social requirements and danish laws. There was not during audit, found non-conformities regarding industrial accidents. The farm is managed with actual documentations and employees have long experience with farming of fish. During interview of employees was found that the work with ASC standard was met with a favorable reception. All documents and quality system was available for auditors.

7. Non-conformity Report(s)

Please see non-conformity reports attached

8. Determination of the start of the CoC

It will be neccesay with COC certification from the point where the directly control of the fish is changed. This happends when the fish is moved from the wellboat and are pumped into the waiting cages. The fish will at that time be covered by the COC - ASC Trout Standard for the slaughterhouse. The fish is held in the waiting cages for 1 - 2 days. Then it will be pumped into the slaughterhouse sedated and bleedingbefore it is graded and futher processed or directly packed. This operations are performed in the slaughterhouse belonging Danforel A/S

Determination of the eligibility of aquaculture products to enter further Chains of Custody and the points at which they can enter

Evaluation of the system of tracking, tracing and segregation in the aquaculture operation is sufficient to make sure all aquaculture products identified and sold as certified by the operation originate from the unit of certification certified

lto			Risk Level		
ltem		Low risk	Medium risk	high risk	comments of the auditor and evidences
1. The tracking, tracing and segregation systems in use			х		Tracking system is manual registered
2. The opportunity of substitution of certified with non-certified product prior to and at harvesting		х			There will be only certified fish af farm
3. The possibility of introducing product from outside the unit of certification		х			There will be only certified fish af farm
4. The robustness of the applicant or certificate holders' management system			х		Management system is new and based on experience
5. Any transhipment activities taking place		х			The transport of fish is well known at Christiansminde Dambrug
Any subcontracted post-harvest handling or processing		х			The transport of fish is well known at Christiansminde Dambrug
Advice of the auditor	YES		NO		JUSTIFICATION
the systems are sufficient, aquaculture products from the operation may enter into further certified chains of custody and be eligible to carry the ASC label.	Х				
Describe points of change of ownership after which chain of custody certification is needed	From the point where	the fish is landed ir	_	g to the slaughterhouse th laughterhouse belonging t	ere is a change, where it will be necessary to have a CoC o Danforel A/S

Confidential data for commercially sensitive information

This report do not contain confidential annexes for commercially sensitive information.

Bureau Veritas had been agree the content of commercially sensitive information with the applicant.

	<u>Signature - Approval</u>	
Signature of the client		
Signature of the auditor		

	CERTIFICATION DECISION								
BUREAU VER	BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION determines that all the requirements of the standard are sufficiently met and has certified CHRISTIANSMINDE FRESHWATER FARM; A certificate has been issued for the scope specified in the section "scope" above in the report. Any outstanding non-conformities and their status are listed in the section "Findings" above in the report.								
Certification status of the applicant:	Certified								
Date of issuing of Certificate:									
	03/07/2014								
Date of expiring:	02/07/2014								
Scope of the certificate:	Aquaculture operations for freshwater trout								
List of all outstanding non- conformities:	All non-conformities are closed								

-				
Filled in by client/auditor	Name:	Company:		Date:
(mark red what is applicable)				
IANUAL - ASC Freshwater Trout Standard		Aquacultura		
e: rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) or any other salmonid gro	wn in fresh water	Stewardship Cayneil	236 2 19	76 333
E 1: COMPLY WITH ALL NATIONAL AND LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATION	NS Control of the con	Council	CONFIRMITY	COMMENTS -RATIONALE
1.1 Operate within the legal framework of national and local laws and r		Auditor Fuglishion (Demained CAR Astions).	C Major NC Minor NC	NA .
	Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):		Christian assinds Darshau a was ush wilt to madel f
Indicator: Presence of documents issued by pertinent authorities indicating compliance with local and national authorities on land and water use	a. Maintain copies of key land and water use laws (both local and national) that apply to regulating the environmental and social impacts of aquaculture.	A. Confirm that the producer has copies of key land and water use laws of direct relevance to aquaculture impacts.	1	Christiansminde Dambrug was rebuilt to model f 2008, the authority requirement are described in environmental approval as Dambrugs- and modeldambrugsbekendtgørelsen. The use of lan water for production of trout are described in Miljøgodkendelse (Danish Ministry of the Environ Environmental Protection Agency, approval), see Christiansminde Dambrug, dated September 200 use 900 tons feed, from Holstebro municipality
Requirement: Yes	b. Maintain original lease agreements, land titles, or concession permit on file as applicable.	B. Confirm that the client holds original lease agreements or land titles.	1	Christiansminde Dambrug is a part of Danaqua Apregistered with P-number 1.018.115.189 and CVF 28675313
Applicability: All	c. Keep records of inspections for compliance with national and local laws and regulations (if such inspections are legally required in the country of operation).	C .Verify presence of a copy of records of inspections (where such inspections are legally required and paperwork can be provided to producers).	1	There is supervision from Holstebro municipality control of daily operations, in addition to a yearly control of DVFI index upstream and downstream
	d. Obtain permits and maps showing that the farm does not conflict with national preservation areas (see Indicator 2.1.1)	D. Confirm that the producer has evidence showing that the facility does not conflict with designated preservation areas and has required operational permits if sited in such an area (see 2.1.1).	1	Map showing preservation areas, do not confict production facility. This is in compliance with Miljøgodkendelse from September 2006 and sup 6.9.2010
	Note: To ensure that all tax-related information for 1.1.2 is available for auditor review, farms may wish to co	onsolidate required documentation prior to the audit (e.g. when files are held at off site facilities such as a head office or		
Indicator: Presence of documents indicating compliance with tax laws Requirement: Yes	a. Maintain copies of tax laws for jurisdiction(s) where company operates.	A. Verify presence of a copy of tax laws.	1	Tax laws are included in clients ASC manageme
	b. Maintain records of tax payments to appropriate authorities (e.g. land use tax, water use tax, revenue tax). Note that CABs will not disclose confidential tax information unless client is required to or chooses to make it public.	B. Verify that the client has records of tax payments to the appropriate authorities. Do not disclose client tax information which is confidential.	1	Annual account rapport from Danaqua ApS are from certified public accountant, seen rapport 2 signed from Aud. Revisor Palle Hansen, 27.8.202
Applicability: All	c. Register with national or local authorities as an "aquaculture activity" where such registration is consistent with regulations. Maintain copies of registration documents and the contact details for relevant authorities.	C. Verify that the client is registered with local or national authorities.	1	Christiansminde Dambrug is a part of Danqaua A registred under business 32200 Fresh water trouwith P-number 1.018.115.189 and CVR 2867532
	Note: Indicator 1.1.3 is restricted in scope and applies only to those farm sites within the unit of certification.			Arbeidensiligle van 1072/2010 (leber lev) ere in
labor laws and regulations	a. Maintain copies of key labor laws and regulations that are applicable to regulating the social impacts of aquaculture.	A. Confirm that the producer has copies of key labor laws and regulations of direct relevance to social impacts of aquaculture.	1	Arbejdsmiljøloven 1072/2010 (labor law) are ir clients ASC management folder.
Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b. Keep records of farm inspections for compliance with national labor laws and codes (only if such inspections are legally required in the country of operation).	B. Confirm that the client has the specified documentation from the appropriate authorities (where such inspections are legally required and paperwork iprovided to producers).	1	There are inspection from Arbejdstilsynet (Danie Environment Authority), from visit 11. Novemb deviation closed
	a. Maintain copies of key regulations and permitting requirements that apply to water quality impacts, effluent discharge and water abstraction by the farm.	A. Confirm that the client maintains copies of key regulations and permitting requirements as specified.	1	The use of land and water for production of tro described in Miljøgodkendelse (environmental seen for Christiansminde Dambrug, September I13and water abstraction permit from 9.6.2010 supplement 6.9.2010
Indicator : Presence of documents indicating compliance with regulations or permits concerning water quality impacts, effluent	b. Obtain permits for water quality impacts where applicable.	B. Confirm that the client obtains water quality permits as applicable.	1	see 1.1.4 A
and water abstraction Requirement: Yes	c. Maintain records of monitoring and compliance with discharge laws and regulations as required.	C. Verify that records show compliance with discharge laws and regulations.	1	There are analyse rapport, results from outlet a inlet of water, in compliance with requirement. Miljøgodkendelse for N, P, Suspended solids, and the suspended solids are supported by the suspended solids.
Applicability: All	d. Obtain a statement from local authorities indicating the water abstraction limits (units given) for the farm. If local authorities do not set water abstraction limits for farms operating in the region, obtain of a statement from local authorities attesting to this fact.	D. Review the water abstraction limits set for the farm by local authorities. If local authorities do not set water abstraction limits, confirm that the farm has an attestation.	1	The permission for water abstraction is given f Holstebro Municipality 15.10.2013 until 2023, 2 m3/year, actual use reported to Holstebro Mur
	e. Maintain records of water abstraction.	E. Verify that the farm keeps complete records of water abstraction.	1	see 1.1.4.C
		F. Check the farm's water intake against the water abstraction limits to verify compliance with regulations or perrmits. Cross-check against reported values for total water abstracted (see 3.1.1b).	1	see 1.1.4.C
2: CONSERVE HABITAT AND BIODIVERSITY				
.1 Siting and location of farms [2]	Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):		
e [2] To determine its compliance with the requirements in 2.1, a p	roducer will need documentation that analyzes the farm's siting and surrounding habitats and ecosystems. Do	cumentation can be based on an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) or any other credible process of environmental assessment.		
Indicator, Allowana for sixing in National Device of the Co.	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.1.1 - Exceptions to Requirements that Farms are not sited in National a. Provide a map showing the location of the farm relative to nearby protected areas as defined by national laws (also see 1.1.1e).	A. Review map of national protected areas and cross-check against farm location.	1	Map from Christiansminde Dambrug shows the protected area, see 1.1.1.D
Indicator: Allowance for siting in National Protected Areas [3]	b. If the farm is not sited in a protected area as defined above, inform the CAB. In this case, the			

2.1.1							
	nequirement. None [4,5]	c. If the farm is sited in a protected area, review the Instructions for Indicator 2.1.1 (above) to determine if					
	A - P P All Fe1	the farm is allowed an exception to the requirements. If yes, inform the CAB which exception (#1 or #2) is	C. Review the applicability of the exception requested by the farm together with the supporting evidence to determine if the farm is	1			NA
	Applicability: All except as noted in [4] and [5]	allowed and provide supporting evidence.	eligible. If yes, Indicator 2.1.1 is not applicable.				
			D. Review evidence to determine whether the farm is allowed to be sited in a protected area and hence eligible for ASC				
			certification.	1			NA
ootnote	[3] A protected area is "a clearly defined geographical space, reco	·······································	of nature with associated ecosystem services and cultural values." Source: Dudley, N. (Editor) (2008), Guidelines for Applying				
		y the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or VI. These are areas preserved prin			+ +		
ootnote		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			+		
ootnote	[5] An exception is also made for farms located in protected areas		m must demonstrate that its operation is compatible with the objectives of the protected area, and that it is in compliance with any				
		Note: An exception to Indicator 2.1.2 is allowed where conversion of wetlands is for water use (e.g., canals to	r inlets and outlets). Converted surface area must be offset by restoration of 100% of the equivalent area of functional wetlands with				
	Indicator: Conversion of wetlands [6] after 1999	a. Provide documentary evidence showing all construction or habitat conversion on the farm since 1999.	A. Review evidence for date of all construction or habitat conversion on the farm since 1999.			1	No wetland
2.1.2	Requirement: None [7]	b. Provide a map delineating all wetlands (as defined in [6]) currently within a 5-km radius of the farm.	B. Evaluate whether there is evidence for any wetland conversion occuring within a 5-km radius of the farm since 1999.			1	No wetland
	Applicability: All except as noted in [7]	c. Prepare a map showing wetland coverage in 1999 at the farm site.	C. If evidence shows that current farm siting or related activities have resulted in loss of wetland habitat since 1999, then the farm is not certifiable.			1	No wetland
otnote	[6] Wetland: Generally, wetlands are lands where saturation with	water is the dominant factor determining the nature of soil development and the types of plant and animal co	mmunities living in the soil and on its surface. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs and fens (U.S. Environmental				
ootnote		anals for inlets and outlets): Converted surface area must be offset by restoration of 100% of the equivalent are			+ +		
Joinote	[7] Exception. Conversion of wetlands for access to water (e.g., Ca		מ טו זעווכנוטוומו שבנומוועט שונוו נווב סמווב וומטונמנ נוומו מנופווטונט.		+		
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.1.3 - Assessment of Impacts to IUCN Red Listed Species					
	Indicator : An assessment of the presence on the farm of species		A. Review credentials of expert who performed onsite survey and report. Verify through interviews with relevant stakeholders (e.g.				There is a description of consequence for the habitat, a
	listed on the International Union for Conservation of Nature	qualified academic ecologist or environmental consultant to perform onsite survey for species listed in farm	local community, eNGOs, government agency responsible for wildlife protection), in order to cross-check whether endangered	1			environmental impact assessement, this has not show
	(IUCN) "Red List of Threatened Species" as vulnerable, near	analysis.	species exist in the immediate vicinity of the farm.				negatively affect the habitat
	threatened, endangered or critically endangered; an evaluation	b. Provide a map showing location of the farm (see 1.1.1e) relative to the known distribution of IUCN red-	B. Review the map and verify that client is aware of IUCN red-listed species (categories as defined in the indicator) or critical habitats				The IUCN red-listed species in habitat, as salmon, lamp
	of the farm's impact on any such species present; and clearly			1			
		,	located near the farm.				and odder is not affected from farm activities
2.1.3	defined mitigation measures to reduce any negative impacts and						After rebuilding of farm to model farm, with use of we
	allow existence of such species	c. If results from 2.1.3a (above) identify that IUCN Red List species occur within a 5 km radius of the farm					water instead of water abstraction from river, salmon h
		(including upstream and receiving waters), provide a documented evaluation of the farm's impacts on such	C. Verify that client has performed an evaluation of farm impacts to IUCN Red Listed species (as applicable).	1			opportunities to pass, lampret has better living condition
	Requirement: Yes	species.					
							otter are not affected
	Applicability: All	d. Where the results from 2.1.3c indicate a potential for negative impacts, prepare a set of written and	D. Confirm that the farm has documented all mitigation measures and verify implementation during the on-site inspecition (as				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		applicable).	1			There are not negative impacts
		clearly defined midgation measures to reduce any negative impacts and allow existence of such species.	аррисаме).				
rion 2.	2 Riparian buffer zones [8]						
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				
otnote	[8] A riparian buffer zone is the land immediately abutting a water		Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				
tnote		er body.					
tnote	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm					Christiansminde Damhrug has existed since start 1960
tnote	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant	er body.	n's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not present erosion risks [9].	1			_
tnote	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a)		1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mod
tnote	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a).	n's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not present erosion risks [9].	1			
	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a)	n's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not present erosion risks [9].	1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mod
	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c.	a. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions.	1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mod
	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the	1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mod
	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as	's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not present erosion risks [9]. A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water.	1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mod
	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9]	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the	1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mod
2.2.1	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9] Applicability: All farms constructed after publication of the ASC	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as appropriate to ensure worker health and welfare).	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water. D. During the on-site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions.	1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mod
2.2.1 otnote	 Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9] Applicability: All farms constructed after publication of the ASC [9] An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a term 	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water. D. During the on-site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions.	1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mod
2.2.1 otnote	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9] Applicability: All farms constructed after publication of the ASC	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as appropriate to ensure worker health and welfare). third-party scientific analysis that the farm's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water. D. During the on-site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions. present erosion risks.	1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mod
2.2.1 Otnote	 Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9] Applicability: All farms constructed after publication of the ASC [9] An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a table introduction of exotic species [10] 	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as appropriate to ensure worker health and welfare). third-party scientific analysis that the farm's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water. D. During the on-site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions.	1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mo
2.2.1 otnote	 Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9] Applicability: All farms constructed after publication of the ASC [9] An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a table introduction of exotic species [10] 	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as appropriate to ensure worker health and welfare). third-party scientific analysis that the farm's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions): as non-native animals living in areas outside their native boundaries.	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water. D. During the on-site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions. present erosion risks. Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):	1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mo
.2.1 otnote ion 2.	 Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9] Applicability: All farms constructed after publication of the ASC [9] An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a table introduction of exotic species [10] 	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as appropriate to ensure worker health and welfare). third-party scientific analysis that the farm's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions): as non-native animals living in areas outside their native boundaries.	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water. D. During the on-site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions. present erosion risks.	1			farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mo
2.2.1 Otnote	 Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9] Applicability: All farms constructed after publication of the ASC [9] An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a table introduction of exotic species [10] 	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as appropriate to ensure worker health and welfare). third-party scientific analysis that the farm's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions): as non-native animals living in areas outside their native boundaries.	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water. D. During the on-site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions. present erosion risks. Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):	1		1 1	farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mo farm in same area as original
2.2.1 otnote	 Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9] Applicability: All farms constructed after publication of the ASC [9] An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a table introduction of exotic species [10] 	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as appropriate to ensure worker health and welfare). third-party scientific analysis that the farm's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions): as non-native animals living in areas outside their native boundaries. Note: Indicator 2.3.1 does not apply to farms that operate closed production systems. A closed production systems.	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water. D. During the on-site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions. present erosion risks. Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions): stem is defined as a facility with recirculating water that is separated from the wild aquatic medium by effective physical barriers that	1		1 1	farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mod farm in same area as original The farm is a closed production system, with effective
2.2.1	 Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9] Applicability: All farms constructed after publication of the ASC [9] An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a table introduction of exotic species [10] 	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as appropriate to ensure worker health and welfare). third-party scientific analysis that the farm's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions): as non-native animals living in areas outside their native boundaries. Note: Indicator 2.3.1 does not apply to farms that operate closed production systems. A closed production system a. Inform the CAB if the farm uses a closed production system according to the above definition (indicator	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water. D. During the on-site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions. present erosion risks. Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions): stem is defined as a facility with recirculating water that is separated from the wild aquatic medium by effective physical barriers that A. Determine which type of culture system is used by the farm. If closed, then 2.3.1 does not apply (response "n/a"). Otherwise,	1		1 1 1	The farm is a closed production system, with effective separtion between river and farm, by effective physical
2.2.1 ootnote	 Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9] Applicability: All farms constructed after publication of the ASC [9] An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a table introduction of exotic species [10] 	Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as appropriate to ensure worker health and welfare). third-party scientific analysis that the farm's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions): as non-native animals living in areas outside their native boundaries. Note: Indicator 2.3.1 does not apply to farms that operate closed production systems. A closed production system according to the above definition (indicator	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions. B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm. C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water. D. During the on-site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions. present erosion risks. Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions): stem is defined as a facility with recirculating water that is separated from the wild aquatic medium by effective physical barriers that	1		1 1	farm has later in 2008 been rebuild to recirculated mod farm in same area as original The farm is a closed production system, with effective

