

Rapporto di verifica per trote da allevamento di Aquaculture Stewardship Council

VERITAS .						
		Acquacoltu	ura in acqu	a dolce Ittica Trameac	que	
Data:	23.9.2014	Αι	utore:	BUREAU VERITAS C	ertification - Danimarca - Oldenborg	gade 2, 7000 Fredericia
CLIENTE: CONTATTO PRINCIPALE (Persona verificata):	ITTICA TRAMEACQUE DI BA SOCIETA	ATTIGELLI LUCILLA A' AGRICOLA	A & C. S.A.S	SQUADRA DI VERIFICA:		i Skare, valutatore responsabile SA erto Sartori
RIFERIMENTO RAPPORTO:	ASC Freshwater Trout Stan	dard Version 1.0, F	ebruary 2014	DATA COMPILAZIONE RAPPOR	16 - 17 Ot	ttobre 2014
RESPONSABILE:			<u>, </u>	DATA REVISIONE RAPPORTO:		
-		INIZIALE				X
VALUTAZION	NE / MISSIONE	MONITORAGGIO				
		COMPLEMENTAR	RE/SUPPLEMENT	TARE		
			1. S	ommario		·
una giornata. La verifica è sta	ita eseguita presso l'impianto di acq e sono stati esaminati i processi e l	uacoltura in acqua do a gestione dell'appare	olce con interviste a recchiatura. Le inter	oltura in acqua dolce Ittica Trameacque. i dipendenti. Si è incentrata su tutti i prir viste con i dipendenti sono state esegui sse sono documentate e descritte nel ra	cipi su cui verte lo ASC Freshwater St le nell'impianto, le interviste sono state	andard, la responsabilità sociale, la
		tenore d'ossigeno co	ontrollati sugli autoc	mpianto da ingrasso per trote che arriva carri per la consegna ai clienti. È situato a. Scarico delle acque il fiume Brentella		
			<u>3. Amb</u>	<u>ito di verifica</u>		
STANDARD	ASC trout Standard Version 1.0 -	February 2013				
Attività e ambito della	taglie da 300 g a 1 kg, in vasche bacini di decantazione. I pesci se	di cemento rettango ono consegnati dal l	olari con sistema d bacino dell'impian	que è l'allevamento di trote iridee, sal di acqua transitante (flow-through), u nto direttamente sugli autocarri per il quacoltura ha un permesso ambienta	ilizzando l'acqua dolce del fiume e trasporto. Il controllo del pesce e de	di falda. Trattamento delle acque in Il'alimentazione viene eseguito in
Specie:	Trota iridea (Oncorhynchus myki	ss), salmerino (Salv	velinus alpinus), tro	ota fario (Salmo Trutta fario) e salme	rino di fonte (Salvelinus fontinalis)	

Descrizione del corpo idrico ricevente è il fiume Brentella

		4. Piano	o di verifica				
Verifiche a tavolino ed altre attivit	à intraprese prima o dopo le visite al	sito.	Revisione della documentazione				
	l/rannrecentanti del cliente	ritta o d'altro tipo e risposte per iscritto					
	Nome dell'impianto:		Ittica Trameacque				
	Indirizzo:						
	Contatto:		Lucilla Battigelli				
Impianti dell'azienda interessati dall'ASC. Per ogni impianto indicare:	coinvolti in altro modo nella verifica	Lucilla Battigelli, Enrico Battigeli, ITTIC	A TRAMEACQUE DI BATTIGELLI LUCILLA & C. S.A.S SOCIETA' AGRICOLA, Hubert Bernegger, Eissvogel, il medico veterinario Sarti Massimo				
	Verifica in impianto di acquacultura in acqua dolce di Ittica Trameacque: 23.9 Data e durata della visita:		ifica in impianto di acquacultura in acqua dolce di Ittica Trameacque: 23.9.2014				
		Verifiche preced	lenti (se applicabile)				

Non è stata effettuata alcuna verifica ASC in questo impianto o altro impianto della stessa proprietà

5. Dati rilevati

		0.50	tti i iio vati							
	SCHEN	IA VALUTAZIONI PRECEDENTI		CONCLUSIONI VALUTAZIONE ATTUALE						
	Numero	RIFERIMENTI DI NON CONFORMITÀ	Pendenti/conclus e	Numero RIFERIMENTI DI NON CONFORMITÀ Pendenti/co						
Osservazioni	NA	NA	NA	10	Minori	Pendenti				
Non conformità minore	NA	NA	NA							
Non conformità maggiore	NA	NA	NA							

Sunto delle condizioni:

6. Risultati valutazione

L'acquacoltura in acqua dolce di Ittica Trameacque ha un sistema di gestione della qualità esteso che copre l'intera organizzazione dalle uova al trasporto del pesce. L'impianto è dotato di una valido certificato GlobalGAP. La verifica è stata eseguita presso l'impianto in acqua dolce di Ittica Trameacque. L'azienda dimostra grande attenzione verso i propri dipendenti per quanto riguarda i temi della salute, l'ambiente e la sicurezza, le norme ed i regolamenti sociali. Durante la verifica non sono state riscontrate non conformità concernenti gli infortuni sul lavoro. L'impianto è gestito con documentazione aggiornata e i dipendenti hanno una lunga esperienza di acquacoltura. Durante le interviste con i dipendenti si è riscontrato un atteggiamento positivo verso il lavoro con gli standard ASC. Tutta la documentazione e i sistemi della qualità erano a disposizione dei valutatori.

7. Rapporto/i di non conformità

Vedere i rapporti di non conformità allegati

8. Determinazione dell'inizio della catena di custodia

Sarà necessaria una certificazione della catena di custodia dal punto in cui cambia la titolarità del controllo diretto del pesce. Ciò avviene quando il pesce viene spostato dal bacino e pompato sugli autocarri per lo stoccaggio in vivo. In quel momento il pesce verrà coperto dallo standard COC - ASC Trout Standard per i macelli. Il pesce viene raccolto nel bacino e pompato negli autocarri in attesa per il trasporto, dove l'acqua viene controllata prima e durante il trasporto per igiene, ossigeno e temperatura. Il pesce viene trasportato vivo ai clienti.

Determinazione dell'ammissibilità dei prodotti da acquacoltura ad accedere ad ulteriori catene di custodia e i relativi punti di accesso

La valutazione del sistema di rintracciabilità e segregazione dell'attività di acquacoltura è sufficiente ad assicurare che tutti i prodotti dell'acquacoltura identificati e venduti dall'attività stessa come prodotti certificati hanno origine dall'unità soggetta a certificazione

Timplemin		Livello di rischio			commenti del valutatore e dati rilevati		
Tipologia		Rischio basso	Rischio medio	Rischio el	evato	commenti dei valutatore e dati rilevati	
I. I sistemi di rintracciabilità e segregazione utilizzati			Х			La rintracciabilità si basa su registrazione manuale	
2. L'occasione di sostituzione dei prodotti certificati con prodotti non certificati prima e durante la raccolta		х				Nell'impianto si troverà solo pesce certificato	
La possibilità di introdurre prodotti dall'esterno dell'unità soggetta a certificazione		х				Nell'impianto si troverà solo pesce certificato	
4. La solidità del sistema di gestione del richiedente o titolare della certificazione		х				II sistema di gestione e solido, comprende tutti gli standard	
5. Ogni attività di trasbordo		х				Il trasporto del pesce è ben conosciuto nell'impianto	
6. Ogni gestione o lavorazione successive alla raccolta eseguita da fornitori esterni di servizi		х				Il trasporto del pesce è ben conosciuto nell'impianto	
Raccomandazione del verificatore	SÌ		NO			MOTIVAZIONE	
I sistemi sono sufficienti, i prodotti da acquacoltura dell'impianto in questione possono accedere a ulteriori catene di custodia e hanno titolo di esporre il marchio ASC.	х						
Descrivere i punti di cambio di proprietà dopo i quali si rende necessaria la certificazione della catena di custodia	Dal momen	to in cui il pesce è a	ırrivato sugli autocarri s	si ha un cambiam	ento che ren	de necessaria una certificazione per i macelli	

Dati confidenziali per informazioni commerciali sensibili

Questo rapporto non contiene allegati confidenziali per informazioni commerciali sensibili. Bureau Veritas ha concordato con il richiedente il contenuto dei dati commerciali sensibili.