	Indicator: New introductions of exotic trout after the date of publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, unless in a	b. Inform the CAB which trout species is being cultured by the farm and maintain purchase records (e.g.	B. Confirm which species of trout is cultured by the farm.	1	The trout produced is Oncorhynchus mykiss
2.3.1	closed production system [11] Requirement: None Applicability: All except closed production systems	c. Compile available primary literature (e.g. scientific studies, government publications) to determine whether or not the cultured species is generally considered to be native to the region in which the farm operates.	C. Review the literature to determine if the cultured species is generally considered native to the area. If yes, then 2.3.1 does not apply (response "n/a"). Otherwise, proceed to 2.3.1D.		The trout produced is Oncorhynchus mykiss, the species has been introduced to danish freshwater farms for more than 100 years ago, so far there has not seen possibilities for reproducing outside the farm activities
		d. If the species is considered non-native but was previously established in the area (i.e. if it is an introduced species), search the literature for a reliable estimate of the year of introduction.	D. If the species is not considered native to the area, review available information to determine if it was introduced and had self-sustaining population established in the wild before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (7 February 2013). If yes, then 2.3.1 does not apply (response "n/a"). Otherwise, proceed to 2.3.1E.		There is not a self-sustaining population establish of the species Oncorhynchus mykiss
Footnote	[11] A closed production system is defined as a facility with recir	- culating water that is congrated from the wild aquatic medium by effective physical barriers that are in place an	E. Inform the client that the proposed culture stock is considered an 'exotic trout' under the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard and therefore the farm is ineligible for certification. Indicate the standard and therefore the farm is ineligible for certification. Indicate the standard and therefore the farm is ineligible for certification.		1
	Transgenic [15] Trout	ediating water that is separated from the who aquate mediam by effective physical barriers that are in place an	well maintained to ensure no escapes of reared specimens of biological material that might survive and subsequently reproduce.		+ +
	- Tanagana ()	Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):		
Footnote	[15] Transgenic trout: A subset of genetically modified organism	as, which are organisms that have inserted DNA that originated in a different species. Some GMOs contain no D			
	Indicator: Allowance for the culture of transgenic trout,	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.4.1 - Culture of Transgenic vs. Genetically Modified Trout			
2.4.1	including the offspring of genetically engineered trout Requirement: None	a. Maintain records for the origin of all cultured stocks including the supplier name, address and contact person(s) for stock purchases.	A. Review records to confirm compliance with the requirement.	1	Transgenic trout is not allowed to produce in danish farms, confirmed by authorized veterinarin H.Korsholm, letter from 8.10.2012
	Applicability: All	b. Ensure purchase documents confirm that the culture stock is not transgenic.	B. If the auditor suspects that transgenic fish are in culture, add condition that the farm must have stock identity tested by collecting 3 fish for genetic analysis at an ISO 17025 certified laboratory.		1 NA
	<u> </u>	via selective breeding that can result in better growth performance and domestication but does not involve the	· ·		
Criterion 2.5	Escapes from culture facilities				
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):		
2.5.1	Indicator: Evidence of a well-designed, maintained and managed culture system, infrastructure and farm management to prevent escapes during grow-out and at harvest, as demonstrated through the requirements in Appendix VI	a. Ensure that farm procedures (see 2.5.2a) address all the farm measures for escape prevention given in Appendix VI. Align farm procedures against requirements in Appendix VI.	A. Review the list showing how farm's SOP's meet all requirements given in Appendix VI.	1	As far as the risk assmessment from the farm can show, there is not a possibility for the fish to escape from the farm. In the farm ASC management folder, corresponding to requirements in Appendix IV, a detailed map shows water flow through farm, trough sludge basin, plant lagune, and barriers/screens as well as the regulated barrier at the outlet to river
	Requirement: Yes	b. Ensure proper maintenance of the culture system and infrastructure to prevent escapes during grow-out and harvest.	B. During the on-site visit, inspect the culture system to verify proper maintenance of nets, screens and barriers.	1	The barriers are as described in the ASC Management folder
	Applicability: All	c. Arrange for the auditor to witness the farm's method of harvesting during the audit.	C. During the on-site visit, observe how the farm havests fish to verify effectiveness of escape prevention measures.	1	Harvesting pond and equipment has been inspected and explained, and found effective to prevent escape. There are four waiting cages for delivery of fish, connected to the There was not harvest during audit period
2.5.2	Indicator: Presence of trout farming standard operating procedures (SOP) that incorporate an escape risk assessment [17]	a. Prepare a written SOP (see 2.5.1a) that incorporates an escape risk assessment.	A. Review the farm's SOP to confirm it includes an escape risk assessment. Include a synopsis in auditing report for future standadization of "risk assessment" requirements by ASC or standards setting group.	1	See 2.5.1.
2.3.2	Requirement: Yes	b. Ensure that the SOP is implemented on the farm.	B. During the on-site visit, confirm that the SOP is implemented by direct inspection and through interviews with key staff.	1	See 2.5.1.
Footnote	[17] SOP must clearly define the correct procedures for each asp	ect of farm operation, identify the risks involved and define mitigation procedures for prevention of escapes.			
	Indicator: Evidence of farm staff capacities and capabilities, including training of staff prior to starting work and regular training during employment to understand and address risks from escapes and follow the defined SOP	a. In the SOP for reducing escapes (see 2.5.2a), provide a description of how the farm ensures adequate staff capacity to address risks from escapes.	A. Review SOP to verify that farm addresses staffing capacity needs in order to reduce escapes.	1	The staff are trained for the operation follow requirements in Appendix IV, daily rapporting of sorting, weighing, feeding, counting of dead fish, including inspection of barriers daily
	Requirement: Yes	b. Maintain documentary records (e.g. minutes, attendance sheets) from regular staff trainings on escape prevention procedures.	B. Review records to verify that the farm regularly provides its employees with introductory or continuing training on escape prevention procedures.	1	There has been a training of of staff by farm manager 12.3.2014, SOP and escapes have been reviewed
			C. During the on-site visit, conduct interviews with key staff to confirm that training sessions are held regularly and workers are	1	Staff are fully aware of the inspection and rutines in
	Applicability: All		aware of risks.	-	connection to possible escapes
	Indicator: Estimated unexplained loss [18] of farmed trout in ne	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.5.4 - Calculation of Estimated Unexplained Loss a. For each production cycle, maintain detailed records of the following: - stocking count; t - harvest count;	A. Review records for completeness.	1	NA
2.5.4	pens is made publicly available	- mortalities; and - recorded escapes.			
2.3.1	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b. Calculate the estimated unexplained loss as described in the instructions (above) for the most recent full production cycle. For first audit, farm must demonstrate understanding of calculation and the requirement to disclose EUL after harvest of the current cycle.	B. Verify accuracy of farm calculations for estimated unexplained loss.	1	NA
		c. Make the results from 2.5.4b publicly available (e.g. by publishing information on the farm's website). Keep records of when and where the results were made public for all production cycles.	C. Verify that the farm makes the information available to the public and describe the means of access in the audit report.	1	NA
Footnote	[18] Calculated as: Unexplained loss = Stocking count - harvest co	ount - mortalities - other known escapes.			
	Indicator: All fish in net pens are counted during each grading	a. Prepare a written procedure for grading which describes the frequency and methodology for obtaining counts.	A. Review the farm's procedure for grading.	1	NA
2.5.5	Requirement: Yes	b. Keep records of counts obtained at each grading.	B. Review records and ask producer to trace back a logical unit from harvest to stocking, showing when grading occurred.	1	NA
	•				

riterion 2.6 I	Predator control [19]					
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):			
Footnote	[19] Excluding "vermin" as defined in the local jurisdiction.					
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.6.1 - Exception to Prohibition on Use of Lethal Predator Control				
	Indicator: Intentional use of lethal predator control Requirement: None [20]	a. Prepare a list of all predator control devices and their locations.	A. Review list and confirm device locations and working condition during the on-site inspection.	1		There is net streched over the farm and on sides, distance 25 cm between wires, and an electrical fence close to earth around to keep otter away from ponds with small fish. The electrical fence is not always on, as the otter will be used to it and begin digging under the fence.
		b. Provide a description of farm procedures for managing predators (e.g. in the SOP identified in 2.5.2) which explains how the farm ensures that all actions are non-lethal.	B. Verify that the farm's predator control procedures are implemented and that there is no evidence the control measures are lethal.	1		be used to it and begin digging under the fence. The net described in 2.6.1.A is established
Footnote		·	onstrates lethal action against a particular predator is appropriate, necessary and presents no risks to wild populations or ecosystems.			
	MINIMIZE NEGATIVE EFFECT ON WATER RESOURCES					
	Vater Use/Abstraction Levels					
	. 4.6 6.6., 1.2	Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):			
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.1.1 - Exemptions from Meeting the Maxima for Water Abstraction				
		a. Inform the CAB if the farm seeks an exemption to 3.1.1. and provide supporting evidence (see Instructions). Otherwise, proceed to 3.1.1b.	A. If the farm seeks an exemption, review evidence for compliance with regulatory or scientifically-derived water flow minima and provide a synopsis in the audit report. Otherwise, proceed to 3.1.1B.		1	NA for recirculated farm
	from a natural flowing water body (such as a river or stream)	b. Maintain records of all water abstracted by the farm and use these values to calculate the total volume of water abstracted on an annual basis.			1	NA for recirculated farm
3.1.1	Requirement: 50% of the natural water body's flow immediately above the farm [21]	c. Provide the CAB with reliable estimates of water flow immediately above the farm (e.g. scientific studies, government publications). Use these values to calulate the total volume of water flow on an annual basis.	C. Confirm that the farm has access to reliable estimates for water flow immediately above the farm and that caclulations are accurate for annual volume of water flow immediately above the farm.		1	NA for recirculated farm
		d. Use the results of 3.1.1b divided by 3.1.1c multiplied by 100 to determine the percent abstraction of the natural water body's flow.	D. Review data to verify that the volume of water abstracted does not exceed 50% of the natural water body's flow immediately above the farm during any month of the year. One annual measurement at point of maximum low flow rate period to demonstrate less than 50% water abstraction. The farmer is required to demonstrate historical statistics of what period is definied as "low flow rate".		1	NA for recirculated farm
ootnote	[21] Farms will be exempted from this requirement if they can der	monstrate that they are in a jurisdiction that regulates the farm's water abstraction based on a minimum vital	water flow for the natural water body, and the farm's water use respects that minimum vital flow. Farms would also be exempt if			
242		a. Retain records to show how the farm ensures that > 90% of abstracted water is returned to the natural water body.			1	NA for recirculated farm
3.1.2	Requirement: Yes	-	B. During the on-site visit, inspect the water intake and discharge areas to confirm that the farm has means of estimating returned water volume.		1	NA for recirculated farm
	Indicator: All use of underground pumped water has been	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.1.3 - Distinction between Surface Water and Underground Pumped Water	ater			
	permitted by regulatory authorities	a. Identify any use of underground pumped water by the farm and include in the farm map or diagram (see 1.1.1e and 2.2.1c).	A. Verify whether the farm uses underground pumped water or not and record this in the audit report.	1		The farm use underground pumped water
3.1.3	Applicability: All farms utilizing groundwater (such as water from	b. Obtain permits from regulatory authorities.	B. Confirm that the farm has permits for all pumped water (as applicable).	1		Permission from 9.6.2010 for establishment of boring, an map showing 2 borings at farm, in ASC Managment folde use of water are rapported to municipality every year
	a well)		C. During the on-site visit, inspect groundwater sources (as applicable).	1		Water holes are shown in ASC Management folder
2.4.4	Indicator: Well depths are tested at least annually, and results made publicly available [22]	a. Ensure that well tests are conducted at a similar time each year [22] using an appropriate methodology.	A. Review evidence to verify that the farm has wells tested at a similar time each year using an appropriate methodology.	1		The well depth are not tested every year, and not according to ASC requirements as there are no existing Auditing guideance document
3.1.4	Requirement: Yes	b. Maintain records of results from all tests of well depth.	B. Confirm that the farm maintains results from tests of well depth.	1		There are no tests of well depth
		c. Make the results from 3.1.4b available publicly (e.g. by posting on the farm's website). Keep records of when and where results were made public.	C. Verify that the farm makes the information from 3.1.4b available to the public and record the testing results in the audit report (public section).	1		There are no tests results to publish
		results submitted to ASC. More detailed methodology will be provided in the Auditing Guidance document.				
	and-based systems—Water Quality/Effluent					
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Compliance Criteria (Descriped Client Astions)	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):			
1011 312 1		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Nequireu CAD Actions).			