	<u>Firma - Approvazione</u>
Firma del cliente	
	Lucilla Battigelli
Firma del valutatore	
	Sølvi Skare

	DECISIONE DI CERTIFICAZIONE					
	CERTIFICATA L'azienda è conforme ai requisiti generali dello standard ASC					
BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION determina che tutti le richieste dello standard sono sufficemente rispetate e ha certificato Biselli Freshwater Farm of ITTICA TRAMEACQUE DI Stato di certificazione del richiedente BATTIGELLI LUCILLA & C. S.A.S SOCIETA' AGRICOLA A certificata è stato rilasciato per l'ambito specificato nella sezione " Ambito " del rapporto Eventuali non conformità e il loro stato sono elencati nella sezione " risultati " del rapporto						
Data di rilascio del Certificato:						
	8 Dicembre 2014					
Data di scadenza	7 Dicembre 2017					
Ambito del certificato:	Aquaculture operation for Trout					
Elenco di tutte le non conformità pendenti:						

IMPIANTO	Acquacoltura in acqua dolce Ittica Trameacque						
Data	23.9.2014			•		0	10
Non conformità no.	ARTICOLO	DESCRIZIONE DELLA NON CONFORMITÀ	CAUSA	RAPPORTO AZIONI CORRETTIVE	ACCETTATO	Maggiore	Minore
1	2.3.1 C	Non vi sono informazioni specifiche sul fatto se le specie allevate siano autoctone dell'area.	L'informazione era disponibile ma non è stata vista.	L'anno di introduzione era il 1896 "Gazzetta Ufficiale del Regno d'italia anno 1896 nr. 245"	01-11-2014		1
2	2.3.1 D	Manca un'informazione sul momento di introduzione della specie allevata	L'informazione era disponibile ma non è stata vista.	L'anno di introduzione era il 1896 "Gazzetta Ufficiale del Regno d'Italia anno 1896 nr. 245"	01-11-2014		1
3	2.5.2 A	Manna una procedura di figga, inclusa una valutazione di rischio, che sia descritta nel sistema di qualità	L'informazione era disponibile ma non è stata vista.	La procedura si chiama: "PROCEDURA INFRASTRUTTURE ALLEVAMENTO PER EVITARE FUGHE DI PESCI " è disponibile/La procedura è accettata	01-11-2014		1
4	3.1.4 C	Le informazioni riguardanti i test delle sorgenti non sono disponibili al pubblico	Nell'impianto è presente un registro dei test delle sorgenti per i visitatori.	Metteremo il registro sul sito web.	01-11-2014		1
S	3.2.3 D	Manca un'accreditazione per l'analisi bentonica priesso il Leochemica Lab	Ho dato compito al laboratorio Leochimica di eseguire l'analisi bentonica in base agli standard ASC appendice II-CC.	Il laboratorio mi ha inviato le certificazioni del laboratorio. E mi hanno detto che l'analisi era stata eseguita in biase a quanto scritto nell ASC appendice CII. Se cio none è in regola cercheremo un altro laboratorio. (¿analisi ès cata compluta come requisito di contine dei llaboratorio è accreditato. L'accreditamento prie analisi bentoniche verrà valutato prima della verifica di sorveglianza.	01-11-2014		1
6	3.2.4 B	Non è stato approntato un diagramma di flusso per lo smaltimento dei fanghi	Per lo smaltimento dei fanghi in impianto c'era la procedura ma non il diagramma di flusso. Il requisito non era stato compreso alla verifica	Il diagramma di flusso è disponibile/Diagramma di flusso accettato	01-11-2014		1
7	4.2.4 B	Manca una pubblicazione sui trattamenti antimicrobici	In impianto è presente un registro dei trattamenti antimicrobici per i visitatori.	Metteremo il registro sul sito web.	01-11-2014		1
8	6.4.1	È assente una comunicazione che i lavoratori vengono rappresentati in materie concernenti la salute e la sicurezza da un rappresentante "territoriale" (D.Igs. 81/08 art. 48)	Non era stato eletto un rappresentante dei lavoratori	Il rappresentante designato dai lavoratori è stato iscritto al corso obbligatorio. Vedere allegato.	Si Alberto Sartori 27 Ott. 2014		1
9	6.4.1	Mancano dati che evidenzino che il corso di salute e sicurezza per i dipendenti sia conforme ai requisiti dell "Accordo Stato-Regioni 2011" (D.lgs. 81/08 art. 37)	Quale datore di lavoro responsabile possiamo addestrare i dipendenti.	Il corso verrà tenuto da un formatore in base ad un accordo Stato-Regioni. Vedere allegato.	Si Alberto Sartori 27 Ott. 2014		1
10	6.4.5	Manca una valutazione di un esperto di medicina del lavoro per determinare se sia necessaria una visita periodica di controllo del lavoratori (D.lgs. 81/08 art.25)	Non c'era un accordo con un esperto di medicina del lavoro .	Abbiamo un appuntamento per la visita di controllo. Vedere allegato.	Si Alberto Sartori 27 Ott. 2014		1
			I	I	I	1	l

	Filled in by client/auditor (mark	Name: Sølvi Skare	Company: Bureau Veritas Certification				Date: 19.5.2014		
	red what is applicable)								
AUDIT MAN	UAL - ASC Freshwater Trout Standard								
	cope: rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) or any other salmon	id grown in fresh water	Aquaculture	245	0 10	77	332		
	COMPLY WITH ALL NATIONAL AND LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS		Council		CONFIRMITY		COMMENTS -RATIONALE		
	Operate within the legal framework of national and local laws and re-	quiations that are applicable and current		С	Major NC Minor NC	NA	OGMINIZATIO TOTATIONAZZ		
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):						
	Indicator: Presence of documents issued by pertinent authorities indicating compliance with local and national authorities on land	a. Maintain copies of key land and water use laws (both local and national) that apply to regulating the environmental and social impacts of aquaculture.	A. Confirm that the producer has copies of key land and water use laws of direct relevance to aquaculture impacts.	1			Ittica Trameacque Freshwater Farm has authorization and concession N.518 from 21.11.95 from Ministero dei Lavori Pubblici Pordenone and N.3616 from 13.05.92 for use of water, mean modules 50		
1.1.1	and water use Requirement: Yes	b. Maintain original lease agreements, land titles, or concession permit on file as applicable.	B. Confirm that the client holds original lease agreements or land titles.	1			Concession permit for farm seen		
	Applicability: All	 Keep records of inspections for compliance with national and local laws and regulations (if such inspections are legally required in the country of operation). 	C. Verify presence of a copy of records of inspections (where such inspections are legally required and paperwork can be provided to producers).	1			Copies of inspections and sampling og fish and feed seen		
		d. Obtain permits and maps showing that the farm does not conflict with national preservation areas (see Indicator 2.1.1)	D. Confirm that the producer has evidence showing that the facility does not conflict with designated preservation areas and has required operational permits if sited in such an area (see 2.1.1).	1			Ittica Trameacque Freshwater farm is not situated in protected area, as shown at map from Natura 2000 in Provincia di Udine		
		Note: To ensure that all tax-related information for 1.1.2 is available for auditor review, farms may wish to const	olidate required documentation prior to the audit (e.g. when files are held at off site facilities such as a head office or accountancy).						
	Indicator: Presence of documents indicating compliance with tax	a. Maintain copies of tax laws for jurisdiction(s) where company operates.	A. Verify presence of a copy of tax laws.	1			Tax law and legislation is in document registerlist		
1.1.2	Requirement: Yes	b. Maintain records of tax payments to appropriate authorities (e.g. land use tax, water use tax, revenue tax). Note that CABs will not disclose confidential tax information unless client is required to or chooses to make it public.	B. Verify that the client has records of tax payments to the appropriate authorities. Do not disclose client tax information which is confidential.	1			Tax payments are verified by Tax Authorities, Finance Agenzia Entrate, there are no open issues, signed 15.9.2014		
	Applicability: All	 Register with national or local authorities as an "aquaculture activity" where such registration is consistent with regulations. Maintain copies of registration documents and the contact details for relevant authorities. 	C. Verify that the client is registered with local or national authorities.	1			Ittica Trameacque Freshwater Farm is registered in national and local registers, as seen from 1.1.1		
	Indicator: Presence of documents indicating compliance with all	Note: Indicator 1.1.3 is restricted in scope and applies only to those farm sites within the unit of certification.							
1.1.3	labor laws and regulations Requirement: Yes	 Maintain copies of key labor laws and regulations that are applicable to regulating the social impacts of aquaculture. 	A. Confirm that the producer has copies of key labor laws and regulations of direct relevance to social impacts of aquaculture.	1			Key labor laws natinale and regianale, regarding workers, labour and payment in document registerlist, from 20.5.2010		
	Applicability: All	 Keep records of farm inspections for compliance with national labor laws and codes (only if such inspections are legally required in the country of operation). 	B. Confirm that the client has the specified documentation from the appropriate authorities (where such inspections are legally required and paperwork invovided to producers).	1			There are not regularly inspections from authorities		
		 a. Maintain copies of key regulations and permitting requirements that apply to water quality impacts, effluent discharge and water abstraction by the farm. 	A. Confirm that the client maintains copies of key regulations and permitting requirements as specified.	1			Key regulation and permission from authorities		
	Indicator: Presence of documents indicating compliance with regulations or permits concerning water quality impacts, effluent and water abstraction	b. Obtain permits for water quality impacts where applicable.	B. Confirm that the client obtains water quality permits as applicable.	1			Authorization for discharges issued by the province of Pordenone environment area, nr. 360 from 12.02.2014 according to 152/2006, effluent and water abstraction authorizations seen and described in AB 11 Utilizzo dell'acqua		