	Indicators Maximum total array at af abound are a solonoid into	a. Maintain records showing the amount and type of feeds used during the past 12 months.	A. Verify that farm has records for feeds used over the relevant time period.	1	Records for use of feed (Feed from Aller), kg and type are registrated in farm Dambase
	Indicator: Maximum total amount of phosphorus released into the environment per metric ton (mt) of fish produced over a 12-	b. For all feeds used (result from 3.2.1a), keep records showing phosphorus content as determined by chemical analysis or based on feed supplier declaration (Appendix II-A).	B. Verify that farm has records showing the phosphorus content in feeds.	1	Datasheets for feed used show phosphor content of 0,9 and 1,1 %
	month period (see methodology in Appendix II-A)	c. Using equation #1 from Appendix II-A and results from 3.2.1a and b, calculate the total amount of phosphorus added as feed during the last 12 months of production.	C. Confirm that calculations are done according to Appendix II-A.	1	Total amount of phosphor are calculated as Appendix II-A
3.2.1	Requirement: 5 kg/mt of fish produced over a 12-month period; within three years of publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (7 February 2013), 4 kg/mt of fish produced over a 13	d. Maintain records for stocking, harvest and mortality which are sufficient to calculate the amount of biomass produced (equation #2 in Appendix II-A) during the past 12 months.	D. Verify that the farm maintained all records needed to calculate the amount of biomass produced during the past 12 months.	1	Records are done for biomass produced for the last 12 month
	Standard (7 February 2013), 4 kg/mt of fish produced over a 12-month period	e. Calculate the amount of phosphorus in fish biomass produced (result from 3.2.1d) using equation #3 in Appendix II-A.	E. Confirm that P-content calculations are done according to Appendix II-A.	1	P-content of biomass are done according to Appendix II-A
	Applicability: All land-based systems	f. If applicable, maintain records showing the total amount of P removed as sludge (equation #4 in Appendix II-A) during the past 12 months.	F. As applicable, verify records showing how the farm determined the amount of phosphorus removed from the system as sludge.	1	Calculation of P from sludge, from estimated amount, dry matter 55 % P 1,7 %
		g. Using the formula in Appendix II-A and results from 3.2.1a-f (above), calculate total phosphorus released per ton of fish produced.	G. Review calculations to confirm that the farm does not exceed requirements for total amount of phosphorus released.	1	The farm does not exceed requirements for total phosphorus of 5 kg/mt of fish
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.2.2 - Oxygen Saturation in the Outflow			
3.2.2	Indicator: Minimum oxygen saturation in the outflow, measured monthly (see methodology in Appendix II-B)	a. Provide monthly monitoring records of DO percent saturation in outlfow water for the previous 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	A. Review DO dataset to confirm that monitoring covers the required timeframe and that DO was ≥ 60% for each monthly water sample.	1	DO has been measured the last year from outlet of water, with results varying results also results lower than 60 %. The latest results from January until 18.3.2014 has been from 61 to 79. A new oxidation equipement has been installed at outlet from January
		b. If any single value from 3.2.2a is < 60%, initiate daily continuous monitoring of DO for > 1 week with an electronic probe and recorder. Maintain a record of the results.	B. If applicable (see results from 3.2.2a), review the farm's results from daily continuous monitoring to verify that DO saturation in the outflow was ≥ 60% at all times for at least one week.	1	There was daily continuous monitoring of DO for > 1 week in January
	Applicability: All land-based systems	and and reserved introduction in the results.	and a state of the desired for the feedst offer weeks		
		c. During the on site visit, make arrangements for the auditor to observe calibration of equipment and measurements.	C. During the on-site visit, observe how the farm calibrates equipment and takes DO measurements (or takes samples for chemical analysis) to confirm compliance.	1	The DO measurements have been done by accreditated laboratorium (Eurofins). There is an Oxyguard at the farm, this is calibrated against Eurofins system at every visit
Footnote	[23] If a single oxygen reading is below 60 percent, the farm woul	d need to demonstrate daily continuous monitoring with an electronic probe and recorder for at least a week	with a minimum 60 percent saturation at all times.		
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.2.3 - Macroinvertebrate Surveys			
	Indicator: Macroinvertebrate surveys downstream from the farm's effluent discharge demonstrate benthic health that is similar to or better than surveys upstream from the discharge (see methodology in Appendix II-C) Requirement: Yes	a. Have a scientific assessment done in the area downstream of the outlet to identify the zone most likely to be impacted by farm discharge. This assessment must consider water mixing and distance from farm outlet.	A. Confirm that the farm used the results from a scientific assessment to determine the location of downstream sampling.	1	The macrovertebrate analyse are performed every year, the station placed and sample taken by authorities. The last results from the sampling 2013 show same result 7 upstreams and downstreams the farm, and one 6 upsteams and 7 downstreams
		b. Prepare a map showing the upstream and downstream transects and sampling stations used for macroinvertebrate surveys (see Appendix II-C).	B. Review map to verify appropriate siting of sampling stations relative to the scientific assessment (see 3.2.3a) and in compliance with Appendix II-C.	1	The stations are described in report from SBN Consult, sampled by J. Larsen, Holstebro municipality
3.2.3		c. Collect benthic samples along transects in accordance with Appendix II-C and maintain records of all sample collections.	C. Confirm that the sample collection followed Appendix II-C.	1	The macroinvertebrate examination has been compared to appendix II-C and found in compliance, also by DCE - Danish centre for environment and energy
	Applicability: All land-based systems	d. Have an accredited laboratory analyze the samples for benthic invertebrate fauna including characterization of species composition, abundance, diversity, and presence of key sensitive indicator species.	D. Confirm that the laboratory used by the farm is accredited for analyses of benthic samples. Review the laboratory results to confirm that the samples of benthic fauna were characterized as required.	1	The laboratory is not accredited for analyses of benthic samples
		e. Using survey results from 3.2.3d, compare the bentic health of areas downstream from the discharge to those areas upstream of the discharge to assure no change.	E. Review the farm's comparison of upstream and downstream benthic health to comfirm that the farm's conclusions are directly supported by objectvie evidence from benthic surveys. Verify that surveys show compliance with the requirement.	1	The results shown from SBN Consult, that the fauna is similar or better from the outlet of farm compared to inlet of farm
			F. Compare how disparity between upstream and downstream benthic health has or hasn't changed through time to determine future surveillance frequency (see instructions).	1	The results from upstream and downstream are not different
		Note: Detailed description of the biosolids (sludge) Best Management Practices is given in Appendix II-D of t	he ASC Freshwater Trout Standard.		
	Indicator: Evidence of implementation of biosolids (sludge); Best Management Practices (BMPs) (see Appendix II-D)	a. Prepare a biosolids (sludge) management plan that addresses all requirements in Appendix II-D.	A. Review the farm's biosolids (sludge) management plan for compliance with Appendix II-D.	1	There is a farm biosolid (sludge) management plan for compliance with Appendix II_D, agreement with service supply company from 3.2.2010. Sludge samples are taken and analyses comply with requirements as seen fro Cd and Ni.
3.2.4	Requirement: Yes	b. Prepare a process flow diagram of the key steps taken to responsibly manage sludge identifying treatment, transfer, storage, utilization and disposal.	B. Evaluate the flow diagram to confirm it covers all steps (e.g. cleaning routines of pipes, sumps, channels and units).	1	A process flow diagram is connected to sludge management plan, show flow of sludge as seen at farm
	Applicability: All land-based systems	c. Maintain records of biosolid (sludge) cleaning, maintenance, and disposal as described in Appendix II-D.	C. Review the farm's records to verify there is evidence of implementation of biosolids management as required in Appendix II-D.	1	There is a registration of sludge disposal in Dambase, transporter Bjarnes fisketransport. Bjarnes Fisketransport has applied for approval for transport of animal byproducts
			D. During the on site visit, inspect the farm and conduct community interviews to verify there is no evidence for discharge of biosolids into natural water bodies.	1	Inspection on site confirm management of biosolids

		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.2.5 - Water Quality Monitoring Matrix, Land-Based Systems			
		a. Conduct ≥ 6 months of water quality monitoring before first audit. Thereafter, monitoring should be part of production practices for certified farms.	A. Do not schedule the on-site audit until client has monitoring dataset.	1	Water monitoring dataset for more than 6 month established
		b.Complete the Water Quality Monitoring Matrix (Appendix II-B) and submit to CAB.	B. Review Matrix to verify that client monitored all four required parameters at the required frequency.	1	The matrix fulfilled for TP, TN, BOD and TSS
	ndicator: Water-quality monitoring matrix completed and submitted to ASC (see Appendix II-B)	c. Calibrate all equipment at the frequency and by the method recommended by the manufacturer. Calibrate daily if there is no manufacturer's recommendation.	C. Verify that client calibrates equipment as required.		1 NA, analyzes by accredited laboratory
3.2.5	Requirement: Yes	d. During the audit of the farm, arrange to conduct water quality monitoring. The auditor will witness water sampling.	D. Witness the client conducting water quality monitoring.		1 NA, analyzes by accredited laboratory
4	Applicability: All land-based systems	e. Collect water samples and prepare them for shipment to a laboratory (if applicable).	E. Witness the farm collecting water samples or (if applicable) preparing samples to send to an independent laboratory.		1 NA, analyzes by accredited laboratory
		f. Perform routine analysis of water samples (i.e. done in the same manner as for previous months of water	F. Witness the farm's analyses of water samples or (if applicable) review evidence that the independent laboratory is suitably		NA, analyzes by accredited laboratory
		quality monitoring).	qualified to perform analyses.		1 IVA, analyzes by accredited laboratory
		g. Record values for each parameter and submit results to CAB.	G.Review the recorded values and examine consistency with the farm's previous results for water quality monitoring.	1	Parameters are consistent
		h. Submit data on water quality monitoring to ASC as per Appendix II-B.	H. Confirm that client has submitted data on water quality to ASC (Appendix II-B).	1	Data are submitted to ASC
rion 3.3 Ca	ge-Based Systems—Water Quality/Benthic Community				
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):		
	ndicator: For cages located on water bodies with a surface area	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 - Classification of Surface Area of Water Body			
	ess than 1,000 km ² , evidence that farm production levels reflect	a. Determine the surface area of the water body where the farm operates.	A. Review data to confirm that it comes from an accurate and reliable source.		1
	he results of an assimilative capacity study (see Appendix II-E)		B. Verify that the farm has correctly assigned the water body to a size class. If the water body is \geq 1,000 km ² then Indicator 3.3.1 does not apply.		1
	Requirement: Yes	c. Obtain a documentated assimilative capacity study for the water body where the farm operates. The assimilative capacity study must address all requirements described in Appendix II-E.	C. Review the assimilative capacity study to verify it meets the requirments of Appendix II-E (e.g. appropriateness of model used, scope of investigation, and analyses performed).		1
	Applicability: Cage-based systems operating on water bodies with a surface area < 1000 km ²	d. Provide evidence that the farm production levels reflect the results of the assimilative capacity study in 3.3.1c.	D. Review the conclusions presented in 3.3.1c to verify that loading from farm production levels does not exceed water body capacity to assimilate.		1
	ndicator: For cages located on water bodies with a surface area	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.2 - Water Body Classifications as Type 1, Type 2 or Type 3			
C	of 1,000 km ² or greater, evidence that cages are located at sites hat are classified as "Type 3" sites, as defined in Appendix II-F	3	A. Verify that the farm has correctly assigned the water body to a size class. If the water body is < 1,000 km ² then Indicator 3.3.2 does not apply.		1
3 3 2	Requirement: Yes	b. Provide evidence that the water body classification was performed by a regulatory agency as required under Appendix II-F. If no regulatory agency has classified the water body, proceed to 3.3.2c.	B. Review the evidence from the regulatory agency to confirm that the site is classified as "Type 3" according to the required methodology (if applicable).		1
	Applicability: Cage-based systems operating on water bodies	c. If applicable, hire a qualified independent consultant to analyze and classify the site where the farm operates in accordance with the definitions in Appendix II-F.	C. As applicable, verify that the consultant was suitably qualified and provided a detailed analysis to support the determination.		1
\	vith a surface area ≥ 1000 km²	-	D. Confirm that actual cage locations are at sites classified as Type 3.		1

		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.3 - Water Quality Monitoring, Cage-Based Systems		
		a. Conduct ≥ 6 months of water quality monitoring before first audit and submit to CAB.	A. Do not schedule the on-site audit until client has monitoring dataset.	1
	Indicator: Water quality monitoring matrix completed (see	b. Calibrate all equipment at the frequency and by the method recommended by the manufacturer. Calibrate daily if there is no manufacturer's recommendation.	B. Verify that client calibrates equipment as required.	1
3.3.3	Appendix II-G)	c. During the audit of the farm, arrange to conduct water quality monitoring at location of auditor's choice.	C. Witness the client conducting water quality monitoring.	1
3.3.3	Requirement: Yes	e. Collect water samples at the same location as 3.3.3a and obtain analysis from a water quality laboratory at least once annually.	E. Examine independent analyses performed by an independent laboratory (i.e. not by farm staff) for consistency with farm results for months where duplicate samples taken.	1
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	f.Assure that values from laboratory are consistent with values obtained from laboratory results. If values differ by >5%, demonstrate how equipment has been recalibrated, replaced, or how procedures have been modified.	F. Examine percent error between farm measurements and auditor measurements. Determine whether ammendments made are sufficient. Auditor is at liberty to request a second set of tests to confirm accurate recalibration.	1
		g. Submit data on water quality monitoring to ASC as per Appendix II-B.	G. Confirm that client has submitted data on water quality to ASC (Appendix II-B).	1
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.4 - Establishing a Baseline Total Phosphorus Concentration		
	Indicator : Maximum baseline total phosphorus concentration of the water body (see Appendix II-H)	a. Provide CAB with a description of the farm's TP monitoring program (e.g. sampling station, sampling protocol, name of laboratory used).	A. Review farm's description of the TP monitoring program to verify it complies with requirements. Where situations arise with complex modified water bodies (eg: large lakes and/or hydroelectric facilities); resulting in high or variable water depth fluctuations; sites should record with frequent monitoring flow, depth and water quality	1
3.3.4	Requirement: ≤ 20 μg/l [24]	b. Implement monitoring of TP as described in the instructions for Indicator 3.3.3.	B. During on site visit, observe sample collection, processing, and transport or mailing to the laboratory.	1
		c. Identify the baseline TP concentration of the water body (see Instructions above) and provide the CAB with evidence to show how this value was established.	C. Review the farm's evidence for establishment of a baseline TP concentration and record the value and rationale in the audit report.	1
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	d. Provide monthly TP monitoring data to the CAB.	D. Review TP data set for completeness and cross-check against previous monitoring results for consistency.	1
		-	E. Review TP monitoring records and verify that no quarterly TP concentration is ≤ 20 μg/l.	1
Footnote	[24] This concentration is equivalent to the upper limit of the Me	sotrophic Trophic Status classification as described in Appendix II-H.		
	Indicator : Minimum percent oxygen saturation of water 50 centimeters above bottom sediment (at all oxygen monitoring	a. Provide CAB with a description of the farm's oxygen saturation monitoring program (see Indicator 3.3.3).	A. Review farm's description of the oxygen saturation montioring program to verify it complies with requirements.	1
	locations described in Appendix II-G)	b. Implement monitoring of oxygen saturation according to the methods described above.	B. During on site visit, observe sample collection, processing, and transport or mailing? to the laboratory.	1
3.3.5	Requirement: ≥ 50%	c. Provide oxygen monitoring data to the CAB.	C. Review oxygen saturation data set for completeness and cross-check against independent laboratory results. Raise a non-conformity for disparities >/= 5%.	1
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	d. Collect oxygen saturation sample in the presence of an auditor and auditor witnesses transfer to an accredited lab or lab employee.	D. Review oxygen saturation monitoring records and verify that no quarterly value is <50%.	1
	Indicator: Trophic status classification of water body remains	a. Obtain documentary evidence stating the trophic status of water body if previously set by a competent authority (if applicable). If not, got to 3.3.6.b	A. Verify that farm obtains evidence that the trophic status of the water body has been previously set by a competent authority (as applicable).	1
3.3.6	unchanged from baseline (see Appendix II-H) Requirement: Yes	b. If the trophic status of the water body has not previously been classified, use the baseline TP concentration (result from 3.3.4c) to assign a trophic status to the water body according to the table in Appendix II-H.	B. Verify that the farm has correctly assigned trophic status to the water body using baseline TP concentration.	1
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	c. Compare the current trophic status of the water body (results from either 3.3.6a or 3.3.6b) to the trophic status reported in all previous audits. For first audits, this requirement is not applicable.	C. Review the farm's conclusion to verify compliance with the requirement.	1
	Indicator: Maximum allowed increase in total phosphorus	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.7 - Calculation of Percent Increase in TP from Baseline		