1.1.4	Requirement: Yes	c. Maintain records of monitoring and compliance with discharge laws and regulations as required.	C. Verify that records show compliance with discharge laws and regulations.	1				Discharge of water according to Legislative Decree 152/2006
	Applicability: All	d. Obtain a statement from local authorities indicating the water abstraction limits (units given) for the farm. If local authorities do not set water abstraction limits for farms operating in the region, obtain of a statement from local authorities attesting to this fact.	D. Review the water abstraction limits set for the farm by local authorities. If local authorities do not set water abstraction limits, confirm that the farm has an attestation.	1				The water abstraction limits are set in regulation 152/2006 from authorities for water abstraction
		e. Maintain records of water abstraction.	E. Verify that the farm keeps complete records of water abstraction.	1				The water abstraction is recorded and followed
			F. Check the farm's water intake against the water abstraction limits to verify compliance with regulations or permits. Cross-check	1				The water intake is followed by authorities and are not
			against reported values for total water abstracted (see 3.1.1b).	1				changed
	CONSERVE HABITAT AND BIODIVERSITY							
Criterion 2.1 S	iting and location of farms [2]							
Front - to	[2] To determine its compliance with the requirements in 2.1.2 area	Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions): ation can be based on an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) or any other credible process of environmental assessment.					
Footnote	[2] To determine its compilance with the requirements in 2.1, a pro-	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.1.1 - Exceptions to Requirements that Farms are not sited in National Pro						
			tette Area					
	Indicator: Allowance for siting in National Protected Areas [3]	 a. Provide a map showing the location of the farm relative to nearby protected areas as defined by national laws (also see 1.1.1e). 	A. Review map of national protected areas and cross-check against farm location.	1				Ittica Trameacque Freshwater farm is not situated in protected area, as shown at map from Natura 2000 in Udine
2.1.1	Requirement: None [4,5]	 b. If the farm is not sited in a protected area as defined above, inform the CAB. In this case, the requirements of 2.1.1c-d do not apply. 	B. If the farm is not sited in a protected area, make note of this fact in the audit report. Otherwise proceed to 2.1.1c.	1				See 2.1.1
1	Applicability: All except as noted in [4] and [5]	c. If the farm is sited in a protected area, review the Instructions for Indicator 2.1.1 (above) to determine if the farm is allowed an exception to the requirements. If yes, inform the CAB which exception (#1 or #2) is allowed	C. Review the applicability of the exception requested by the farm together with the supporting evidence to determine if the farm is eligible. If yes, indicator 2.1.1 is not applicable.				1	
		and provide supporting evidence. d. if the farm is sited in a protected area and the exceptions provided for indicator 2.1.1 do not apply, then the farm does not comply with the requirement and is ineligible for ASC certification.	D. Review evidence to determine whether the farm is allowed to be sited in a protected area and hence eligible for ASC certification.				1	
Footnote	[3] & protected area is "a clearly defined gengraphical space recogn	nized, dedicated and managed through legal or other effective means to achieve the long-term conservation of nat	I ure with associated ecosystem services and cultural values." Source: Dudley, N. (Editor) (2008), Guidelines for Applying Protected Area					
		he International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or VI. These are areas preserved primarily for			+	1		
Footnote			at demonstrate that its operation is compatible with the objectives of the protected area, and that it is in compliance with any relevant		 	+		
· vocinote			ets and outlets). Converted surface area must be offset by restoration of 100% of the equivalent area of functional wetlands with the					
	Indicator: Conversion of wetlands [6] after 1999	a. Provide documentary evidence showing all construction or habitat conversion on the farm since 1999.	A. Review evidence for date of all construction or habitat conversion on the farm since 1999.				1	No wetland
2.1.2	Requirement: None [7]	b. Provide a map delineating all wetlands (as defined in [6]) currently within a 5-km radius of the farm.	B. Evaluate whether there is evidence for any wetland conversion occuring within a 5-km radius of the farm since 1999.				1	No wetland
	Applicability: All except as noted in [7]	c. Prepare a map showing wetland coverage in 1999 at the farm site.	C. If evidence shows that current farm siting or related activities have resulted in loss of wetland habitat since 1999, then the farm is not certifiable.				1	No wetland
			ities living in the soil and on its surface. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs and fens (U.S. Environmental Protection		-			
Footnote	[/] Exception: Conversion of wetlands for access to water (e.g., canal	als for inlets and outlets): Converted surface area must be offset by restoration of 100% of the equivalent area of fu Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.1.3 - Assessment of Impacts to IUCN Red Listed Species	inctional wetlands with the same habitat characteristics.					
	Indicator: An assessment of the presence on the farm of species listed on the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) "Red List of Threatened Species" as vulnerable, near threatened,	a. Perform above analysis. Record all IUCN red listed species and farm-related threats. Farm commissions	A. Review credentials of expert who performed onsite survey and report. Verify through interviews with relevant stakeholders (e.g. local community, eNGOs, government agency responsible for wildlife protection), in order to cross-check whether endangered species exist in the immediate vicinity of the farm.	1				IUCN red-listed animals are reviewed by authorities, there are no important influence from farm
2.1.3	endangered or critically endangered; an evaluation of the farm's impact on any such species present; and clearly defined mitigation measures to reduce any negative impacts and allow existence of	 b. Provide a map showing location of the farm (see 1.1.1e) relative to the known distribution of IUCN red-listed species (categories as defined in the indicator) or critical habitats in the area. 	B. Review the map and verify that client is aware of IUCN red-listed species (categories as defined in the indicator) or critical habitats located near the farm.	1				See 2.1.3
	such species Requirement: Yes	 If results from 2.1.3a (above) identify that IUCN Red List species occur within a 5 km radius of the farm (including upstream and receiving waters), provide a documented evaluation of the farm's impacts on such species. 	C. Verify that client has performed an evaluation of farm impacts to IUCN Red Listed species (as applicable).				1	
	Applicability: All	d. Where the results from 2.1.3c indicate a potential for negative impacts, prepare a set of written and clearly-defined mitigation measures to reduce any negative impacts and allow existence of such species.	D. Confirm that the farm has documented all mitigation measures and verify implementation during the on-site inspection (as applicable).				1	
Criterion 2.2 R	Riparian buffer zones [8]							
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):					
Footnote	[8] A riparian buffer zone is the land immediately abutting a water							
1		Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analysis that the farm's s	tructures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not present erosion risks [9].					
	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (or for significant expansions),	 a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a). 	A. Review evidence for date of farm installation and expansions.	1				Ittica Trameacque Farm has existed since 1995 and produce fish in same area as original
	minimum buffer zone between the farm and an adjacent water body in which there is no farm infrastructure that might impede	 b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c. 	B. Determine whether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm.				1	
2.2.1	wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems	 Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body. 	C. Review diagram to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not impede wildlife's access to the water.				1	
	Requirement: ≥ 15 meters from the water's edge [9]							
	Applicability: All farms constructed after publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard except as noted in [9]	d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as appropriate to ensure worker health and welfare).	D. During the on-site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions.				1	
Footnote	[9] An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a thin	rd-party scientific analysis that the farm's structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not preser	nt erosion risks.					
	ntroduction of exotic species [10]							
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):					
Footnote	[10] The ASC Freshwater Trout Standard defines "exotic species" as	non-native animals living in areas outside their native boundaries.						
		Note: Indicator 2.3.1 does not apply to farms that operate closed production systems. A closed production system	is defined as a facility with recirculating water that is separated from the wild aquatic medium by effective physical barriers that are in					
		a. Inform the CAB if the farm uses a closed production system according to the above definition (indicator 2.3.1 does not apply). Otherwise, proceed to 2.3.1b.	A. Determine which type of culture system is used by the farm. If closed, then 2.3.1 does not apply (response "n/a"). Otherwise, proceed to 2.3.18.	1				The farm is a production system, with separation between river and farm, by gratings as physical barriers in addition to implemented procedures for control of barriers
1	I .							

	Indicator: New introductions of exotic trout after the date of publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, unless in a closed production system [11]	b. Inform the CAB which trout species is being cultured by the farm and maintain purchase records (e.g. receipts) that identify the species by Latin name.	B. Confirm which species of trout is cultured by the farm.	1			The trout produced is Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), Charr (Salvelinus alpinus), Brown trout (Salmo Trutta fario) and Book trout (Salvelinus fontinalis)
2.3.1	Requirement: None	c. Compile available primary literature (e.g. scientific studies, government publications) to determine whether or not the cultured species is generally considered to be native to the region in which the farm operates.	C. Review the literature to determine if the cultured species is generally considered native to the area. If yes, then 2.3.1 does not apply (response "n/a"). Otherwise, proceed to 2.3.10.		1		There is not specific information of whether the species cultured is native in area.
	Applicability: All except closed production systems	charias), search the literature for a reliable estimate of the year of introduction	D. If the species is not considered native to the area, review available information to determine if it was introduced and had self- sustaining population established in the wild before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (7 February 2013). If yes, then 2.3.1 does not apply (response "n/a"). Otherwise, proceed to 2.3.1E.		1		There is not an information of time of introduction of the cultured species
			E. Inform the client that the proposed culture stock is considered an 'exotic trout' under the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard and therefore the farm is ineligible for certification.			1	The cultured introduced species is not introduced after the publication of ASC Freshwater trout standard
Footnote	[11] A closed production system is defined as a facility with recircula	sting water that is separated from the wild aquatic medium by effective physical barriers that are in place and well	maintained to ensure no escapes of reared specimens or biological material that might survive and subsequently reproduce.				
Criterion 2.4 T	Transgenic (15) Trout						
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				
Footnote	[15] Transgenic trout: A subset of genetically modified organisms, w	which are organisms that have inserted DNA that originated in a different species. Some GMOs contain no DNA fror Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.4.1 - Culture of Transgenic vs. Genetically Modified Trout	n other species and, therefore, are not transgenic but cisgenic.				
i	Indicator: Allowance for the culture of transgenic trout, including	instruction to clients for mulcator 2.4.2 - Culture of Transgenic vs. Generically Woulfied Trout			1	+	Transgenic trout is not allowed to produce in Italian farms.
2.4.1	the offspring of genetically engineered trout Requirement: None	Maintain records for the origin of all cultured stocks including the supplier name, address and contact person(s) for stock purchases.	A. Review records to confirm compliance with the requirement.	1			triansgenic troots into anower to produce in training confirmed by Legislative Decree No. 224 of July 8, 2003 Implementation of Directive 2001/18/EC on the Deliberate Release of Genetically Modified Organisms. This is confirmed from suppliers
	Applicability: All	b. Ensure purchase documents confirm that the culture stock is not transgenic.	B. If the auditor suspects that transgenic fish are in culture, add condition that the farm must have stock identity tested by collecting 3 fish for genetic analysis at an ISO 17025 certified laboratory.			1	
		selective breeding that can result in better growth performance and domestication but does not involve the inserti	ion of any foreign genes into the genome of the animal.				
Criterion 2.5 E	Escapes from culture facilities	Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				
	Indicator: Evidence of a well-designed, maintained and managed culture system, infrastructure and farm management to prevent	a. Ensure that farm procedures (see 2.5.2a) address all the farm measures for exape prevention given in Appendix VI. Align farm procedures against requirements in Appendix VI.	Audeot revaluation (required Use Actions): A. Review the list showing how farm's SOP's meet all requirements given in Appendix VI.	1			There is a low risk for escape, as control of barriers between and at end of farm, are controlled and registered daily, seen OK implemented and registered
2.5.1	escapes during grow-out and at harvest, as demonstrated through the requirements in Appendix VI	b. Ensure proper maintenance of the culture system and infrastructure to prevent escapes during grow-out and	B. During the on-site visit, inspect the culture system to verify proper maintenance of nets, screens and barriers.	1			The barriers are well maintained and understood by
	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	c. Arrange for the auditor to witness the farm's method of harvesting during the audit.	C. During the on-site visit, observe how the farm havests fish to verify effectiveness of excape prevention measures.	1			Harvesting equipement for fish was observed in cages, fish are gently pressed together at end of pond before pumped via tube into containers for live transport to slaughter house, there is no possibility for escape of fish
2.5.2	Indicator: Presence of trout farming standard operating procedures (SOP) that incorporate an escape risk assessment [17]	a. Prepare a written SOP (see 2.5.1a) that incorporates an escape risk assessment.	A. Review the farm's SOP to confirm it includes an escape risk assessment. Include a synopsis in auditing report for future standadization of "risk assessment" requirements by ASC or standards setting group.		1		There is not an escape procedure, including risk assessment, described in quality system
2.5.2		b. Ensure that the SOP is implemented on the farm.	B. During the on-site visit, confirm that the SOP is implemented by direct inspection and through interviews with key staff.	1			see 2.5.1b
Francisco .	Requirement: Yes	of farm operation, identify the risks involved and define mitigation procedures for prevention of escapes.	- g			_	
	Indicator: Evidence of farm staff capacities and capabilities, including training of staff prior to starting work and regular training during employment to understand and address risks from escapes and follow the defined SOP	a. In the SOP for reducing escapes (see 2.5.2a), provide a description of how the farm ensures adequate staff	A. Review SOP to verify that farm addresses staffing capacity needs in order to reduce escapes.	1			The staff is trained for the operation follow requirements in Appendix IV, daily reporting of sorting, weighing, feeding, counting of dead fish, including inspection of barriers daily. There is a plan for operators work at farm
2.5.3	Requirement: Yes	b. Maintain documentary records (e.g. minutes, attendance sheets) from regular staff trainings on escape prevention procedures.	B. Review records to verify that the farm regularly provides its employees with introductory or continuing training on escape prevention procedures.	1			There are regularly training of staff, seen last training from May 2014, signed by workers, training in welfare, pollution, escape, health, instruments, waste and medicated feed
	Applicability: All		C. During the on-site visit, conduct interviews with key staff to confirm that training sessions are held regularly and workers are aware of risks.	1			Staff are fully aware of the inspection and routines in connection to possible escapes
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.5.4 - Calculation of Estimated Unexplained Loss					
2.5.4	Indicator: Estimated unexplained loss [18] of farmed trout in net pens is made publicly available	 a. For each production cycle, maintain detailed records of the following: stocking count; harvest count; mortalities; and recorded escapes. 	A. Review records for completeness.			1	No net pens
2.3.4	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b. Calculate the estimated unexplained loss as described in the instructions (above) for the most recent full production cycle. For first audit, farm must demonstrate understanding of calculation and the requirement to disclose EU. after harvest of the current cycle.	B. Verify accuracy of farm calculations for estimated unexplained loss.			1	No net pens
		c. Make the results from 2.5.4b publicly available (e.g. by publishing information on the farm's website). Keep records of when and where the results were made public for all production cycles.	C. Verify that the farm makes the information available to the public and describe the means of access in the audit report.			1	No net pens
Footnote	[18] Calculated as: Unexplained loss = Stocking count - harvest count	t - mortalities - other known escapes.					
	Indicator: All fish in net pens are counted during each grading	 a. Prepare a written procedure for grading which describes the frequency and methodology for obtaining counts. 	A. Review the farm's procedure for grading.			1	No net pens
2.5.5	Requirement: Yes		B. Review records and ask producer to trace back a logical unit from harvest to stocking, showing when grading occurred.			1	No net pens