	concentration in lake from baseline	a. Use the result from Indicator 3.3.4 (above) to identify the baseline TP concentration that will be used to calculate percent change from baseline.	A. Verify that the farm has justification for selecting the TP value to serve as the baseline TP concentration for the water body (as was done for 3.3.4).		1	
	Requirement: 25% for water bodies with a surface area of less	b. Use the result from Indicator 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 (above) to identify the size of the water body in which the farm operates.	B. Verify that farm has accurately catergorized the size of the water body.		1	
3.3.7	than 1,000 km ²	c. Use TP monitoring data from the reference station taken over the past 12 months to calculate the current annual average concentration of TP.	C. Verify that farm has accurately calculated the current annual average TP concentration using data from the reference station.		1	
	15% for water bodies with a surface area of 1,000 km ² or greater	d. Calculate the difference between 'baseline TP' and the annual average TP concentration over the most recent 12 months according to the instructions given above.	D. Verify that the farm has made accurate calculation of the percentage difference in TP concentration.		1	
	Applicability: All cage-based systems as specifed according to size of water body in which the farm operates	-	E. Confirm that any observed increase in phosphorus concentration falls within the maximum allowed range for the size of water body where the farm operates.		1	
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.8 - Calculation of Total Phosphorus Released per Ton of Fish Produce	· ·			
		a. Maintain records showing the amount and type of feeds used during the past 12 months.	A. Verify that farm has records for feeds used over the relevant time period.		1	
	Indicator: Maximum total amount of phosphorus released into	b. For all feeds used (result from 3.3.8a), keep records showing phosphorus content as determined by chemical analysis or based on feed supplier declaration (Appendix II-A).	B. Verify that farm has records showing the phosphorus content in feeds.		1	
	the environment per metric ton (mt) of fish produced over a 12-month period (see Appendix II-A)	c. Using equation #1 from Appendix II-A and results from 3.3.8a and b, calculate the total amount of phosphorus added as feed during the last 12 months of production.	C. Confirm that calculations are done according to Appendix II-A.		1	
3.3.8	Requirement: 5 kg/mt of fish produced over a 12-month period; within three years of publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout	d. Maintain records for stocking, harvest and mortality which are sufficient to calculate the amount of biomass produced (equation #2 in Appendix II-A) during the past 12 months. Value taken from 3.2.1.d	D. Verify that the farm maintained all records needed to calculate the amount of biomass produced during the past 12 months.		1	
	Standard (7 February 2013), 4 kg/mt of fish produced over a 12-month period.	e. Calculate the amount of phosphorus in fish biomass produced (result from 3.3.8d) using equation #3 in Appendix II-A.	E. Confirm that P-content/biomass produced calculations are done according to Appendix II-A.		1	
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	f. If applicable, maintain records showing the total amount of P removed as sludge (equation #4 in Appendix II-A) during the past 12 months. This compliance criteria valid for flow-through systems but does not apply for cage systems.	F. As applicable, verify records showing how the farm determined the amount of phosphorus removed from the system as sludge. This compliance criteria valid for flow-through systems but does not apply for cage systems.		1	
		g. Using the formula in Appendix II-A and results from 3.3.8a-f (above), calculate total phosphorus released per ton of fish produced.	G. Review calculations to confirm that the farm does not exceed requirements for total amount of phosphorus released.		1	
Criterion 4.1	Farm health management					
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):			
	Indicator : Presence of a site-specific farm health plan that is reviewed at least annually and addresses biosecurity, veterinary health, crisis management and risk assessment	a. Prepare a Farm Health Plan (FHP) that is site-specific and addresses biosecurity, veterinary health, crisis management, and risk assessment	A. Review the farm health plan to confirm that it adequately addresses each of the relevant requirements.	1		A farm health plan, is established covering the relevant requirements, dated 14.10.2013, signed by veterinarian
4.1.1	Requirement: Yes	b. Ensure that the FHP is reviewed and updated at least annually with signatures by farm management indicating approval.	B. Verify that farm management approves review and update of the FHP at least annually.	1		The farm health plan will be updated yearly
	Applicability: All	c. Ensure that the farm's designated veterinarian reviews and approves the FHP annually and after each update of the FHP, by signature.	C. Confirm that the farm has paperwork showing signature and date of review by designated vetrinarian.	1		Health plan signed by veterinarian Simon B. Madsen 14.10.2014
		a. Design a set of health status metrics that can be evaluated at all relevant phases of the life history. Have the metrics reviewed and approved by the farm's designated health care professional.	A. Verify that the farm has designed health status metrics which are reasonable and can be evaluated across the life history. Confirm that the metrics were approved by the farm's designated health care professional.	1		Health status is shown on www.gir-chr.dk
		b. Ensure that the farm's designated health care professional samples fish on-site during an annual inspection and maintains records of conditions using metrics defined by 4.1.2a.	B. Examine the farm's record of conditions from annual inspection by the farm's designated health care professional.	1		Fish are not moved from lower to higher status of health, health status checked from deliveries.
	Indicator: All fish, at all stages in the life cycle, are sourced from	c. Ensure that the samples of health condition (from 4.1.2b) are taken from all of the main cohorts in production during each health status inspection .	C. Ensure records of evaluations are taken from all main cohorts in production at the time of the veterinary health care professional's inspection.	1		Evaluations are updated in health status register
4.1.2	a supply that is of equal or better health status than its own stock Requirement: Yes	d. Prior to accepting a transfer of fish, ensure that the supplier has evaluated fish using the farm's health status metrics in 4.1.2a. Farm's may also use evidence from statutory evaluations (e.g. health certificates) as a basis for accepting transfers provided that the evaluations are appropriately documented.	D. Verify that the farm has evidence of suppliers evaluating fish using the farm's health status metrics prior to accepting transfer. Or, if applicable, verify that the farm reviews evidence from statutory evaluations before accepting transfers.	1		Fish are not moved from lower to higher status of health, health status checked from deliveries.
	Applicability: All	e. Ensure that responsible farm staff are trained in evaluating condition using health status metrics.	E. Verify that responsible staff understand how to evaluate condition using health status metrics.	1		Staff are fully aware of health status metrics
		f. The farm shows evidence that inspections by the veterinary health professional include co-scoring of fish condition with farm staff, with comparison for consistency after scoring.	F. Verify that the farm has some form of evidence showing that co-scoring has been calibrated between the veterinary health professional and farm staff.	1		Veterinarian and staff are calibrated at every visit, as farm staff have evaluated eventually sickness at request of veterinarian
		g. Ensure that farm staff evaluate a subsample of individuals in all shipments. Those not conforming are returned to the supplier with health status metrics recorded.	G. Verify that the farm has evidence of staff evaluating a subsample of individuals for all shipment and that shipments are or are not released as appropriate.	1		Fish from all shipments are evaluated from staff before received, transport of fish are managed by central Danforel staff
	Indicator : All fish that are moved off site, at all stages in the life cycle, are moved to a location of equal or lesser health status	a. Ensure that receivers evaluate fish health condition using metrics defined by the farm's designated veterinary health specialist (4.1.2a) at the receiving location prior to transfer, and to convey this information prior to transfer.	A. Verify the farm has evidence that receivers have conveyed condition scores to the farm prior to accepting transfer.	1		Condition scores are known by staff and verified by veterinarian and register
4.1.3	Requirement: Yes	b. Ensure that trained farm staff (4.1.2e) evaluate the health condition of a subsample of individuals prior to moving fish off site.	B. Verify that appropriately trained staff (as per 4.1.2e) have evaluated health condition and have recorded results prior to outshipments.	1		Trained staff evaluate health condition of fish and records in Dambase
	Applicability: All	c. Ensure that fish are only moved off site if there are records demonstrating that fish health in the receiving location is equal to or less than that in the shipping location.	C. Verify that the farm has a protocol that assures that evaluations show health status in receiving location is equal to or less than that in the shipping location.	1		Condition scores are known by staff and verified by veterinarian and register
L	1	THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT	11 0			5

	Indicator: Site access, disinfection and hygiene protocols are	a. Prepare written protocols for site access, disinfection and hygiene (these protocols may be incorporated into the Farm Health Plan in 4.1.1a).	A. Verify that the required protocols exist.	1	A farm health plan, is established covering the relevant requirements for access to farm
4.1.4	written and observed	b. In the above protocols (4.1.4a) make direct reference to national regulations related to site access, disinfection and hygiene.	B. Verify that relevant national legislation has been appropriately accounted for in protocols.	1	Legislation BEK 1218 and 1219 (2008) are accounted for in Fish health plan
	Requirement: Yes	c. Ensure that farm protocols for site access, disinfection and hygiene are implemented.	C. Verify that the farm has on-site access to all materials needed for implementation of distinfection and hygiene protocols.	1	There is a sign to prevent access to site, described in farm health plan
	Applicability: All	-	D. Confirm that relevant staff are aware of nature and intent of protocols through interview.	1	Staff are fully aware of the protocol
	Indicator: Biosecure disposal of mortalities and fish trimmings	a. Maintain records for all disposal of fish tissue including mortalities and fish trimmings.	A. Verify that the farm maintains records of all disposal of fish tissue.	1	Dead fish are desposited in containers in underground, all disposal are transported to Blåhøj Biogass by Bjarnes Fisketransport, 2-3 times per year
4.1.5	Requirement: Yes	b. Create a protocol for biosecure disposal of biological tissue and fish trimmings with a rationale explaining how biosecurity is achieved.	B. Verify that the farm's protocol provides an adequate rationale to ensure biosecure disposal of mortalities and fish trimmings.	1	In Fish Health Plan are description of treatment and disposal of dead fish
	Applicability: All	c. In the above protocol (4.1.5b), make explicit reference to any national regulations related to disposal of biological waste.	C. Verify that relevant national legislation has been appropriately accounted for in the protocol.	1	Legislation BEK 1218 and 1219 (2008) are accounted for in Fish health plan, and (EF) nr.1069/2009 regarding animal byproducts
		-	D. Confirm that relevant staff are aware of nature and intent of protocols through interviews.	1	Staff are fully aware of the protocol
		Note: On-site investigation of mortality events (4.1.6c) is not required when farms have all mortality events	investigated immediately off-site (4.1.6d).		
		a. Maintain records of all mortalities and identify cause where known, or actions taken if unknown.	A. Verify that the farm maintains relevant records of mortality events including timing of response and investigation of cause.	1	Dead fish are recorded in Dambase
4.1.6	Indicator: Immediate investigation of all mortality events on site and, in instances where mortality remains unexplained or unattributed, further investigation with fish health professionals off site Requirement: Yes	b. Ensure that the mortality records in 4.1.6a include objective evidence (e.g. time-stamped photographs or similar) showing the farm investigated each unexplained mortality event within 24 hours of detection.	B. Review a sample of records and supporting evidence to confirm that the farm investigated each unexplained mortality event within 24 hours of detection.	1	For mortality of fish, veterinarian are contacted to visit farm, vist by veterinarian 18.11.2013, ERM found and treatment of antibiotic prescribed, prescription for Aquavet ST with active substance trimethoprim and sulphadiazin, amont of medicated feed seen registered in Dambase according to prescription. All feed was used during the treatment for 8 days. For unexplained mortality veterinarian are contacted for investigation
	Applicability: All	c. For investigation of mortality events that are coducted on site, maintain a record of the tests used and the results obtained.	C. Verify evidence of records and methods used on site to investigate mortality events.	1	see. 4.1.6.B
		d. For any mortality events in 4.1.6c where the results were unexplained or unattributed, have a relevant fish health professional perform an off site investigation and keep a record of their opinion as to cause.	D. Verify that farm has a record of opinion from fish health expert for off site investigations of mortality events.	1	see. 4.1.6.B
	Indicator : Minimum frequency of inspection of the farm by a	a. Maintain log showing the date of visit, title and affiliation of designated veterinarian.	A. Verify that an inspection log is maintained.	1	Inspection report from every veternarian visit
	designated veterinarian [26] who specializes in aquatic animal health. The inspection must review the farm health plan.	b. Obtain signature from designated veterinarian confirming inspection and date.	B. Verify that inspections frequency is compliant with requirements.	1	There is a yearly inspection by veterinarian, 18.11.2013, in addition to visits during the year
4.1.7	Requirement: ≥ 1 inspection per year, at a time when the site is in production	c. Maintain on site, a current (within 3 years) CV of the farm's designated veterinarian.	C. Verify that the credentials of the designated veterinarian conform to the definition in Footnote 26.	1	There is a CV, dated 23.5.2010 of the designed veterinarian onsite, cand. Med. Vet. Simon B. Madsen
	Applicability: All	-	D. Use feed records to ensure that inspections occurred during production.	1	Inspections occured during production, according to feed records
Footnote	[26] A designated veterinarian is the professional responsible for	health management on the farm who has the legal authority to diagnose disease and prescribe medication. H	le/she is expected to have a degree in veterinary medicine and a strong background in fish disease control.		
	Indicator: Evidence that maximum stock density was determined	a. Include rationale for maximum stock density in the farm health plan (see 4.1.1) that refers to peer reviewed reference material.	A. Verify that a section is included in the farm health plan that rationalizes stocking density and contains relevant references. Crosscheck a sample of the peer-reviewed citations to confirm legitimacy and quality.	1	Stocking density are planned together with designed veterinarian at yearly inspection and updated in Fish Health Plan
4.1.8	jointly by the designated veterinarian and site management	b. Obtain signatures from the designated veterinarian during annual inspection confirming agreed maximun stocking density.	B. Verify that the designated veterinarian approved the maximum stocking density at each annual inspection.	1	Approved maximum stocking density 80 kg/m3, at inspection 14.10.2013, signed by veterinarian
	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	c. Prepare a letter signed by the farm senior manager stating that maximum stock density was determined jointly by the designated veterinarian and site management.	C. Verify that the farm has a signed letter from the senior manager stating that maximum stock density was determined by the designated veterinarian and site management.	1	There is not a letter from senior manager stating that maximum stock density was determined by the designated veterinarian and site management
		-	D. Verify through interviews with site manager that he/she was consulted in the decision to determine maximum stock density.	1	Maximum stock density are planned in coordination with farm management and veterinarian
Criterion 4.2 C	hemicals and treatments				
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):		
	Indicator : Presence of a treatment plan, treatment record book and farm health history that includes a detailed recording of all treatments and all health events on the farm, as well as written veterinary prescriptions and receipts	a. Create requisite protocols which include at a mimimum: name of the veterinary health professional prescribing treatment; product name and chemical name (for all therapeutants and antimicrobials); treatment plan and reason for use (specific disease); date(s) of treatment; amount (g) of product used; dosage; quantity of fish treated (mt); WHO classification of any antibiotics; and supplier of chemicals or therapeutants.	A. Verify that farm has a treatment plan and records of all treatments, health events and veterinary prescriptions.	1	There is a treatment plan, visit rapport from veterinarian and records of all treatments in Dambase, this include antibiotic and chemical treatments
	Requirement: Yes	b. Keep required records and receipts outlined in 4.2.1a and assemble them for the last full production cycle	B. Verify that the farm has receipts that match treatments over a subsample of time and cross-check prescriptions and treatment records against the FHP.	1	Every treatment are prescripted by veterinarian and recorded
	Indicator: Use of therapeutic treatments, including antibiotics or	a. Maintain a list of therapeutants (including antibiotics) banned by the EU and update the list no less than annually.	A. Cross-check receipts for treatments/therapeutants and confirm that none are items banned under EU law. If ASC has agreed to maintain a list of relevant therapeutants, farms can demonstrate that they have this list.	1	No treatment or therapeutants banned under EU law
	other treatments, that are banned under European Union (EU) law	b. Ensure that staff responsible for purchasing and administering therapeutants (including antibiotics) are aware of banned therapeutants listed in 4.2.2a.	B. Verify through interviews with staff that they are aware that the use of therapeutants banned under EU law is not permitted.	1	There is a clear understanding of banned therapeutants, only approved therapeutants are used
4.2.2	Requirement: Not permitted	c. Maintain records of voluntary and/or mandatory chemical residue testing conducted or commissioned by the farm from the prior and current production cycles.	C. As applicable, review results from any voluntary or mandatory chemical residue testing to verify that no EU banned substances were detected.	1	Residue testing are perfomed by authorities
	Applicability: All	d. Prior to each surveillance audit, provide the auditor with a list of all production lots. The auditor may use the list to select random samples for chemical residue testing.	D. If there is credible reason to suspect that fish have been treated with chemicals banned in the EU, collect tissue samples from at least 3 fish for chemical analysis at an ISO 17025 certified laboratory.		This is an initial audit, there is no reason for suspect that fish has been treated with chemicals banned by EU