iterion 2.6	Predator control [19]						
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				
ootnote	[19] Excluding "vermin" as defined in the local jurisdiction.						
	Indicator: Intentional use of lethal predator control	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.6.1 - Exception to Prohibition on Use of Lethal Predator Control					
2.6.1	Requirement: None [20]	a. Prepare a list of all predator control devices and their locations.	A. Review list and confirm device locations and working condition during the on-site inspection.	1			There is a fence around the farm, there is no active lethic predator control at farm
	Applicability: All except as noted in [20]	 b. Provide a description of farm procedures for managing predators (e.g. in the SOP identified in 2.5.2) which explains how the farm ensures that all actions are non-lethal. 	B. Verify that the farm's predator control procedures are implemented and that there is no evidence the control measures are lethal.	1			There is no evidence of lethal control measures at farm
		e prohibition on lethal action in situations where the farm can provide evidence of an assessment that demonstra	tes lethal action against a particular predator is appropriate, necessary and presents no risks to wild populations or ecosystems. This				
	MINIMIZE NEGATIVE EFFECT ON WATER RESOURCES						
terion 3.1	Water Use/Abstraction Levels						
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.1.1 - Exemptions from Meeting the Maxima for Water Abstraction					
	Indicator: Maximum amount of water that a farm can abstract from a natural flowing water body (such as a river or stream)	a. Inform the CAB if the farm seeks an exemption to 3.1.1. and provide supporting evidence (see Instructions). Otherwise, proceed to 3.1.1b.	A. If the farm seeks an exemption, review evidence for compliance with regulatory or scientifically-derived water flow minima and provide a synopsis in the audit report. Otherwise, proceed to 3.1.18.	1			Maximum amount of abstraction from water body is de and controlled by concessione per moduli, nr 518 date 21.11.95
	Requirement: 50% of the natural water body's flow immediately	 Maintain records of all water abstracted by the farm and use these values to calculate the total volume of water abstracted on an annual basis. 	B. Confirm that the farm maintains records of water abstraction and that calculations are accurate for annual volume of water abstracted.	1			Records of water abstraction is maintained and controll
3.1.1	above the farm [21] Applicability: All farms utilizing surface water (such as water from	c. Provide the CAB with reliable estimates of water flow immediately above the farm (e.g. scientific studies, government publications). Use these values to calulate the total volume of water flow on an annual basis.	C. Confirm that the farm has access to reliable estimates for water flow immediately above the farm and that caclulations are accurate for annual volume of water flow immediately above the farm.	1			Water flow above farm and abstraction can be measure fixed point at farm
	Appricability: All farms utilizing surface water (such as water from a river) except as noted in [21]	d. Use the results of 3.1.1b divided by 3.1.1c multiplied by 100 to determine the percent abstraction of the natural water body's flow.	D. Review data to verify that the volume of water abstracted does not exceed 50% of the natural water body's flow immediately above the farm during any month of the year. One annual measurement at point of maximum low flow rate period to demostrate less than 50% water adstraction. The farmer in required to demonstrate historical statistics of what period is defined as "low flow rate".	1			Water abstracted does not exceed 50 % of natural water body flow
Footnote			r flow for the natural water body, and the farm's water use respects that minimum vital flow. Farms would also be exempt if they can				
3.1.2	Indicator: Demonstration that >90% abstracted water is returned to the natural water body	 a. Retain records to show how the farm ensures that > 90% of abstracted water is returned to the natural water body. 		1			Approximate all water is returned to river water, in addito water from groundwater
3.1.2	Requirement: Yes	-	B. During the on-site visit, inspect the water intake and discharge areas to confirm that the farm has means of estimating returned water volume.	1			There is measurement of inlet and outlet water, in addit to authority check of equipment
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.1.3 - Distinction between Surface Water and Underground Pumped Wat	er				
	Indicator: All use of underground pumped water has been permitted by regulatory authorities	 a. Identify any use of underground pumped water by the farm and include in the farm map or diagram (see 1.1.1e and 2.2.1c). 	A. Verify whether the farm uses underground pumped water or not and record this in the audit report.	1			Permission for use of water from underground from Comunede Zoppolo N.Alppn/2/589/IPDF/594
		b. Obtain permits from regulatory authorities.	B. Confirm that the farm has permits for all pumped water (as applicable).	1			See 3.1.3.a
3.1.3	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms utilizing groundwater (such as water from a well)		C. During the on-site visit, inspect groundwater sources (as applicable).	1			Groundwater from six wells, no pumps, inspected
	Indicator: Well depths are tested at least annually, and results	a. Ensure that well tests are conducted at a similar time each year [22] using an appropriate methodology.	A. Review evidence to verify that the farm has wells tested at a similar time each year using an appropriate methodology.	1			Well depths was tested when established
	made publicly available [22]	b. Maintain records of results from all tests of well depth.	B. Confirm that the farm maintains results from tests of well depth.	1			See 3.1.4.a
3.1.4	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms utilizing groundwater (such as water from a well)	c. Make the results from 3.1.4b available publicly (e.g. by posting on the farm's website). Keep records of when and where results were made public.	C. Versly that the farm makes the information from 3.1.4b available to the public and record the testing results in the audit report (public section).		1		The information from the well testing are not available the public
Footnote	[22] Well depths must be tested at similar times of the year, with re	sults submitted to ASC. More detailed methodology will be provided in the Auditing Guidance document.					
terion 3.2	Land-based systems—Water Quality/Effluent					1	
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):	_			

		a. Maintain records showing the amount and type of feeds used during the past 12 months.	A. Verify that farm has records for feeds used over the relevant time period.	1		Type of feeds are recorded and shown, feed records from 2013
		 b. For all feeds used (result from 3.2.1a), keep records showing phosphorus content as determined by chemical analysis or based on feed supplier declaration (Appendix II-A). 	B. Verify that farm has records showing the phosphorus content in feeds.	1		Records of analyses of phosphorous in feed used for smaller fish (P 0,7-0,8) and growing fish (P 0,6-0,7)
	Indicator: Maximum total amount of phosphorus released into the	c. Using equation #1 from Appendix II-A and results from 3.2.1a and b, calculate the total amount of phosphorus added as feed during the last 12 months of production.	C. Confirm that calculations are done according to Appendix II-A.	1		Calculations are done according to Appendix II-A
3.2.1	environment per metric ton (mt) of fish produced over a 12-month period (see methodology in Appendix II-A) Requirement: 5 kg/mt of fish produced over a 12-month period; within three years of publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (7 February 2013), 4 kg/mt of fish produced over a 12- month period	d. Maintain records for stocking, harvest and mortality which are sufficient to calculate the amount of biomass produced (equation #2 in Appendix II-A) during the past 12 months.	D. Verify that the farm maintained all records needed to calculate the amount of biomass produced during the past 12 months.	1		Records for stocking, harvest and mortality for the farm in 2013
	Applicability: All land-based systems	e. Calculate the amount of phosphorus in fish biomass produced (result from 3.2.1d) using equation #3 in Appendix II-A.	E. Confirm that P-content calculations are done according to Appendix II-A.	1		Amount of phosphorus in fish biomass using 0,43 % P in fish produced, are calculated according to Appendix II-A
		f. If applicable, maintain records showing the total amount of P removed as sludge (equation #4 in Appendix II-A) during the past 12 months.	F. As applicable, verify records showing how the farm determined the amount of phosphorus removed from the system as sludge.	1		There has been sludge removal , but not used in calculation of removal of P
		g. Using the formula in Appendix II-A and results from 3.2.1a-f (above), calculate total phosphorus released per ton of fish produced.	G. Review calculations to confirm that the farm does not exceed requirements for total amount of phosphorus released.	1		Calculations of total P is according to requirements, with a result very close to requirement for maximum amount of phosphorus
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.2.2 - Oxygen Saturation in the Outflow				
3.2.2	Indicator: Minimum oxygen saturation in the outflow, measured monthly (see methodology in Appendix II-B)	a. Provide monthly monitoring records of DO percent saturation in outlfow water for the previous 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	A. Review DO dataset to confirm that monitoring covers the required timeframe and that DO was 2 60% for each monthly water sample.	1		There has been regular measurement of DO in outflow water monthly, seen for 2014, as 20.1.2014 68 %, 20.2.2014 95 %, 15.9.2014 77,1 % and 89,1 %
3.2.2	Requirement: 60% [23]	 b. If any single value from 3.2.2a is < 60%, initiate daily continuous monitoring of DO for > 1 week with an electronic probe and recorder. Maintain a record of the results. 	B. If applicable (see results from 3.2.2a), review the farm's results from daily continuous monitoring to verify that DO saturation in the outflow was 2 60% at all times for at least one week.	1		No single value below 60 %
	Applicability: All land-based systems	measurements.	C. During the on-site visit, observe how the farm calibrates equipment and takes DO measurements (or takes samples for chemical analysis) to confirm compliance.	1		DO measurement was observed at farm, using calibrated equipement
Footnote	[23] If a single oxygen reading is below 60 percent, the farm would	need to demonstrate daily continuous monitoring with an electronic probe and recorder for at least a week with a	minimum 60 percent saturation at all times.			
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.2.3 - Macroinvertebrate Surveys				
	Indicator: Macroinvertebrate surveys downstream from the farm's	A. Have a scientific assessment done in the area downstream of the outlet to identify the zone most likely to be impacted by farm discharge. This assessment must consider water mixing and distance from farm outlet.	A. Confirm that the farm used the results from a scientific assessment to determine the location of downstream sampling.	1		There has been a scientific measurement of macro invertebrate at inlet and outlet from farm, last analyze 17.9.2014, Leochemica, result inlet II similar to outlet II
	effluent discharge demonstrate benthic health that is similar to or better than surveys upstream from the discharge (see methodology	b. Prepare a map showing the upstream and downstream transects and sampling stations used for macroinvertebrate surveys (see Appendix II-C).	B. Review map to verify appropriate siting of sampling stations relative to the scientific assessment (see 3.2.3a) and in compliance with Appendix II-C.	1		A map is at farm
3.2.3	in Appendix II-C)	 Collect benthic samples along transects in accordance with Appendix II-C and maintain records of all sample collections. 	C. Confirm that the sample collection followed Appendix II-C.	1		Appendix II-C has been followed
	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All land-based systems	d. Have an accredited laboratory analyze the samples for benthic invertebrate fauna including characterization of species composition, abundance, diversity, and presence of key sensitive indicator species.	D. Confirm that the laboratory used by the farm is accredited for analyses of benthic samples. Review the laboratory results to confirm that the samples of benthic fauna were characterized as required.		1	There is not a accreditation for benthic analyze at Leochemica Lab
		e. Using survey results from 3.2.3d, compare the bentic health of areas downstream from the discharge to those areas upstream of the discharge to assure no change.	E. Review the farm's comparison of upstream and downstream benthic health to comfirm that the farm's conclusions are directly supported by objective evidence from benthic surveys. Verify that surveys show compliance with the requirement.	1		See 3.2.3.a
		areas upstream or the distriarge to assure no change.	supported by objective evacuate from bentine surveys. Verny that surveys show compilative with the requirement.			
			F. Compare how disparity between upstream and downstream benthic health has or hasn't changed through time to determine future surveillance frequency (see instructions).	1		Analysis as requirement
		areas upstream or the description of the biosolids (sludge) Best Management Practices is given in Appendix II-D of the AS	F. Compare how disparity between upstream and downstream benthic health has or hasn't changed through time to determine future surveillance frequency (see instructions).	1		
	Indicator: Evidence of implementation of biosolids (sludge); Best Management Practice (RMPA) (see Ampentis II-1)	Note: Detailed description of the biosolids (sludge) Best Management Practices is given in Appendix II-D of the AS a. Prepare a biosolids (sludge) management plan that addresses all requirements in Appendix II-D.	F. Compare how disparity between upstream and downstream benthic health has or hasn't changed through time to determine future surveillance frequency (see instructions).	1		There is a plan for use of sludge, described in quality system, delivered at own fields
3.2.4	Indicator: Evidence of implementation of bioxidids (sludge); Best Management Practice (BMPs) (see Appendix 8-D) Requirement: Yes	Note: Detailed description of the biosolids (sludge) Best Management Practices is given in Appendix II-D of the AS	F. Compare how disparity between upstream and downstream benthic health has or hasn't changed through time to determine future functions. In the control of	1	1	There is a plan for use of sludge, described in quality system,
3.2.4	Management Practices (BMPs) (see Appendix II-D)	Note: Detailed description of the bioxidids (sludge) Best Management Practices is given in Appendix II-D of the AS a. Prepare a bioxidids (sludge) management plan that addresses all requirements in Appendix II-D. b. Prepare a process flow diagram of the key steps taken to responsibly manage sludge identifying treatment,	Compare how disparity between upstream and downstream benthic health has or hasn't changed through time to determine future jux-retiliance frequency (see instructions). Residunct First Saniands. A. Review the farm's biosolids (sludge) management plan for compliance with Appendix I= D.	1 1 1	1	There is a plan for use of sludge, described in quality system, delivered at own fields There has not been prepared a flow diagram for the