	Indicator: Prophylactic use of chemical antimicrobial treatments	Instructions to Clients for Indicator 4.2.3 - Use of Prebiotic and Probiotic Treatments			
	(excluding prebiotics and probiotics that have been approved by a regulatory process that included a risk assessment) [27]	a. Inform the CAB if the farm used any prebiotic or probiotic treatments for the last full production cycle and, if applicable, provide chemical names.	A. Determine if the farm's use of prebiotics or probiotics qualifies for an exclusion (see Instructions), verify that the chemical compounds are not banned in the EU, and provide a rationale in the audit report.	1	There has been no use of profylactic treatment
4.2.3	Requirement: Not permitted	b. Maintain records of all chemical antimicrobial treatments for the last full production cycle as per 4.2.1a and 4.2.1b.	B. Verify records of treatments and cross-check against purchases and inventories of chemical antimicrobial compounds.	1	The antimicrobial components used, are prescribed by veteranarian
	Applicability: All		C. Review records of antimicrobial treatments and cross-check against prescriptions to verify there is no evidence for prophylactice	1	The antimicrobial components used, are prescribed by
ootnote		the farm's veterinary health care professional before application.	treatments.		veteranarian
oothote	Indicator: Public disclosure of all antimicrobial treatments used				There is forms records of all autimiorabis treatment for
	on the farm	a. Maintain records of all antimicrobial treatments for the last full production cycle as per 4.2.1b.	A. Review farm records (4.2.1b) to identify all antimicrobial treatments used for the last full production cycle.	1	There is farm records of all antimicrobial treatment for last production cycle
4.2.4	Requirement: Yes	b. Make public disclosure of all antimicrobial treatments (4.2.4a) over the last full production cycle (e.g. by publishing information on the farm's website). Optional: farms may choose to disclose information about antimicrobial treatments by completing Appendix VI from the ASC Salmon Standard and then submitting the	B. Verify that the farm has disclosed information about antimicrobial treatments and that the information is readily accessible by the public.	1	Information about antimicrobial treatments are publish at http://www.danskakvakultur.dk/media/8336/ASC-medicin-nr-vium.pdf
	Applicability: All	form to ASC for publication on the ASC website.			inedicin-in-viam.pai
	Indicator : Proactive vaccination against diseases that present a risk in the region and for which an effective, legally authorized	a. Request that the veterinary health professional creates a record listing diseases that present a risk in the region and the relevant, available vaccine (or absence of a suitable vaccine).	A. Verify that the farm holds a list of the regional diseases that also gives the relevant, available vaccine or states the absence of a suitable vaccine.	1	Vaccination of fish with ERM vaccine
	and commercially viable vaccine exists, as determined by the	b. Maintain a record of all vaccinations administered.	B. Verify that the farm maintains a vaccination record.	1	No vaccination of fish at site
4.2.5	farm's designated veterinarian Requirement: Yes	c. Where the veterinary health professional has listed a disease that does not have a commercially viable vaccine, or a when an existing vaccination has not been administered (for whatever reason), request that the veterinary health professional supplies a written rationale for avoiding vaccination in the vaccination record.	C. If a vaccine exists for a regional disease but was not administered, ensure that the farm's health professional provided a rationale		There is one existing vaccine (ERM vaccine)
INCIPLE	5: USE RESOURCES IN AN ENVIRONMENTALLY EFFICIENT AND RESPO	DNSIBLE MANNER			
terion 5	1 Traceability and transparency of raw materials in feed				
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):		
truction	to Clients and CABs for Auditing Indicators 5.1.1 through 5.4.4 - Sou	urcing of Responsibly Produced Trout Feeds			
		a. From each feed producer obtain a list of all ingredients representing more than 1% by weight of the feed as specified in Indicator 5.1.2 (below).	A. Confirm that the farm obtains relevant ingredient lists for all feeds used (also see 5.1.2a).	1	There is a list of feed ingredients, for feed ingredients from feed producer Aller Aqua
5.1.1					The feed producer used, Aller Aqua, has a Declaration
5.1.1		b. For all feed ingredients identified in 5.1.1.a, provide copies of third-party documentation showing certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method.	B. Verify that farm has a copies of certificates from the feed manufacturer demonstrating chain of custody capable of tracing back to fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method	1	Conformity valid from 11.7.2013-11.7.2016, issued by D.I.C, documents the quality management system fulf
5.1.1	producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28]	certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and		1	Conformity valid from 11.7.2013-11.7.2016, issued by D.I.C, documents the quality management system fulfi relevant requirements for feed producers as described ASC trout standard principle 5. This declaration of conformity can be valid for 1 year as basis to fulfil
5.1.1	producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes	certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method. c. For three ingredients of marine origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and	fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method	1 1	Conformity valid from 11.7.2013-11.7.2016, issued by D.I.C, documents the quality management system fulfil relevant requirements for feed producers as described ASC trout standard principle 5. This declaration of conformity can be valid for 1 year as basis to fulfil requirements in this standard are ongoing changing
	producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method. c. For three ingredients of marine origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d. For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite third-party audit of the feed manufacturer to assure traceability as in 5.1.1.b.	fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method C. Review examples of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance. D. Verify that audit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at the feed manufacturer (if	1 1	Conformity valid from 11.7.2013-11.7.2016, issued by D.I.C, documents the quality management system fulfil relevant requirements for feed producers as described ASC trout standard principle 5. This declaration of conformity can be valid for 1 year as basis to fulfil requirements in this standard are ongoing changing See 5.1.1 b
	producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [28] Traceability should be at a level of detail that permits the fee Indicator: Presence of a list of all ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed	certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method. c. For three ingredients of marine origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d. For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite third-party audit of the feed manufacturer to assure traceability as in 5.1.1.b.	fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method C. Review examples of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance. D. Verify that audit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at the feed manufacturer (if applicable).	1 1 1	Conformity valid from 11.7.2013-11.7.2016, issued by D.I.C, documents the quality management system fulfil relevant requirements for feed producers as described ASC trout standard principle 5. This declaration of conformity can be valid for 1 year as basis to fulfil requirements in this standard are ongoing changing See 5.1.1 b
Footnote	producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [28] Traceability should be at a level of detail that permits the feed Indicator: Presence of a list of all ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed Requirement: Yes	c. For three ingredients of marine origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d. For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite third-party audit of the feed manufacturer to assure traceability as in 5.1.1.b. d producer to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in this document (i.e., marine raw ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed by weight. Market names must be accompanied by scientific latin names	C. Review examples of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance. D. Verify that audit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at the feed manufacturer (if applicable). must be traced back to the fishery, soy to the region grown, etc.). Feed manufacturers will need to supply the farm with third-party A. Confirm that the producer has lists of ingredients for all feeds using appropriate nomenclature and cross-check a subsample of	1 1 1 1 1 1	Conformity valid from 11.7.2013-11.7.2016, issued by D.I.C, documents the quality management system fulfil relevant requirements for feed producers as described ASC trout standard principle 5. This declaration of conformity can be valid for 1 year as basis to fulfil requirements in this standard are ongoing changing See 5.1.1 b See 5.1.1 b There is a list of feed ingredients, for feed ingredients from feed producer Aller Aqua, dated 21.3.2014 The list of feed ingredients do not include kril for the feel
5.1.2	producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [28] Traceability should be at a level of detail that permits the feed Indicator: Presence of a list of all ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	c. For three ingredients of marine origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d. For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite third-party audit of the feed manufacturer to assure traceability as in 5.1.1.b. d producer to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in this document (i.e., marine raw ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed by weight. Market names must be accompanied by scientific latin names	C. Review examples of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance. D. Verify that audit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at the feed manufacturer (if applicable). must be traced back to the fishery, soy to the region grown, etc.). Feed manufacturers will need to supply the farm with third-party A. Confirm that the producer has lists of ingredients for all feeds using appropriate nomenclature and cross-check a subsample of ingredient lists against feed bags during on-site inspection.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Conformity valid from 11.7.2013-11.7.2016, issued by D.I.C, documents the quality management system fulfil relevant requirements for feed producers as described ASC trout standard principle 5. This declaration of conformity can be valid for 1 year as basis to fulfil requirements in this standard are ongoing changing See 5.1.1 b There is a list of feed ingredients, for feed ingredients from feed producer Aller Aqua, dated 21.3.2014
Footnote 5.1.2	producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [28] Traceability should be at a level of detail that permits the feed Indicator: Presence of a list of all ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed Requirement: Yes	c. For three ingredients of marine origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d. For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite third-party audit of the feed manufacturer to assure traceability as in 5.1.1.b. d producer to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in this document (i.e., marine raw ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed by weight. Market names must be accompanied by scientific latin names	C. Review examples of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance. D. Verify that audit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at the feed manufacturer (if applicable). must be traced back to the fishery, soy to the region grown, etc.). Feed manufacturers will need to supply the farm with third-party A. Confirm that the producer has lists of ingredients for all feeds using appropriate nomenclature and cross-check a subsample of ingredient lists against feed bags during on-site inspection.	1 1 1 1 1 1	Conformity valid from 11.7.2013-11.7.2016, issued by D.I.C, documents the quality management system fulfill relevant requirements for feed producers as described in ASC trout standard principle 5. This declaration of conformity can be valid for 1 year as basis to fulfil requirements in this standard are ongoing changing See 5.1.1 b See 5.1.1 b There is a list of feed ingredients, for feed ingredients from feed producer Aller Aqua, dated 21.3.2014 The list of feed ingredients do not include kril for the feed

	Indicator: Percentage of fishmeal and fish oil used in feed that comes from fisheries [29] certified under a scheme that is ISEAL-	a. Prepare a policy stating the company's support of efforts to shift feed manufacturers purchases of fishmeal and fish oil to fisheries certified under a scheme that is an ISEAL member and has guidelines that specifically promote responsible environmental management of small pelagic fisheries. Include supporting text from the relevant portion of the certification scheme showing management unique to small pelagics.	A. Verify that the client's policy supports responsible feed sourcing (e.g. programs at http://www.isealalliance.org/portrait/full%20member).		1		There is not a policy for supporting responsible feed sourcing
5.2.1	accredited and has guidelines that specifically promote	b. Prepare a letter stating the farm's intent to preferentially source feed containing fishmeal and fish oil originating from fisheries certified under the type of certification scheme in 5.2.1a and inform all feed suppliers.	B. Verify that the client has prepared a letter of intent and has notified feed all its suppliers accordingly.			1	There is not a letter (mail) to feed producers for preferentially source feed containing fishmeal and fish oil originating from fisheries certified under the type of certification scheme in 5.2.1a
	Freshwater Trout Standard [7 February 2013) and 100% within	c. Use feed inventory and feed supplier declarations in 5.1.2a to develop a list of the origin of all fish products used as feed ingredients.	C. Confirm that the farm has sufficient evidence for the origin of all fish products in feed to demonstrate compliance with indicator 5.2.1.	1			See 5.1.1 b
	five years Applicability: All	d. Use the list from 5.2.1c to identify which fishmeal and fish oil feed ingredients come from fisheries certified under a scheme that is ISEAL-accredited and has guidelines that specifically promote responsible environmental management of small pelagic fisheries.	D. Confirm that the farm identifies which ingredients are certified as described in 5.2.1d.	1			See 5.1.1 b
		e. Starting 7 February 2016, provide evidence that the volume of certified ingredients (result from 5.2.1d) is ≥ 10% of the total volume of fishmeal and fish oil ingredients (result from 5.2.1c).	E. As of 7 February 2016, review evidence and confirm compliance. Prior to 7 February 2016, 5.2.1e does not apply.			1	Is applicable 3 year after publication of Standard
		f. Starting 7 February 2018, provide evidence that 100% of fishmeal and fish oil used in feed come from certified fisheries as per 5.2.1d.	F. As of 7 February 2018, review evidence and confirm compliance. Prior to 7 February 2018, 5.2.1E applies.			1	Is applicable 3 year after publication of Standard
Footnote	[29] This standard applies to fishmeal and oil from forage fisheries	s and not to by-products or trimmings used in feed.					
	Indicator : Prior to 100% achievement of 5.2.1, the Fishsource	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.2.2 - FishSource Score of Products Used in Feed					
5.2.2.	[30] score required for the fisheries from which marine raw material in feed is derived (excluding trimming and by-products)	a. Provide a FS score for each fish species identified as a feed ingredient (see 5.1.2a) for all feeds used by the farm during the last 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	A. Verify that the farm obtains FS scores for all fish species listed as feed ingredients.	1			See 5.1.1 b
	Requirement: All individual scores ≥ 6, and biomass score ≥ 8	-	B. For a subsample of fish species listed in 5.2.2a, use the FishSource online database to check the validity of the farm's FS scores for the time period within two months of the onsite audit.	1			See 5.1.1 b
Footnote	[30] Fishsource scores and their methodology are available here:	http://www.fishsource.org/site. While the score must be counted using Fishscore methodology, Fishsource itse	elf does not need to calculate the score.				
	Indicator: Prior to 100% achievement of 5.2.1, demonstration of	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.2.3 - Third-Party Verification of Traceability					
5.2.3	chain of custody and traceability for fisheries products in feed through an ISEAL-accredited or ISO 65-compliant certification		A. Review evidence and confirm that a third party verified chain of custody or traceability program was used for the fishmeal and fish oil.	1			See 5.1.1 b
3.2.3	scheme that incorporates the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization's "Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries"	b. Ensure that all species within the scope of the chain of custody or traceability program align with fish meal and fish oil ingredients used in the farm's feeds (consistent with 5.2.2.a and 5.3.1.a).	B. Verify that the scope of the chain of custody audit matches ingredient lists for feeds.	1			See 5.1.1 b
	Indicator: Evidence that by-product feed ingredients do not	Note: Instructions for searching the IUCN database are given under Indicator 2.1.3.					
5.2.4		a. Compile and maintain a list (as per 5.3.1a below) of the fishery of origin for all fishmeal and fish oil originating from by-products and trimmings.	A. Review list and for consistency with 5.3.1a.	1			See 5.1.1 b
3.2.4	List of Threatened Species [32] Requirement: Yes	b. For each by-product species (5.2.4a) that is an ingredient of any feed used during the last 12 months, search the IUCN database to determine if it is categorized as vulnerable, endangered, or criticatly endangered. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	B. Confirm that the farm has identified all byproducts and cross-check a subsample of species to verify their IUCN Red List categorization.	1			See 5.1.1 b
Footnote	[31] An exception is made for sub-populations of "vulnerable" spe	ecies that can demonstrate healthy populations through a fishery certified by the Marine Stewardship Council,	or approved by the technical committee of the IFFO Responsible Sourcing standard.				
Footnote	[32] The IUCN reference can be found at http://www.iucnredlist.c	org/					
Criterion 5.3	B Dependency on wild-caught marine ingredients in feed [33]						
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				
Footnote		<u> </u>					
Footnote	[33] The FFDR requirements are calculated for fish weighing 30 gr						
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.3.1 - Calculation of Fish Meal FFDR					
5.3.1	<pre>Indicator: Fishmeal Forage Fish Dependency Ratio (FFDRm) for grow-out (calculated using formulas in Appendix III, subsection 1) Requirement: ≤1.5</pre>	a. Maintain a detailed inventory of the feed used including: - Quantities used of each formulation (kg); - Percentage of fish oil in each formulation used; - Source (fishery) of fish oil/EPA/DHA in each formulation used; - Percentage of oil in each formulation derived from trimmings; and	A. Verify completeness of records and that values are stated in a declaration from the feed manufacturer.	1			Signed declaration from feed supplier verified
	Applicability: All	 Percentage of oil in each formulation derived from trimmings; and Supporting documentation and signed declaration from feed supplier. Calculate FFDRm using formulas in Appendix III. Exclude fish meal derived from rendering of seafood by- 	B. Verify that relevant calculations were done correctly, byproducts were excluded in calculations and confirm the value complies				The calculation of FFDRm is not based on actual fish meal
			with the standard. Include in public audit report.			1	% in feed from feed producer

	Option A	emonstrate compliance with the requirements of Indicator 5.3.2. Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.3.2 Option A - Calculation of Fish Oil FFDR					
		·		\longrightarrow			
	Indicator: Compliance with the following requirement:	a. Inform the CAB whether the farm choses Option A or Option B to show compliance. If Option A is selected, proceed directly to 5.3.2b below. Otherwise, skip to Option B in the next section.	A. Record which option the client chose and proceed to evaluate compliance with the applicable set of compliance criteria.	1			Option A is chosen
		b. Maintain a detailed inventory of the feed used as specified under 5.3.1a.	B. Verify completeness of records as done for 5.3.1A.	1			Signed declaration from feed supplier verified
5.3.2 ption A	Fish Oil Forage Fish Dependency Ratio (FFDRo) for grow-out (calculated using formulas in Appendix III, subsection 1) c						
	Requirement: ≤2.95 Applicability: All, but note that farms may choose to	c. Calculate FFDRo using formulas for eFCR value as given in Appendix III .	C. Verify that relevant calculations were done correctly, by-products were excluded in calculations and confirm the value complies with the requirement. Include in public audit report		1		The calculation of FFDRo is not based on actual fish (feed from feed producer
	demonstrate compliance with either Option A or Option B under Indicator 5.3.2.						
	Option B	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.3.2 Option B - Calculation of EPA and DHA in Feed					
5.3.2	Indicator: Compliance with the following requirement:	a. Inform the CAB whether the farm choses Option A or Option B to show compliance. If Option B is selected, proceed directly to 5.3.2b below. Otherwise, return to Option A in the previous section.	A. Record which option the client chose and proceed to evaluate compliance with the applicable set of compliance criteria.			1	
otion B	Maximum level of EPA/DHA content from marine sources as a	b. Maintain a detailed inventory of the feed used as specified under 5.3.1a.	B. Verify completeness of records as done for 5.3.1A.			1	
	percentage of fatty acids in the feed (excluding EPA/DHA from trimmings and by-products)	c. Calculate EPA/DHA percentage using formula in Section 2 of Appendix III.	C. Verify that relevant calculations were done correctly, by-products were excluded in calculations and confirm the value complies with the requirement. Include in public audit report			1	
erion 5.4	4 Responsible origin of non-marine raw materials in feed						
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				
	Indicator: Presence and evidence of a responsible sourcing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1, the CAB may also consider evidence such as certificates issued by independent third-parties against relevant requirements covering				
	policy for the feed manufacturer for feed ingredients that comply	a. Compile and maintain a list of all feed suppliers with contact information (see also 5.1.1a).	A. Review feed supplier list and cross-check against feed purchases (see also 5.1.1a).	1			See 5.1.1 b
5.4.1	with internationally recognized moratoriums and local laws [34]	b. Obtain from each feed manufacturer a copy of the manufacturer's responsible sourcing policy for feed ingredients showing how the company complies with recognized crop moratoriums and local laws [34].	B. Review policies from each feed supplier to confirm required sourcing policy is in place.	1			See 5.1.1 b
	Requirement: Yes	c. Obtain copies of third-party audits of feed suppliers (5.1.1) and confirm that these show evidence that	C. Verify that the scope of third-party audits of feed suppliers includes review of policies and evidence of implementation.	1			See 5.1.1 b
	Applicability: All	supplier's responsible sourcing policies are implemented.		\longrightarrow			
otnote	[34] Specifically, the policy shall include that vegetable ingredient	ts, or products derived from vegetable ingredients, must not come from the Amazon Biome as geographically	y defined by the Brazilian Soya Moratorium.				
	Indicator: Percentage of soy ingredients that are certified by the Roundtable on Responsible Soy, or equivalent [35]	a. Prepare a letter stating the farm's intent to source 100% of its feed containing soya certified under the Roundtable for Responsible Soy (RTRS) or equivalent by 7 February 2013.	A. Obtain a copy of the client's letter of intent.		1		There is not a letter stating the farm's intent to sour 100% of its feed containing soya certified under the Roundtable for Responsible Soy (RTRS) or equivalent
5.4.2	Requirement: 100% within five years of publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013	b. Notify feed suppliers of the farm's intent (5.4.2a) and keep record of confirmation (letter of recognition) from supplier that they have received the farm's letter of intent.	B. Verify letter of recognition from supplier.		1		There is not a letter of the farms intent or confirmat from feed supplier
	Applicability: All	c. Obtain and maintain declarations from all feed suppliers detailing the origin of soya in the feeds.	C. Confirm that the farm has sufficient evidence for the origin of soya products in feeds to demonstrate compliance with indicator 5.4.2 after 7 February 2013.	1			Origin of soy according to 5.1.1 b
	Applicability. All	d. Starting 7 February 2013, provide evidence that soya used in feed is certified by the RTRS or equivalent [77].	D. As of 7 February 2013 review evidence and confirm compliance. Prior to 7 February 2013, 5.4.2d does not apply.			1	Will be completed within 5 years after publication o standard
tnote	1.	<u>, · </u>					
	1	Instruction to Clients and Auditors for Indicator 5.4.3 - Disclosure of Feed Ingredients Containing Transge	nic Plant Material				
5.4.3	contain more than 0.9% transgenic [36] plant material	a. Obtain from feed suppliers a disclosure detailing all plant material used as feed ingredients (i.e. soya and others plants) and specify which of these ingredients contains >0.9% transgenic plant material by weight.	A. Review feed supplier declarations to confirm that all suppliers have made a disclosure identifying any ingredient containing 0.9% transgenic plant material.	1			See 5.1.1 b
-1	Requirement: Yes						
tnote	[36] Transgenic: Containing genes aftered by insertion of DNA fro	m an unrelated species; this involves taking genes from one species and inserting them into another species					
	Indicator : Disclosure by the farm to the direct purchasers of its	Note: for the purposes of Indicator 5.4.4, the direct purchaser or 'buyer' is considered to be the person or a. For feeds with ingredients containing > 0.9% transgenic plant material (i.e. those feeds specified in 5.4.3)					
	harvested fish of any feed ingredients that have contained more than 0.9% transgenic material	ensure that the farm can identify any harvested fish that were fed such products. If no such feeds were identified in 5.4.3a, then Indicator 5.4.4 is not applicable.	A. If applicable based on results of 5.4.3a, verify that the farm has a robust method for identifying harvested fish that were reared using said feeds.			1	No use of GM material in feed
5.4.4	Requirement: Yes	b.If applicable, prepare and maintain a current list of all buyers who purchase fish directly from the farm. The list must include contact details of buyers.	B. Review the farm's list of buyers and cross-check with sales records and invoices (as applicable).			1	No use of GM material in feed
	Applicability: All	c. If applicable to harvested fish (see 5.4.4a), disclose to buyers (5.4.4b) any feeds used with ingredients containing >0.9% transgenic plant material. Maintain documentary evidence of disclosures. For first audits, farm records of disclosures must cover > 6 months.	C. Verify evidence that the farm disclosures to all buyers information about transgenic feeds. Cross-check the plant material list from feed supplier (5.4.3.a) to see that all transgenic plant ingredients were disclosed.			1	No use of GM material in feed
rion E I	Energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions (on farm)						
1011 5			Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				

		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.5.1 - Energy Use Assessment			
	Indicator: Presence of records and evidence of all energy	a. Maintain records for all energy consumption on the farm by source (fuel, electricity) throughout the year.	A. Verify that the farm maintains records for energy consumption.	1	There is maintained records for energy consumption
554	consumption on the farm (including electric power and fuels) and	b. Use results from 5.5.1a and relevant conversion factors to calculate the farm's total energy consumption in kilojoules (kj) during the last 12 months.	B. Review the farm's calculations for total energy use and cross-check against farm records for energy consumption.	1	Farms calculation Energy GHG sheme, for total energy using calculation from electricity and diesel fuel, resulting in KJ per produced tons of fish
5.5.1	Requirement: Yes, measured in kilojoule/mt fish/year	c. Calculate the total weight of fish produced (in metric tons, mt) during the last 12 months.	C. Cross-check the farm's reported annual production against other farm data sets (e.g. harvest counts, escapes, and mortalities) to confirm accuracy (see 2.5.4 and 4.1.5).	1	Total weight of fish verified
		d. Use the results of 5.5.1b divided by the results of 5.5.1c to calculate energy consumption on the farm in kilojuoule/mt fish/year.	D. Review the farm's energy use calculations to confirm accuracy and completeness.	1	Calculation of energy kJ/ton/year verified
		e. Provide the CAB with evidence that the farm has had an energy use assessment (see Instructions above) within the last 12 months.	E. Verify that the farm has had an energy use assessment.	1	Farm has an energy use assessment continously
Criterion 5.6	Non-therapeutic chemical inputs	Within the last 12 months.			
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):		
5.64	Indicator : Percentage of combustibles contained in waterproof bunds	a. Maintain a written list of all combustibles on the farm.	A. Verify that the farm has a complete list of combustibles on the premises.	1	Storage of combustibles as dieseloil and lubricating oil for machines, list of chemicals in original containers i locked room
5.6.1	Requirement: 100% Applicability: All	b. Ensure that all combustibles are stored in waterproof bunds.	B. Verify the storage locations of combustibles with responsible staff and confirm that combustibles are stored in waterproof bunds during the on-site inspection.	1	Storage of combustibles as dieseloil and lubricating oil for machines, list of chemicals in original containers i locked room
	Indicator: Percentage of chemicals stored in impermeable containers or buildings	a. Maintain a detailed list of all chemicals or therapeutants on the farm.	A. Verify that the farm has a complete list of chemicals and therapeutants on the premises.	1	List of chemicals for chemicals used in Fish Health Plan
5.6.2		b. Ensure that all chemcials or therapeutants are stored in impermeable containers or buildings.	B. Verify the storage locations of chemicals with responsible staff and confirm during the on-site inspection that all chemicals or therapeutants are stored in impermeable containers or buildings.	1	Storage location for chemicals is appropriate
5.6.3	<u> </u>	a. Prepare a written policy explaining how used lubricants are recycled or turned over to a waste management company. If no waste management company exists, obtain a signed letter from the government agency in charge of waste disposal at the provincial/state level as confirmation.	A. Verify policy with responsible staff and observe waste containers in use during the on-site inspection. Or, examine letter of confirmation if relevant.	1	There is a "Waste and recycling" policy, dated 21.2.2014
	Requirement: 100%	b. Where waste is collected by a waste management company, maintain receipts of payment for services.	B. Verify that the farm has records of payment to waste management company.	1	Payment to municipality for waste/renovation
5.6.4	over to a waste management company	a. Prepare a written policy explaining how the chemical containers are reused or turned over to a waste management company. If no waste management company exists, obtain a signed letter from the government agency in charge of waste disposal at the local level as confirmation that neither public nor private waste disposal services are available.	A. Verify policy with responsible staff and observe waste containers in use during the on-site inspection. Or, examine letter of confirmation if relevant.	1	Waste policy is implemented
	Requirement: 100%	b. Where containers are re-used, maintain records of chemical purchases and demonstrate tallied alignment against the number of containers in re-use/re-cycled.	B. Verify container tally based on record of chemical purchases versus containers in use/re-cycled.	1	Chemical containers are reused
	Applicability: All	-	C. Verify that the farm has records of disposal or payment to waste disposal company.	1	Waste payment verified
		a. Prepare a written farm policy explaining how and which non-hazardous, non-recyclable wastes are turned over to a waste management company or buried on-site. If no waste management company exists, obtain a signed letter from the government agency in charge of waste disposal at the local level as confirmation that neither public nor private waste disposal services are available.	A. Verify farm policy with responsible staff and examine handling of non-hazardous, non-recyclable wastes during the on-site	1	There is a "Waste and recycling" policy, dated 21.2.2014
5.6.5	turned over to a waste management company or landfill [37] Requirement: 100%	b. For on-site burial of waste, show that an outside expert (hired groundwater or geology consultant with minimum of five years experience and university degree, or academic groundwater geologist) has signed a letter affirming that waste burial poses no risk of contamination to surface and underground waters. Maintain CV of outside expert on file for possible inspection.	B. Verify that farm has letter affirming lack of impacts to freshwater due to buried waste protocols by an expert with the stated credentials.		1 No onsite buried waste
	Applicability: All	c. Include a statement in the farm waste disposal policy (5.6.5a) which prohibits the burning of non-hazardous, non-recyclable wastes.	C. Verify that burning is covered in the farm policy. During the audit, inspect the farm to verify there is no evidence of burning waste materials (not allowed).	1	There is a "Waste and recycling" policy, dated 21.2.2014
		d. Where waste is collected by a waste management company, maintain receipts of payment for services.	D. Verify that the farm has records of payment to waste disposal company.	1	Waste payment verified
		e. Where waste collection is a public service, show schedule of collections.	E. Verify waste collection schedule.	1	Waste collection every 14 day
Footnote	[37] In case of absence of a managed landfill in the area, farms are	e allowed to bury non-hazardous solid wastes on site, provided all precautions have been taken to prevent the	contamination of surrounding surface and underground waters. Wastes that are not biodegradable must not be burned on site		
	facilities that are accessible to the farm and demonstration of a	a. Provide a list of the three closest recycling facilities for relevant farm products (regardless of how far away these may be). Provide the auditor with contact information for the local waste management agency.	A. Contact the local waste management agency to determine accessibility of the three closest recycling facilities that were identified by the farm.	1	Waste recycling at local authority facilities are known, at Videbæk recycling station
5.6.6		b. Prepare a written statement articulating the farm's commitment to recycle waste from production.	B. Review the farm's statement of commitment to use those recycling facilities that are accessible to the farm.	1	See 5.6.6 A
	Requirement: Yes	recycled.	C. During the on-site visit, interview relevant staff and make direct observations to confirm that farm recycling procedures are implemented.	1	Staff are fully aware of recycling procedures
	Applicability: All	d. Inform CAB of any infractions or fines for improper waste disposal received during the previous 12 months and corrective actions taken.	D. Review infractions and corrective actions, if any.	1	

	BE SOCIALLY RESPONSIBLE			
rion 6.1 (Child labor	Compliance Criteria		
	Indicator: Number of incidences of child [38] labor [39]	Note: In most countries, the law states that minimum age for employment is 15 years. There are two possible exceptions:		
	indicator: Number of incidences of child [56] labor [59]			Employees are free to choose whether to join a union a
6.1.1	Requirement: None	a. Minimum age of permanent workers is 15 or older (except in countries as noted above).	1	if they want to join which one they choose
				Interviewed members of various trade unions No representative chosen. Only 3 employees and
	Applicability: All	b. Employer maintains age records for employees that are sufficient to demonstrate compliance.	1	fishmanager on the site.
otnote	[38] Child: Any person under 15 years of age. A higher age would	apply if the minimum age law of an area stipulates a higher age for work or mandatory schooling.	1	No representative chosen
				All employee can go to employer at any time The Contract appendix describe the right to free union
	[20] Child labour Americant burg shild company than the age and sife	ad in the definition of a shild		agreement . Every employee and manager have signed
otnote	[39] Child labor: Any work by a child younger than the age specific	ad in the definition of a child.	1	appendix. Seen signed appendix and through interview
				heard that is known
ootnote	[40] Young worker: Any worker between the maximum age of a cl	hild, as defined above, and under the age of 18.	1	Interview gives no indication on problems with unions. Employees are members in different unions and it give
701.1010	[] cangaranan, sanaranan aga ara			troubles.
	[41] Hazard: The inherent potential to cause injury or damage to	a person's health (e.g., being unequipped to handle heavy machinery safely and unprotected exposure to harmful chemicals). Hazardous work: Work that, by its nature or circumstances in which it is carried out, is likely to harm the health, safety		Interview gives no indication on problems with unions
otnote	or morals of workers.		1	Employees are members in different unions and it give
				troubles The Contract appendix describe the right to free union
erion 6.2	Forced, bonded or compulsory labor		1	agreement . Every employee and manager have signed
11011 0.2 1	orcea, bonaca or comparsory labor			appendix. Seen signed appendix and through interview
				heard that is known
		Compliance Criteria	1	Interview gives no indication on problems with unions
				Employees are members in unions and it gives no trou
		a. Contracts are clearly stated and understood by employees. Contracts do not lead to workers being indebted (i.e. no 'pay to work' schemes through labor contractors or training credit programs).		
		b. Employees are free to leave workplace and manage their own time.		The Contract appendix describe policy - that
				discrimination, bullying, violence, displinære methods
				not is and not will be accepted.
		c. Employer does not withhold employee's original identity documents.	1	It is very important that all treat each other with respe
	Indicator: Number of incidences of forced [42], bonded [43] or			. Every employee and manager have signed the appen
	compulsory labor			Seen signed appendix and through interview heard the known
6.2.1	Requirement: None	d. Employer does not withhold any part of workers' salaries, benefits, property or documents in order to oblige them to continue working for employer.		1 NA
	Nequilement. None	e. Employees are not to be obligated to stay in job to repay debt.	1	Interview gives no indication of abusive or disciplinary
	Applicability: All			The Contract appendix describe policy, that
				The Contract appendix describe policy - that discrimination, bullying, violence, displinære methods
				not is and not will be accepted.
		f. Maintain payroll records and be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the above.	1	It is very important that all treat each other with respe-
				. Every employee and manager have signed the append
				Seen signed appendix and through interview heard tha known
		ted from any person under the menace of any penalty for which a person has not offered himself/herself voluntarily or for which such work or service is demanded as a repayment of debt. "Penalty" can imply monetary sanctions, physical	1	Interview gives no indication of abusive or disciplinary
	punishment or the loss of rights and privileges or restriction of mo		-	actions
	[43] Bonded labor: When a person is forced by the employer or continuous [44] in the work environment	eutor to work to repay a infancial debt to the crediting agency.		
		Compliance Criteria		
	1[44] Discuinging the state of	has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment. Not all distinction, exclusion or preference constitutes discrimination. For instance, a merit- or performance-based pay increase or bonus is not, by itself,		
otnote	[44] Discrimination: Any distinction, exclusion or preference that			
otnote	[44] Discrimination: Any distinction, exclusion or preference that			Small work places. No tradition for making any time
otnote	[44] Discrimination: Any distinction, exclusion or preference that			Small work places. No tradition for making any time registration. There is written duty plan and very flexib
otnote	[44] Discrimination: Any distinction, exclusion or preference that			registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty
otnote	[44] Discrimination: Any distinction, exclusion or preference that			registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contra
ootnote	[44] Discrimination: Any distinction, exclusion or preference that	a Employer has written anti-discrimination policy in place stating [AE] the company does not engage in or support discrimination assess to training promotion to mindton or retirement has also prove section of the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring.		registration. There is written duty plan and very flexib working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract
ootnote	[44] Discrimination: Any distinction, exclusion or preference that I	a. Employer has written anti-discrimination policy in place, stating [45] the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual origination, union membership, political affiliation, age or any other condition that may give rise to discrimination.	1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contrathat you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or
	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45]	a. Employer has written anti-discrimination policy in place, stating [45] the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political affiliation, age or any other condition that may give rise to discrimination.	1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexib working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working
			1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is
	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45]		1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexib working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but
	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes		1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a g
	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes		1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy
	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes		1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a good
	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes	origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political affiliation, age or any other condition that may give rise to discrimination. b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints.	1 1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a go balance around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in
	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises.	1 1 1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a good balance around 37 hours
6.3.1	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political affiliation, age or any other condition that may give rise to discrimination. b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints.	1 1 1 1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week i guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a given balance around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in
otnote	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises. d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training. Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective. ng the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union	1 1 1 1 1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week it guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a general balance around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in weekends or other work – busy periods. Yes Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepants.
otnote 6.3.2	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [45] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies statis Indicator: Number of incidences of discrimination	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises. d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training. Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective. ng the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union a. Employer maintains a record of all discrimination complaints. These records do not show evidence for discrimination.	1 1 1 1 1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contrast that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week i guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a given balance around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in weekends or other work – busy periods. Yes
6.3.1 ootnote 6.3.2	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [45] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies stating	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises. d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training. Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective. ng the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union	1 1 1 1 1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contrast that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a general balance around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in weekends or other work — busy periods. Yes Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepants.
6.3.1 cotnote 6.3.2 erion 6.4	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [45] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies stating indicator: Number of incidences of discrimination policies stating indicator: None Work environment health and safety	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises. d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training. Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective. ng the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union a. Employer maintains a record of all discrimination complaints. These records do not show evidence for discrimination. b. Be advised that worker testimonies will be used to confirm that the company does not interfere with the rights of personnel to observe tenets or practices, or to meet needs related to race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, Compliance Criteria		registration. There is written duty plan and very flexib working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a good balance around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in weekends or other work – busy periods. Yes Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepa
6.3.1 cotnote 6.3.2 erion 6.4	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [45] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies stating indicator: Number of incidences of discrimination policies stating indicator: None Work environment health and safety Indicator: Percentage of workers trained in health and safety	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises. d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training, Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective. ng the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union a. Employer maintains a record of all discrimination complaints. These records do not show evidence for discrimination. b. Be advised that worker testimonies will be used to confirm that the company does not interfere with the rights of personnel to observe tenets or practices, or to meet needs related to race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, Compliance Criteria a. Employer has documented practices, procedures (including emergency response procedures) and policies to protect employees from workplace hazards and to minimize risk of accident or injury. The information shall be available to	1 1 1 1 1	registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a gradience around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in weekends or other work – busy periods. Yes Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepants.
6.3.1 cotnote 6.3.2 erion 6.4	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [45] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies stating indicator: Number of incidences of discrimination policies stating indicator: None Work environment health and safety	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises. d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training. Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective. ng the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union a. Employer maintains a record of all discrimination complaints. These records do not show evidence for discrimination. b. Be advised that worker testimonies will be used to confirm that the company does not interfere with the rights of personnel to observe tenets or practices, or to meet needs related to race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, Compliance Criteria a. Employer has documented practices, procedures (including emergency response procedures) and policies to protect employees from workplace hazards and to minimize risk of accident or injury. The information shall be available to b. Practices, policies and procedures are regularly revised to address workplace hazards that were identified in risk assessments (see Indicator 6.4.5., risk assessents revised at least annually).		registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a gradience around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in weekends or other work – busy periods. Yes Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepants.
6.3.1 6.3.2 erion 6.4 (Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [45] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies stating indicator: Number of incidences of discrimination policies stating indicator: None Work environment health and safety Indicator: Percentage of workers trained in health and safety	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises. d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training, Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective. ng the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union a. Employer maintains a record of all discrimination complaints. These records do not show evidence for discrimination. b. Be advised that worker testimonies will be used to confirm that the company does not interfere with the rights of personnel to observe tenets or practices, or to meet needs related to race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, Compliance Criteria a. Employer has documented practices, procedures (including emergency response procedures) and policies to protect employees from workplace hazards and to minimize risk of accident or injury. The information shall be available to		registration. There is written duty plan and very flexib working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a go balance around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in weekends or other work – busy periods. Yes Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepangles.
6.3.1 6.3.2 erion 6.4 (Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [45] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies station in the stati	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises. d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training. Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective. ng the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union a. Employer maintains a record of all discrimination complaints. These records do not show evidence for discrimination. b. Be advised that worker testimonies will be used to confirm that the company does not interfere with the rights of personnel to observe tenets or practices, or to meet needs related to race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, Compliance Criteria a. Employer has documented practices, procedures (including emergency response procedures) and policies to protect employees from workplace hazards and to minimize risk of accident or injury. The information shall be available to b. Practices, policies and procedures are regularly revised to address workplace hazards that were identified in risk assessments (see Indicator 6.4.5, risk assessments revised at least annually). C. Employees know and understand emergency response procedures.		registration. There is written duty plan and very flexibl working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a go balance around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in weekends or other work – busy periods. Yes Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepar on working hours and overtime. The farm was rebuilt to model farm in 2007
6.3.1 6.3.2 erion 6.4 (Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [45] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies station in the stati	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises. d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training. Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective. ng the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union a. Employer maintains a record of all discrimination complaints. These records do not show evidence for discrimination. b. Be advised that worker testimonies will be used to confirm that the company does not interfere with the rights of personnel to observe tenets or practices, or to meet needs related to race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, Compliance Criteria a. Employer has documented practices, procedures (including emergency response procedures) and policies to protect employees from workplace hazards and to minimize risk of accident or injury. The information shall be available to b. Practices, policies and procedures are regularly revised to address workplace hazards that were identified in risk assessments (see Indicator 6.4.5, risk assessments revised at least annually). C. Employees know and understand emergency response procedures.		registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a go balance around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in weekends or other work — busy periods. Yes Yes Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepar on working hours and overtime. The farm was rebuilt to model farm in 2007 Look this report princible 1.1.1 A,B,C,D. Company num
6.3.1 ootnote 6.3.2 rerion 6.4	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [45] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies statis Indicator: Number of incidences of discrimination Requirement: None Work environment health and safety Indicator: Percentage of workers trained in health and safety practices, procedures and policies Requirement: 100% Indicator: Evidence that health- and safety-related accidents are	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises. d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training. Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective. no the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union a. Employer maintains a record of all discrimination complaints. These records do not show evidence for discrimination. b. Be advised that worker testimonies will be used to confirm that the company does not interfere with the rights of personnel to observe tenets or practices, or to meet needs related to race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, Compliance Criteria a. Employer has documented practices, procedures (including emergency response procedures) and policies to protect employees from workplace hazards and to minimize risk of accident or injury. The information shall be available to b. Practices, policies and procedures are regularly revised to address workplace hazards that were identified in risk assessments (see Indicator 6.4.5, risk assessents revised at least annually). c. Employees know and understand emergency response procedures. d. Employer conducts health and safety training for all employees on a regular basis (once a year and immediately for all new employees), including training on potential hazards and risk minimization, Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) and		registration. There is written duty plan and very flexibl working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy other periods there is less work to do, but there is a go balance around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in weekends or other work – busy periods. Yes Yes Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepar on working hours and overtime. The farm was rebuilt to model farm in 2007 Look this report princible 1.1.1 A,B,C,D. Company num etc. At CSR
6.3.1 6.3.2 terion 6.4	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [45] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies station in the state of the state	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints. c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises. d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training. Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective. no the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union a. Employer maintains a record of all discrimination complaints. These records do not show evidence for discrimination. b. Be advised that worker testimonies will be used to confirm that the company does not interfere with the rights of personnel to observe tenets or practices, or to meet needs related to race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, Compliance Criteria a. Employer has documented practices, procedures (including emergency response procedures) and policies to protect employees from workplace hazards and to minimize risk of accident or injury. The information shall be available to b. Practices, policies and procedures are regularly revised to address workplace hazards that were identified in risk assessments (see Indicator 6.4.5, risk assessents revised at least annually). c. Employees know and understand emergency response procedures. d. Employer conducts health and safety training for all employees on a regular basis (once a year and immediately for all new employees), including training on potential hazards and risk minimization, Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) and		registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty a week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy i other periods there is less work to do, but there is a go balance around 37 hours Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in weekends or other work – busy periods. Yes Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepan on working hours and overtime.