		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.2.5 - Water Quality Monitoring Matrix, Land-Based Systems				
		 a. Conduct ≥ 6 months of water quality monitoring before first audit. Thereafter, monitoring should be part of production practices for certified farms. 	A. Do not schedule the on-site audit until client has monitoring dataset.	1		Water monitoring dataset for more than 6 month is established
			B. Review Matrix to verify that client monitored all four required parameters at the required frequency.	1		The matrix fulfilled for TP, TN, BOD and TSS
	Indicator: Water-quality monitoring matrix completed and submitted to ASC (see Appendix II-B)	 Calibrate all equipment at the frequency and by the method recommended by the manufacturer. Calibrate daily if there is no manufacturer's recommendation. 	C. Verify that client calibrates equipment as required.			NA, analyzes by accredited laboratory, Leochimica
3.2.5	Requirement: Yes	 During the audit of the farm, arrange to conduct water quality monitoring. The auditor will witness water sampling. 	D. Witness the client conducting water quality monitoring.			NA, analyzes by accredited laboratory, Leochimica
	Applicability: All land-based systems	e. Collect water samples and prepare them for shipment to a laboratory (if applicable).	E. Witness the farm collecting water samples or (if applicable) preparing samples to send to an independent laboratory.			NA, analyzes by accredited laboratory, Leochimica
	Applicability. All lattu-based systems	 Perform routine analysis of water samples (i.e. done in the same manner as for previous months of water quality monitoring). 	F. Witness the farm's analyses of water samples or (if applicable) review evidence that the independent laboratory is suitably qualified to perform analyses.			NA, analyzes by accredited laboratory, Leochimica

		g. Record values for each parameter and submit results to CAB.	G.Review the recorded values and examine consistency with the farm's previous results for water quality monitoring.	1			Parameters are consistent
		h. Submit data on water quality monitoring to ASC as per Appendix II-B.	H. Confirm that client has submitted data on water quality to ASC (Appendix II-B).	1			Data was filled in according to Appendix IIB and submitted
****** 2.2	Constitution of Contract Michael Contract Contra		1-1/1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1/1-1-1-1/1-1-1-1/1-1-1/1-1-1-1/1-1-1-1/1-1-1-1/1-1-1-1/1-1-1-1/1-1-1-1/1-1-1-1/1-1-1-1/1-1-1-1-1/1-				to ASC
tenon 3.3 (Cage-Based Systems—Water Quality/Benthic Community						
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions): Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 - Classification of Surface Area of Water Body	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				
	Indicator: For cages located on water bodies with a surface area	a. Determine the surface area of the water body where the farm operates.	A. Review data to confirm that it comes from an accurate and reliable source.			1	
	less than 1,000 km ² , evidence that farm production levels reflect	b. Inform the CAB if results from 3.3.1a indicate that the water body is less than 1,000 km² surface area and	B. Verify that the farm has correctly assigned the water body to a size class. If the water body is ≥ 1,000 km ² then Indicator 3.3.1 does not			•	
	the results of an assimilative capacity study (see Appendix II-E)	proceed to 3.3.1c. Otherwise, go to 3.3.2.	apply.			1	
3.3.1	Requirement: Yes	c. Obtain a documentated assimilative capacity study for the water body where the farm operates. The assimilative capacity study must address all requirements described in Appendix II-E.	C. Review the assimilative capacity study to verify it meets the requirments of Appendix II-E (e.g. appropriateness of model used, scope of investigation, and analyses performed).			1	
	Applicability: Cage-based systems operating on water bodies with a surface area < 1000 km ²	d. Provide evidence that the farm production levels reflect the results of the assimilative capacity study in 3.3.1c.	D. Review the conclusions presented in 3.3.1c to verify that loading from farm production levels does not exceed water body capacity to assimilate.			1	
	Indicator: For cages located on water bodies with a surface area of	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.2 - Water Body Classifications as Type 1, Type 2 or Type 3					
	1,000 km ² or greater, evidence that cages are located at sites that	a. Determine the surface area of the water body where the farm operates (see 3.3.1a). If the surface area is	A. Verify that the farm has correctly assigned the water body to a size class. If the water body is < 1,000 km² then Indicator 3.3.2 does			,	
	are classified as "Type 3" sites, as defined in Appendix II-F	1,000 km² or greater, proceed to 3.3.2b. Otherwise, go to 3.3.1	not apply.			1	
3.3.2	Requirement: Yes	b. Provide evidence that the water body classification was performed by a regulatory agency as required under Appendix II-F. If no regulatory agency has classified the water body, proceed to 3.3.2c.	B. Review the evidence from the regulatory agency to confirm that the site is classified as "Type 3" according to the required methodology (if applicable).			1	
	Applicability: Cage-based systems operating on water bodies with a surface area ≥ 1000 km ²	c. If applicable, hire a qualified independent consultant to analyze and classify the site where the farm operates in accordance with the definitions in Appendix II-F.	C. As applicable, verify that the consultant was suitably qualified and provided a detailed analysis to support the determination.			1	
		•	D. Confirm that actual cage locations are at sites classified as Type 3.			1	
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.3 - Water Quality Monitoring, Cage-Based Systems					
		a. Conduct ≥ 6 months of water quality monitoring before first audit and submit to CAB.	A. Do not schedule the on-site audit until client has monitoring dataset.			1	
	Indicator: Water quality monitoring matrix completed (see Appendix II-G)	 Calibrate all equipment at the frequency and by the method recommended by the manufacturer. Calibrate daily if there is no manufacturer's recommendation. 	B. Verify that client calibrates equipment as required.			1	
3.3.3		c. During the audit of the farm, arrange to conduct water quality monitoring at location of auditor's choice.	C. Witness the client conducting water quality monitoring.			1	
	Requirement: Yes	 e. Collect water samples at the same location as 3.3.3a and obtain analysis from a water quality laboratory at least once annually. 	E. Examine independent analyses performed by an independent laboratory (i.e. not by farm staff) for consistency with farm results for months where duplicate samples taken.			1	
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	f.Assure that values from laboratory are consistent with values obtained from laboratory results. If values differ by >5%, demonstrate how equipment has been recalibrated, replaced, or how procedures have been modified.	F. Examine percent error between farm measurements and auditor measurements. Determine whether ammendments made are sufficient. Auditor is at liberty to request a second set of tests to confirm accurate recalibration.			1	
		g. Submit data on water quality monitoring to ASC as per Appendix II-B.	G. Confirm that client has submitted data on water quality to ASC (Appendix II-B).			1	
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.4 - Establishing a Baseline Total Phosphorus Concentration					
	Indicator: Maximum baseline total phosphorus concentration of the water body (see Appendix II-H)	 a. Provide CAB with a description of the farm's TP monitoring program (e.g. sampling station, sampling protocol, name of laboratory used). 	A. Review farm's description of the TP monitoring program to verify it complies with requirements. Where situations arise with complex modified water bodies (eg: large lakes and/or hydroelectric facilities); resulting in high or variable water depth fluctuations; sites should record with frequent monitoring flow, depth and water quality			1	
3.3.4	Requirement: ≤ 20 μg/l [24]	b. Implement monitoring of TP as described in the instructions for Indicator 3.3.3.	B. During on site visit, observe sample collection, processing, and transport or mailing to the laboratory.			1	
		 Identify the baseline TP concentration of the water body (see Instructions above) and provide the CAB with evidence to show how this value was established. 	C. Review the farm's evidence for establishment of a baseline TP concentration and record the value and rationale in the audit report.			1	
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	d. Provide monthly TP monitoring data to the CAB.	D. Review TP data set for completeness and cross-check against previous monitoring results for consistency.			1	
			E. Review TP monitoring records and verify that no quarterly TP concentration is ≤ 20 μg/l.			1	
Footnote	[24] This concentration is equivalent to the upper limit of the Mesot	trophic Trophic Status classification as described in Appendix II-H.					
	Indicator: Minimum percent oxygen saturation of water 50 centimeters above bottom sediment (at all oxygen monitoring	a. Provide CAB with a description of the farm's oxygen saturation monitoring program (see Indicator 3.3.3).	A. Review farm's description of the oxygen saturation montioring program to verify it complies with requirements.			1	
	locations described in Appendix II-G)	b. Implement monitoring of oxygen saturation according to the methods described above.	B. During on site visit, observe sample collection, processing, and transport or mailing? to the laboratory.			1	
3.3.5		c. Provide oxygen monitoring data to the CAB.	C. Review oxygen saturation data set for completeness and cross-check against independent laboratory results. Raise a non-conformity			1	
	Requirement: ≥ 50%	d. Collect oxygen saturation sample in the presence of an auditor and auditor witnesses transfer to an accredited	for disparities >/= 5%.			•	
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	lab or lab employee.	D. Review oxygen saturation monitoring records and verify that no quarterly value is <50%.			1	
	Indicator: Trophic status classification of water body remains	 Obtain documentary evidence stating the trophic status of water body if previously set by a competent authority (if applicable). If not, got to 3.3.6.b 	A. Verify that farm obtains evidence that the trophic status of the water body has been previously set by a competent authority (as applicable).			1	
3.3.6	unchanged from baseline (see Appendix II-H) Requirement: Yes	b. If the trophic status of the water body has not previously been classified, use the baseline TP concentration (result from 3.3.4c) to assign a trophic status to the water body according to the table in Appendix II-H.	B. Verify that the farm has correctly assigned trophic status to the water body using baseline TP concentration.			1	
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	c. Compare the current trophic status of the water body (results from either 3.3.6a or 3.3.6b) to the trophic status reported in all previous audits. For first audits, this requirement is not applicable.	C. Review the farm's conclusion to verify compliance with the requirement.			1	
	Indicator: Maximum allowed increase in total phosphorus	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.7 - Calculation of Percent Increase in TP from Baseline					