Applicability: All	b. Employer maintains complete documentation for all occupational health and safety violations.	1	Look this report princible 1.1.1 A,B,C,D. Company number etc. Good dialogue with all neighbors and stakeholders
c. Employer implements corrective action plans in response to any accidents that occur. Plans are documented and they include an analysis of root cause, actions to address root cause, actions to remediate, and actions to prevent future			
	d. Employees working in departments where accidents have occurred can explain what analysis has been done and what steps were taken or improvements made.	1	Look this report all in princible 1

Indicator: Proof of company accident insurance covering employee costs stemming from a job-related accident or injury when not covered under national law Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	a. Employer maintains documentation to confirm that all personnel are provided sufficient insurance to cover costs related to occupational accidents or injuries (if not covered under national law). Equal insurance coverage must include temporary, migrant or foreign workers. Written contract of employer responsibility to cover accident costs is acceptable evidence in place of insurance.	1	Have agreement with a Health and safety consultant and ongoing visit from different authorities
Indicator: Workers use and have access to appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) Requirement: Yes	b. Employer provides workers with PPE that is appropriate to known health and safety hazards. c. Employees receive annual training in the proper use of PPE (see 6.4.1d).	1 1 1 1 1	It required environmental permit to operate. This requires consultation with neighbours and other stakeholders. Look this report all in princible 1 NA NC.The company's policy of cooperation and dealing with requests from neighbors and surroundings / authorities
			are not documented - written down.
facilities and processes	a. Employer makes regular assessments of hazards and risks in the workplace. Risk assessments are reviewed and updated at least annually (see also Indicator 6.4.1).	1	Yes. They follow the agreed / the verbal agreement Policy
Requirement: Yes	b. Employees are trained in how to identify and prevent known hazards and risks (see also 6.4.1d).	1	Yes. They follow the agreed / the verbal agreement Policy
<u> </u> Vaaes	c. Health and safety procedures are adapted based on results from risk assessments (above) and changes are implemented to help prevent accidents.		NA
- Tageo	Compliance Criteria		
	a. Employer keeps documents to show the legal minimum wage in the country of operation. If there is no legal minimum wage in the country, the employer keeps documents to show the industry-standard minimum wage. b. Employer's records (e.g. payroll) confirm that worker's wages for a standard work week (≤ 48 hours) always meet or exceed the legal minimum wage. If there is no legal minimum wage, the employer's records must show how the current wage meets or exceeds industry standard. If wages are based on piece-rate or pay-per-production, the employer's records must show how workers can reasonably attain (within regular working hours) wages that meet or exceed the legal minimum wage.	1	Yes. The industry has guidance for wage levels. It is very easy in Denmark for everyone to find pay scales through trade unions and employers' organizations No law about min. wage in Denmark Everyone can see wage agreements for all unions on trade union websites. If no work, pension or unemployment you get money for living from authorities
Indicator: The percentage of employees who are paid a basic needs wage [46]. Requirement: 100% Applicability: All	c. Employer maintains documentary evidence to show compliance (e.g. payroll, timesheets, punch cards, production records, and/or utility records). Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the above.	1	Payslip and production records seen and told. Small work places. No tradition for making any time registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty a week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is a guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy in other periods there is less work to do, but there is a good balance around 37 hours
	d. Proof of employer engagement with workers and their representative organizations, and the use of cost of living assessments from credible sources to assess basic needs wages. Includes review of any national basic needs wage recommendations from credible sources such as national universities or government.	1	No representative chosen. Only 3 employees and fishmanager on the site. Employees are free to choose or be member of a union Anyone can ask for a meeting about pay and working hours and openly discuss issues about these areas. One employee is in negotiations for his salary level.
	e. Employer has calculated the basic needs wage for farm workers and has compared it to the basic (i.e. current) wage for their farm workers.	1	Not made a calculation but follow salary level in Denmark
	f. Employer demonstrates how they ensure paying a basic needs wage to their workers.	1	Payslips show that the level for payment is enough for
[46] Basic needs wage: Fnables workers to support the average-size		_	basic needs
Indicator: Evidence of transparency in wage setting Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	a. Wages and benefits are clearly articulated to workers and documented in contracts.	1	NC The employees are employed at different times and have very different employment contracts. In the employment contract is the starting salary described and agreed. It is seen from payslips that the pay since is risen. This has been agreed at an annual meeting between employer and employee. There can not be shown any documentation/ proof on the provided changes, for example, the changes to the salary and other terms (eg bonus or new function / title) which agreed later in the collaboration
	b. The method for setting wages is clearly stated and understood by workers.	1	yes
	c. Employer renders wages and benefits in a way that is convenient for the worker (e.g. cash, check, or electronic payment methods). Workers do not have to travel to collect benefits nor do they receive promissory notes, coupons or d. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the above.	1 1	Yes - directly banktranfer Interview and Seen payslip and contracts. One employee is
[47] A legal minimum wage will be considered a basic needs wage		-	in negotiations for his salary level.
	ng [48]		
[48] Bargain collectively: A voluntary negotiation between ample	Compliance Criteria vers and organizations of workers to establish the terms and conditions of employment by means of collective (written) agreements		
Lagran conectively. A voluntary negotiation between employ		1	Employees are free to choose whether to join a union and
		1	if they want to join which one they choose Interviewed members of various trade unions No representative chosen.Only 3 employees and fishmanager on the site.
	c. Trade union representatives have access to their members in the workplace at reasonable times on the premises.	1	No representative chosen All employee can go to employer at any time
			The Contract appendix describe the right to free union
Indicator: Incidences of employees denied freedom to associate, the ability to bargain collectively or denied access to representatives, or representative organizations, chosen by	d. Employment contract explicitly states the worker's right of freedom of association.	1	agreement . Every employee and manager have signed the appendix. Seen signed appendix and through interview heard that is known
	employee costs stemming from a job-related accident or injury when not covered under national law Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Workers use and have access to appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of a health and safety assessment of site facilities and processes Requirement: Yes Voges Indicator: The percentage of employees who are paid a basic needs wage [46]. Requirement: 100% Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of transparency in wage setting Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of transparency in wage setting Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of transparency in wage setting Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	segment in the content properties and properties an	Page Page

	Applicability: All	f. Local trade union, or where none exists a reputable civil-society organization, confirms no outstanding cases against the farm site management for violations of employees' freedom of association and collective bargaining rights.	1	Interview gives no indication on problems with unions. Employees are members in different unions and it gives no troubles
		g. There is documentary evidence that workers are free and able to bargain collectively (e.g. collective bargaining agreements, meeting minutes, or complaint resolutions).	1	The Contract appendix describe the right to free union agreement. Every employee and manager have signed the appendix. Seen signed appendix and through interview heard that is known
		h. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the above.	1	Interview gives no indication on problems with unions. Employees are members in unions and it gives no troubles
Criterion 6.7	Disciplinary practices			
		Compliance Criteria		
6.7.1	Indicator: Incidences of abusive disciplinary actions Requirement: None	a. Employer does not use threatening, humiliating or punishing disciplinary practices that negatively impact a worker's physical and mental health or dignity.	1	The Contract appendix describe policy - that discrimination, bullying, violence, displinære methods etc not is and not will be accepted. It is very important that all treat each other with respectre . Every employee and manager have signed the appendix. Seen signed appendix and through interview heard that is known
	Applicability: All	b. Allegations of corporeal punishment, mental abuse [50], physical coercion, or verbal abuse will be investigated by auditors.		1 NA
		c. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm there is no evidence for excessive or abusive disciplinary actions.	1	Interview gives no indication of abusive or disciplinary actions

						_	
	Indicator: Evidence of nonabusive disciplinary policies and procedures whose aim is to improve the workers' performance					The Contract appendix describe policy - that discrimination, bullying, violence, displinære methods etc not is and not will be accepted.	
6.7.2	[49] Requirement: Yes	a. Employer has written policy for disciplinary action which explicitly states that its aim is to improve the worker [49]. b. Maintain documentary evidence (e.g. worker evaluation reports) and be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm that the disciplinary action policy is fair and effective.				It is very important that all treat each other with respectre . Every employee and manager have signed the appendix. Seen signed appendix and through interview heard that is	
	Applicability: All					known Interview gives no indication of abusive or disciplinary	
Footnote	[49] If disciplinary action is required, progressive verbal and writte	en warnings shall be engaged. The aim should always be to improve the worker before letting him/her go. (I				actions	
Footnote	[50] Mental abuse: Characterized by the intentional use of power,	including verbal abuse, isolation, sexual or racial harassment, intimidation or threat of physical force.					
Criterion 6.8 (Overtime and working hours		Compliance Criteria				
			·				
6.8.1	Indicator: Violations or abuse of working hours [51] and overtime [52] laws and agreements Requirement: None Applicability: All	a. Employer has documentation showing the legal requirements for working hours and overtime in the region where the farm operates. If local legislation allows workers to exceed internationally accepted recommendations (48 regular hours, 12 hours overtime) then requirements of the international standards apply.		1		Small work places. No tradition for making any time registration. There is written duty plan and very flexible working hours, with1 day off if you have been on duty a week or at weekend. It is also described in the contract that you have duty in weekends and that there may be overtime. Only few times are overtime paid in cash. Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies. Contract appendix describes a working week of 37 hours. It strives to only every three week is a guard week. There is no timeregistration system but interview indicate a good balance som periods is busy in other periods there is less work to do, but there is a good balance around 37 hours	
		b. Records (e.g. time sheets and payroll) show that farm workers do not exceed the number of working how	urs allowed under the law.	1		Yes No overtime is paid, but flex time. Days off if duty in	
		c. Payment records (e.g. payslips) show that workers are paid a premium rate [53] for overtime hours.		1		weekends or other work – busy periods.	
		d. Overtime is limited and occurs in exceptional circumstances as evidenced by farm records (e.g. production records, time sheets, and other records of working hours). e. If an employer requires employees to work shifts at the farm (e.g. 10 days on and six days off), the employer compensates workers with an equivalent time off in the calendar month and there is evidence that employees have agreed to this		1		Yes	
		f. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm there is no abuse of working hours and overtime		1		Interview gives no indication of complains or discrepancies	
Footpoto	[51] Working hours (a.k.a. normal work week) can be defined by l		pased on seasonality may apply but personnel shall be provided with at least one day off in every seven-day period.	1		on working hours and overtime.	
Footnote	,		ted. In such exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an				
	[53] Premium rate: A rate of pay higher than the regular work wed	ek rate. Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards.					
Criterion 0.9 i	interactions with communities		Compliance Criteria				
		Note: A 'new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the pula. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes,	blication date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said	1		The farm was rebuilt to model farm in 2007	
6.9.1	Requirement: Yes		ce of the farm's engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory	1		Look this report princible 1.1.1 A,B,C,D. Company number etc. At CSR award 17.0.2013 were 100 guests and journalists who participated in the award on visit to this farm with a focus on sustainability. Seen record of the visit	
	Applicability: All new farms (see note)	c. Evidence provided in 6.9.1b should include minutes from community meetings and a log of communications with stakeholders. Consultations should address economic impacts, natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a particular focus on impacts to indigenous people, where applicable.				Look this report princible 1.1.1 A,B,C,D. Company number etc. Good dialogue with all neighbors and stakeholders	
Footnote		a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (bi-annually).	impacts, natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues,	1		Look this report all in princible 1	
	Indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities	b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment (pSIA) or an equivalent method for consultations. Mandatory for all farm sites with greater than ten (10) staff/employees.				Have agreement with a Health and safety consultant and ongoing visit from different authorities	
6.9.2	Requirement: Yes	c. Consultations include participation by elected representatives from the local community who were asked to contribute to the agenda.				It required environmental permit to operate. This requires consultation with neighbours and other stakeholders.	
	Applicability: All	d. Maintain records and documentary evidence (e.g. meeting agenda, minutes, report) to demonstrate that consultations comply with the above. e. Be advised that representatives from the local community and organizations may be interviewed to confirm the above.				Look this report all in princible 1 NA	
	Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns	a. Farm policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grievances (i.e. complaints) lodged by stakeholders, community members, and organizations. b. The farm follows its policy for handling stakeholder grievances as evidenced by farm documentation (e.g. follow-up communications with stakeholders, reports to stakeholder describing corrective actions).			1	NC.The company's policy of cooperation and dealing with requests from neighbors and surroundings / authorities are not documented - written down.	
6.9.3	Requirement: Yes					Yes. They follow the agreed / the verbal agreement Policy	
	Applicability: All	c. The farm's mechanism for handling grievances is effective based on resolution of stakeholder complaints and community concerns (e.g. follow-up correspondence from stakeholders).				Yes. They follow the agreed / the verbal agreement Policy	
	d. Be advised that representatives from the local community, including complainants where applicable, may be interviewed to confirm the above. ******* **************************				1	NA	
SECTION 7: R	EQUIREMENTS FOR FINGERLING AND EGG SUPPLIERS						
			Compliance Criteria				
7.1	Indicator: Presence of documents issued by pertinent authorities proving compliance with local and national authorities on land and water use, effluent regulations and use of treatments Requirement: Yes	a. Obtain copies of supplier's business permit and land title deed.	A. Verify that farm obtains copies of business permits and land title deed from each supplier (if applicable).	1		Christiansminde Freshwater farm is an on growing farm for trout until the trout is ready for slaughtering at about 300 grams. The main part of fingerlings for the on growing farm comes from Munkbro. There are today also deliveries from Rakkeby and Idom Freshwater farms of fingerlings larger than 20 g. Deliveries of egs from Aquasearch farms (Mølbjerg and Fousing). These farms are today not ASC certified, the plan for the coming year is to search fingerlings only from ASC certified farms.	
	Applicability: All	b. Obtain records from suppliers showing discharge permit requirements as required.	B. Verify that farm obtains records from suppliers to show compliance with discharge permit requirements.	1		Discharge permit requirements are obtained from Rakkeby, Munkbro and Idom Freshwater farms and Mølbjerg and Fousing	
		c. Obtain records from suppliers showing treatments used on fingerlings and eggs.	C. Verify that the farm obtains treatment records from its suppliers.	1		Treatment records are obtained from supplier farms	
		d. Maintain on-site copies of laws governing water use, land use, effluent regulations and chemical	D. Verify that farm obtains records from suppliers to show compliance with water extraction permit requirements, if applicable.			Supplier farms obtain records of laws	

7.2	Indicator: New introductions of exotic species from the date of	n written evidence showing whether or not the fingerling and egg suppliers use closed production [55]. If yes, then Indicator 7.2 does not apply. A. Verify that the farm has evidence that their suppliers use only closed production systems [55]. Otherwise, proceed to 7.2B.		1		The supplier farms use closed production system, with micro filters, bio filters, sludge basin and plant lagoon to prevent escape from farm
	publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, unless the hatchery/fingerling facility is a closed production system [55]	b. Obtain written evidence showing whether or not the fingerling and egg suppliers produce a non-native species. If not, then Indicator 7.2 does not apply.	B. Verify that the farm has evidence that their suppliers do not produce a non-native species. Otherwise, proceed to 7.2C.	1		The trout produced is Oncorhynchus mykiss
7.2	Requirement: None Applicability: All	c. If the supplier produces an exotic species, obtain written evidence that the species was widely commercially produced in the area before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard.	C. Verify that the farm has evidence showing that the exotic species in 7.2c was widely commercially produced in the area before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard.	1		The trout produced is Oncorhynchus mykiss, the species has been introduced to Danish freshwater farms for more than 100 years ago, so far there has not seen possibilities for reproducing outside the farm activities
Footnote	[55] A closed production system is defined as a facility with recirc		nd well maintained to ensure no escapes of reared specimens or biological material that might survive and subsequently reproduce.			
7.3	,	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 7.3 - Exceptions to Requirements that Suppliers (fry/fingerlings) are not Sited in National Protected Areas 2. Obtain from suppliers of fingerlings and eggs a man showing the location of the operation relative to				Allowance from cumpliars anyironmental approval to
7.5	Requirement: None [57,58]	a. Obtain from suppliers of fingerlings and eggs a map showing the location of the operation relative to nearby protected areas as defined federally/at the National level.	A. Review map and cross-check against supplier location.	1		Allowance from suppliers environmental approval to produce at freshwater farm.
Footnote			tion of nature with associated ecosystem services and cultural values." Source: Dudley, N. (Editor) (2008), Guidelines for Applying			
Footnote			d primarily for their landscapes, or areas that include sustainable resource management. Details can be found here:			
Footnote	Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the property for the	as that are designated as such after the farm already exists in that location. In these situations, the farm must	demonstrate that its operation is compatible with the objectives of the newly protected area, and that it is in compliance with any			
	presence of species listed on the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) "Red List of Threatened Species" as vulnerable, near threatened, endangered or critically endangered; an evaluation of the farm's impact on any such	a. Prepare a letter informing egg and fingerling suppliers that the supplier must compile a list of IUCN Red Listed species in the relevant categories that may occur on their property following the instructions in Indicator 2.1.3.	A. Verify that the farm sent a letter to egg and fingerling supplier(s) informing them of requirements to compile the list outlined in 7.4a.		1	There is not a letter informing egg and fingerling suppliers that the supplier must compile a list of IUCN Red Listed species in the relevant categories that may occur on their property following the instructions in Indicator 2.1.3.
7.4	species present; and clearly defined mitigation measures to reduce any negative impacts and allow existence of such species Requirement: Yes	b. Obtain from egg and fingerling suppliers a "risk assessment" (search and mitigation plan) that evaluates how the supplier's operation impacts on any IUCN Red Listed species identified in 7.4a. The risk assessment should be performed by an independent academic researcher or a nationally accredited EIA expert.	B. Verify that the farm has a copy of the risk assessment produced on behalf of the egg and fingerling suppliers and that this assessment covers the species listed in 7.4a.		1	There is not a copy of the risk assessment produced on behalf of the egg and fingerling suppliers and that this assessment covers the species listed in 7.4a.
	Applicability: All	c. Obtain from egg and fingerling suppliers a copy of the supplier's ETP species response plan and protocols based on the findings of the risk assessment.	C. Verify that the farm has a copy of the egg and fry supplier(s) response plan and protocols.	1		
	have an equivalent or better health status than that of the grow- out facility, and must follow all national and local (jurisdictional)	a. Obtain a written statement from egg and fingerling producers detailing the applicable national and local disease regulations and guidance on disease management which the supplier follows.	A. Verify that the farm has a written statement from the egg and fingerling producer detailing how the supplier conforms to applicable national and local regulations and guidance on disease management.	1		The suppliers follow the national and local regulations and guidance on disease management.
7.5	guidance on disease management	b. Prepare a letter informing egg and fingerling producers that they must evaluate eggs and fry using health status metrics developed by the farm's veterinary health professional (see 4.1.2a).	B. Verify that the farm has a copy of the letter informing its suppliers of health status metrics developed by the farm's veterinary health professional.	1		The health status metrics is informed to suppliers, developed by the farm's veterinary health professional.
	Requirement: Yes	c. Maintains records of the farm's evaluations of the condition of eggs and fingerlings upon delivery.	C. Verify that the farm keeps records of evaluating the condition of eggs and fingerlings for each delivery.	1		The farm has records of every delivery of egg and fingerlings.
7.6	Annischilitzvidelice or disclosure to the grow-out farm of an chemical and antibiotic treatments on eggs and fry, including the reason for their use and the quantity used	a. Prepare a letter informing egg and fry suppliers that they must disclose all chemical and antibiotic treatments on eggs and fry, along with stated rationale and the quantity used.	A. Verify that the farm has informed its suppliers that they must disclose information on chemical and antibiotic treatments together with the rationale for their use.	1		The farm has informed its suppliers that they must disclose information on chemical and antibiotic treatments together with the rationale for their use. This can be seen from reporting.
	Requirement: Yes Annliachilitatio Wance for the use of the rapeutic treatments,	b.Optional: Farm may conduct voluntary set tests on a subsample of eggs and fry for each stocking event, to test for chemical and antibiotic use consistent with the supplier's declaration.	B. Auditor includes in the audit report whether the farm has chosen to conducted chemical and antibiotic test on a subset of samples for each major stocking event.	1		There is no plan for chemical and antibiotic tests
7.7	including antibiotics or other treatments, that are banned under European Union (EU) law	a. Inform egg and fry suppliers in writing that the farm will not purchase from suppliers using any therapeutants or antibiotics that are banned under EU law.	A. Verify that the farm has a record of the statement sent to egg and fry suppliers.	1		Egg and fry suppliers have been informed
7.7		b. Compare any results from 7.6b to the farm's EU banned list (see 4.2.2a) to show that the egg and fry suppliers do not use banned chemicals.	B. Include a statement in the audit report describing a) whether the farm undertook optional testing of their supplier's fry/fingerlings and b) findings against the EU banned list, if any	1		Egg and fry suppliers do not use banned chemicals
	Indicator: Presence of a fish health management plan implemented in agreement with the facility's designated	a. For every supplier of fry and egg to the farm, obtain a copy of the supplier's Fish Health Management Plan (FHMP).	A. Verify that the farm obtains a FHMP from each supplier of egg and fry.	1		Fish health plan from suppliers in place
		b. Ensure that the egg and fry supplier's FHMP is reviewed and updated at least annually with signatures by management indicating approval.	B. Verify that the farm has record that supplier management approves review and update of the FHMP at least annually.	1		FHMP will be updated yearly
7.8	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	c. Ensure that the egg and fry supplier's designated veterinarian reviews and approves the FHMP annually and after each update of the FHMP, by signature.	C. Confirm that the farm has supplier documentation showing signature and date of review by designated veterinarian.	1		FHMP are signed by veterinarian
	Indicator: Evidence of company-level policies and procedures that demonstrate the company's commitment to each of the 8 key ILO labor issues described in Principle 6	b. For suppliers identified in 7.9a, obtain a copy of the supplier's company-level policies and procedures relating to key ILO labor issues.	B. Verify that farm obtains copies of relevant company-level policies and procedures fromsuppliers.	1		Suppliers commit to the principles in 7.9 A
7.9	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All		C. Review supplier policies and procedures (copy provided by the farm) to verify the supplier's commitment to address each of the 8 key ILO labor issues.		1	There is not a copy of supplier policies and procedures to verify the supplier's commitment to address each of the 8 key ILO labor issues.
		Note: see compliance criteria for Indicator 6.9.2.				
	Indicator : Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities					Suppliers communication with community consultations
7.10	Requirement: Yes	a. Ensure that the farm obtains documentary evidence from egg and fry suppliers of regular communications with surrounding community as described under 6.9.2a, 6.9.2b, 6.9.2c and 6.9.2d	A. Examine copies of records and documentary evidence (e.g. meeting agenda, minutes, report) to verify that the farm's suppliers performed community consultations in complance with requirements.		1	are in compliance with requirements, there are not seen copies of records and documentation
	Applicability: All					

FARM Date	Christiansminde	- e Dambrug				2	18
Non Conformity	CLAUSE	DESCRIPTION OF THE NON CONFORMITY:	ROOT CAUSE	CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT	ACCEPTED	Major	Minor
1	3.1.4 A	The well depth are not tested every year, and not according to ASC requirements as there are no existing Auditing guideance document	There is no existing Auditing guidance document. Further the fish farm use ground water and not well water.	The clause will be audited at surveillance audit	2.6.2014		1
2	3.1.4 B	There are no tests of well depth	There is no existing Auditing guidance document. The fish farm use ground water and not well water.	The clause will be audited at surveillance audit	2.6.2014		1
3	3.1.4 C	There are no tests results to publish	There is no existing Auditing guidance document. The fish farm use ground water and not well water.	The fish farm has published water abstraction permit. The clause will be audited at surveillance audit	2.6.2014		1
4	3.2.3 D	The laboratory is not accredited for analyses of benthic samples	There is no accredited laboratory for analyses for benthic samples in Denmark	Both sampling and analysis has been commissioned by the environmental authorities. Sampling and analysis are performed either by the environmental authority or specialized consulting	2.6.2014		1
5	3.2.4 A	There are not a farm biosolid (sludge) management plan for compliance with Appendix II_D	The sludge management plan and map is attached	The sludge management plan and map fulfill requirements from standard	2.6.2014		1
6	4.1.8 C	There is not a letter from senior manager stating that maximum stock density was determined by the designated veterinarian and site management	There is a letter from the senior manager regarding maximum stock density from 19.5.14	management fulfills requirement from standard	2.6.2014		1
7	5.2.1 A	There is not a policy for supporting responsible feed sourcing	See attachment for the policy	Policy from management fulfills requirement from standard	2.6.2014	1	
8	5.2.1 B	There is not a letter (mail) to feed producers for preferentially source feed containing fishmeal and fish oil originating from fisheries certified under the type of certification scheme in 5.2.1a	see mail from 13.5.14 in the attachment	Letter to feed producers fulfil requirement from standard	2.6.2014		1
9	5.4.2 A	There is not a letter stating the farm's intent to source 100% of its feed containing soya certified under the Roundtable for Responsible Soy (RTRS) or equivalent	see mail from 13.5.14 in the attachment	Policy from management fulfills requirement from standard	2.6.2014	1	
10	5.4.2 B	There is not a letter of the farms intent or confirmation from feed supplier	Confirmation from Aller for receiving mail dated 13.5.2014	Mail fulfills requirement from standard	2.6.2014		1
11	5.1.2 B	The list of feed ingredients do not include kril for the feed product Aller Aqua Gold	The list of feed ingredients was from 2013, so that was the reason that it didn't include krill.	Aller Aqua has provided us with an updated list of ingredients which include krill, the list fulfills requirement	2.6.2014		1
12	5.3.1 B	The calculation of FFDRm is not based on actual fish meal % in feed from feed producer	The feed produces has provided us with an interval for the content of fish meal.	from standard We've got an interval of fish oil content, which clearly meets the requirement for FFDRm under 1,5. The interval for fish meal content is accepted	2.6.2014		1
13	5.3.2 C	The calculation of FFDRo is not based on actual fish oil % in feed from feed producer	The feed produces has provided us with an interval for the content of fish oil.	We've got an interval of fish oil content, which clearly meets the requirement for FFDRo under 2,95, the interval for fish oil content is accepted	2.6.2014		1
14	6.4.1A	Employers do not have a clear procedure and instructions on what to do in case of fire / fire-fighting equipment.	There is a procedure in the attachment	The procedure is implemented	6.6.2014		1
15	6.5.2A	The employees are employed at different times and have very different employment contracts. In the employment contract is the starting salary described and agreed. It is seen from payslips that the pay since is risen. This has been agreed at an annual meeting between employer and employee. There can not be shown any documentation/ proof on the provided changes , for example, the changes to the salary and other terms (eg bonus or new function / title) which agreed later in the collaboration	All employees have employment contracts and individual employees have paper on individual salaries.	The contracts will be standardized in May / June for the annual meeting between employer and employee and will include documentation for changed in salary.	6.6.2014		1
16	6.9.3A	The company's policy of cooperation and dealing with requests from neighbors and surroundings / authorities are not documented - written down	The policy is in the attachment.	The policy is implemented	6.6.2014		1
17	7.4.A	There is not a letter informing egg and fingerling suppliers that the supplier must compile a list of IUCN Red Listed species in the relevant categories that may occur on their property following the instructions in Indicator 2.1.3.	Danaqua has sent a mail 19. Marts 2014 to the eggs and fingerling suppliers including query on a list of red list species, the fish farms impact on these and any remedial action.	Document fulfills requirement from standard	4.6.2014		1
18	7.4.B	There is not a copy of the risk assessment produced on behalf of the egg and fingerling suppliers and that this assessment covers the species listed in 7.4a.	There is a list over Red Listed species and a risk assessment in the document from 6. Marts 2014.	Document fulfills requirement from standard	4.6.2014		1
19	7.9.C	There is not a copy of supplier policies and procedures to verify the supplier's commitment to address each of the 8 key ILO labor issues.	There is only a suggestion for a policy and no procedure for implementation of the policy.	Danforel has 14.5.2013 sent a mail to the egg producer see attachment, fulfills requirement in standard	2.6.2014		1
20	7.10.A	Suppliers communication with community consultations are in compliance with requirements, there are not seen copies of records and documentation	There are document for yearly meeting with local environmental authorities and membership of Dansk Akvakultur. The farm has less than 6 employees.	Document fulfills requirement from standard	4.6.2014		1
		I .	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1	<u> </u>

03/07/2014 02/07/2014



Aquaculture Stewardship Council Farm Audit Report Stakeholders Submissions

Including Written of other documented information and Bureau Veritas Certification responses to each submission.

If no submission, precise " no submissions received"

Public Consultation period	Stakeholder submission	BV Response	
Audit announcement (30 days prior to audit)	No submissions received		
Draft public report (10 days from publication)			
	No submissions received		