	concentration in lake from baseline	Use the result from Indicator 3.3.4 (above) to identify the baseline TP concentration that will be used to calculate percent change from baseline.	A. Verify that the farm has justification for selecting the TP value to serve as the baseline TP concentration for the water body (as was done for 3.3.4).				1
	Requirement: 25% for water bodies with a surface area of less	 Use the result from Indicator 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 (above) to identify the size of the water body in which the farm operates. 	B. Verify that farm has accurately catergorized the size of the water body.				1
3.3.7	than 1,000 km ² 15% for water bodies with a surface area of 1,000 km ² or greater	c. Use TP monitoring data from the reference station taken over the past 12 months to calculate the current annual average concentration of TP.	C. Verify that farm has accurately calculated the current annual average TP concentration using data from the reference station.				1
	Applicability: All cage-based systems as specifed according to size	d. Calculate the difference between 'baseline TP' and the annual average TP concentration over the most recent 12 months according to the instructions given above.	D. Verify that the farm has made accurate calculation of the percentage difference in TP concentration.				1
	of water body in which the farm operates		E. Confirm that any observed increase in phosphorus concentration falls within the maximum allowed range for the size of water body where the farm operates.				1
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.8 - Calculation of Total Phosphorus Released per Ton of Fish Produced					
		 Maintain records showing the amount and type of feeds used during the past 12 months. 	A. Verify that farm has records for feeds used over the relevant time period.			4	1
	Indicator: Maximum total amount of phosphorus released into the	 b. For all feeds used (result from 3.3.8a), keep records showing phosphorus content as determined by chemical analysis or based on feed supplier declaration (Appendix II-A). 	B. Verify that farm has records showing the phosphorus content in feeds.				1
	environment per metric ton (mt) of fish produced over a 12-month period (see Appendix II-A)	c. Using equation #1 from Appendix II-A and results from 3.3.8a and b, calculate the total amount of phosphorus added as feed during the last 12 months of production.	C. Confirm that calculations are done according to Appendix II-A.				1
3.3.8	Requirement: 5 kg/mt of fish produced over a 12-month period; within three years of publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout	d. Maintain records for stocking, harvest and mortality which are sufficient to calculate the amount of biomass produced (equation #2 in Appendix II-A) during the past 12 months. Value taken from 3.2.1.d	D. Verify that the farm maintained all records needed to calculate the amount of biomass produced during the past 12 months.				1
	Standard (7 February 2013), 4 kg/mt of fish produced over a 12- month period.	e. Calculate the amount of phosphorus in fish biomass produced (result from 3.3.8d) using equation #3 in Appendix II-A.	E. Confirm that P-content/biomass produced calculations are done according to Appendix II-A.				1
		f. If applicable, maintain records showing the total amount of P removed as sludge (equation #4 in Appendix II-A)					
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	during the past 12 months. This compliance criteria valid for flow-through systems but does not apply for cage	F. As applicable, verify records showing how the farm determined the amount of phosphorus removed from the system as sludge. This				1
1		systems.	compliance criteria valid for flow-through systems but does not apply for cage systems.				
		g. Using the formula in Appendix II-A and results from 3.3.8a-f (above), calculate total phosphorus released per ton of fish produced.	G. Review calculations to confirm that the farm does not exceed requirements for total amount of phosphorus released.				1
Criterion 4	Farm health management					-	
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):		-	-	
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				
	Indicator: Presence of a site-specific farm health plan that is reviewed at least annually and addresses biosecurity, veterinary	 a. Prepare a Farm Health Plan (FHP) that is site-specific and addresses biosecurity, veterinary health, crisis management, and risk assessment 	A. Review the farm health plan to confirm that it adequately addresses each of the relevant requirements.	1			Health plan, sanitary veterinary program, dated 5.5.2014 including biosecurity, veterinary health, crisis management and risk assessment
4.1.1	health, crisis management and risk assessment Requirement: Yes	b. Ensure that the FHP is reviewed and updated at least annually with signatures by farm management indicating approval.	B. Verify that farm management approves review and update of the FHP at least annually.	1			Health plan is reviewed and signed by veterinarian
	Applicability: All	 Ensure that the farm's designated veterinarian reviews and approves the FHP annually and after each update of the FHP, by signature. 	C. Confirm that the farm has paperwork showing signature and date of review by designated vetrinarian.	1			see 4.1.1.b
		 Design a set of health status metrics that can be evaluated at all relevant phases of the life history. Have the metrics reviewed and approved by the farm's designated health care professional. 	A. Verify that the farm has designed health status metrics which are reasonable and can be evaluated across the life history. Confirm that the metrics were approved by the farm's designated health care professional.	1			Deliveries are followed by health status, approved by veterinarian
		b. Ensure that the farm's designated health care professional samples fish on-site during an annual inspection and maintains records of conditions using metrics defined by 4.1.2a.	B. Examine the farm's record of conditions from annual inspection by the farm's designated health care professional.	1			Fish are not moved from lower to higher status of health, health status checked from deliveries.
	Indicator: All fish, at all stages in the life cycle, are sourced from a supply that is of equal or better health status than its own stock	c. Ensure that the samples of health condition (from 4.1.2b) are taken from all of the main cohorts in production during each health status inspection .	C. Ensure records of evaluations are taken from all main cohorts in production at the time of the veterinary health care professional's inspection.	1			Samples have been taken from all main cohorts in production
4.1.2	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	d. Prior to accepting a transfer of fish, ensure that the supplier has evaluated fish using the farm's health status metrics in 4.1.2a. Farm's may also use evidence from statutory evaluations (e.g. health certificates) as a basis for accepting transfers provided that the evaluations are appropriately documented.	D. Verify that the farm has evidence of suppliers evaluating fish using the farm's health status metrics prior to accepting transfer. Or, if applicable, verify that the farm reviews evidence from statutory evaluations before accepting transfers.	1			Supplier of fish is from own hatchery, where the same quality system applies
		e. Ensure that responsible farm staff are trained in evaluating condition using health status metrics.	E. Verify that responsible staff understand how to evaluate condition using health status metrics.	1			Responsible staff are fully trained and aware of health system
		The farm shows evidence that inspections by the veterinary health professional include co-scoring of fish condition with farm staff, with comparison for consistency after scoring.	F. Verify that the farm has some form of evidence showing that co-scoring has been calibrated between the veterinary health professional and farm staff.	1			The veterinarian visit farm regularly, veterinarian and staff are calibrated at every visit, as farm staff have evaluated eventually sickness at request of veterinarian
		g. Ensure that farm staff evaluate a subsample of individuals in all shipments. Those not conforming are returned to the supplier with health status metrics recorded.	G. Verify that the farm has evidence of staff evaluating a subsample of individuals for all shipment and that shipments are or are not released as appropriate.	1			Subsample of fish are evaluated for shipments
	Indicator: All fish that are moved off site, at all stages in the life cycle, are moved to a location of equal or lesser health status	 Ensure that receivers evaluate fish health condition using metrics defined by the farm's designated veterinary health specialist (4.1.2a) at the receiving location prior to transfer, and to convey this information prior to transfer. 	A. Verify the farm has evidence that receivers have conveyed condition scores to the farm prior to accepting transfer.	1			Condition scores are known by staff and verified by veterinarian and registered in log book
4.1.3	Requirement: Yes	 Ensure that trained farm staff (4.1.2e) evaluate the health condition of a subsample of individuals prior to moving fish off site. 	B. Verify that appropriately trained staff (as per 4.1.2e) have evaluated health condition and have recorded results prior to out- shipments.	1			Health condition are registered and evaluated by staff
	Applicability: All	c. Ensure that fish are only moved off site if there are records demonstrating that fish health in the receiving location is equal to or less than that in the shipping location.	C. Verify that the farm has a protocol that assures that evaluations show health status in receiving location is equal to or less than that in the shipping location.	1			Health status documented in health protocol
L					1 7		Protocols for site access, disinfection and hygiene protocols,
	Indicator: Site access, disinfection and hygiene protocols are	 a. Prepare written protocols for site access, disinfection and hygiene (these protocols may be incorporated into the Farm Health Plan in 4.1.1a). 	A. Verify that the required protocols exist.	1			rules for visitors seen
4.1.4	written and observed		A. Verify that the required protocols exist. B. Verify that relevant national legislation has been appropriately accounted for in protocols.	1			
4.1.4		the Farm Health Plan in 4.1.1a). b. In the above protocols (4.1.4a) make direct reference to national regulations related to site access,					rules for visitors seen Relevant legislation is accounted for, managed by quality

	Indicator: Biosecure disposal of mortalities and fish trimmings	a. Maintain records for all disposal of fish tissue including mortalities and fish trimmings.	A. Verify that the farm maintains records of all disposal of fish tissue.	1		Dead fish are collected in cooled storage tanks for category 2 materials, and collected regularly by Salgaim Ecologic, seen authorization 12.4.2012, for category 2 material, and delivery september and october 2014
4.1.5	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b. Create a protocol for biosecure disposal of biological tissue and fish trimmings with a rationale explaining how biosecurity is achieved.	Nerify that the farm's protocol provides an adequate rationale to ensure biosecure disposal of mortalities and fish trimmings.	1		Farm protocol for management of waste, include handling of mortalities and fish trimmings, procedure AB 5.2.2 rev 0/R10
		 c. In the above protocol (4.1.5b), make explicit reference to any national regulations related to disposal of biological waste. 	C. Verify that relevant national legislation has been appropriately accounted for in the protocol.	1		Relevant legislation is accounted for
			D. Confirm that relevant staff are aware of nature and intent of protocols through interviews.	1		Staff are aware of management of waste
		Note: On-site investigation of mortality events (4.1.6c) is not required when farms have all mortality events inves	tigated immediately off-site (4.1.6d).			
	Indicator: Immediate investigation of all mortality events on site	Maintain records of all mortalities and identify cause where known, or actions taken if unknown.	A. Verify that the farm maintains relevant records of mortality events including timing of response and investigation of cause.	1		Records of dead fish daily, regular contact with veterinarian of dead fish
	and, in instances where mortality remains unexplained or unattributed, further investigation with fish health professionals off	b. Ensure that the mortality records in 4.1.6a include objective evidence (e.g. time-stamped photographs or similar) showing the farm investigated each unexplained mortality event within 24 hours of detection.	B. Review a sample of records and supporting evidence to confirm that the farm investigated each unexplained mortality event within 24 hours of detection.	1		For mortality of fish, veterinarian is contacted, no unexplained mortality registered
4.1.6	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	c. For investigation of mortality events that are coducted on site, maintain a record of the tests used and the results obtained.	C. Verify evidence of records and methods used on site to investigate mortality events.	1		Mortality registered 13.6.2014 veterinarian contacted, analyzed by Venezia National Institut, prescription of Flumiquine seen. Vaccination of fish against ERM from July 2014
		d. For any mortality events in 4.1.6c where the results were unexplained or unattributed, have a relevant fish health professional perform an off site investigation and keep a record of their opinion as to cause.	D. Verify that farm has a record of opinion from fish health expert for off site investigations of mortality events.	1		No unexplained mortality, besides a daily normal small number of fish, has been recorded
	Indicator: Minimum frequency of inspection of the farm by a designated veterinarian [26] who specializes in aquatic animal	a. Maintain log showing the date of visit, title and affiliation of designated veterinarian.	A. Verify that an inspection log is maintained.	1		Visit from Veterinarian Veterinario Sarti Massimo, two times per week, and local veterinarian from Udine, seen sign and stamp in veterinarian protocol
	health. The inspection must review the farm health plan.	b. Obtain signature from designated veterinarian confirming inspection and date.	B. Verify that inspections frequency is compliant with requirements.	1		See 4.1.7.a
4.1.7	Requirement: ≥1 inspection per year, at a time when the site is in production	c. Maintain on site, a current (within 3 years) CV of the farm's designated veterinarian.	C. Verify that the credentials of the designated veterinarian conform to the definition in Footnote 26.	1		Veterinarian Sarti Massimo, curriculum vitae, certificate veterinarian 1987
	Applicability: All		D. Use feed records to ensure that inspections occurred during production.	1		Production during veterianrian visit verified
Footnote	[26] A designated veterinarian is the professional responsible for her	alth management on the farm who has the legal authority to diagnose disease and prescribe medication. He/she is	expected to have a degree in veterinary medicine and a strong background in fish disease control.			
	Indicator: Evidence that maximum stock density was determined	 a. Include rationale for maximum stock density in the farm health plan (see 4.1.1) that refers to peer reviewed reference material. 	A. Verify that a section is included in the farm health plan that rationalizes stocking density and contains relevant references. Cross-check a sample of the peer-reviewed citations to confirm legitimacy and quality.	1		Maximum density 25 kg/m3 is included in health plan and signed by veterinarian, density is followed daily at every tank
4.1.8	jointly by the designated veterinarian and site management Requirement: Yes	 b. Obtain signatures from the designated veterinarian during annual inspection confirming agreed maximum stocking density. 	B. Verify that the designated veterinarian approved the maximum stocking density at each annual inspection.	1		See 4.1.8.a
	Applicability: All	 Prepare a letter signed by the farm senior manager stating that maximum stock density was determined jointly by the designated veterinarian and site management. 	C. Verify that the farm has a signed letter from the senior manager stating that maximum stock density was determined by the designated veterinarian and site management.	1		Density is agreed by management and veterinarian in protocol
		-	D. Verify through interviews with site manager that he/she was consulted in the decision to determine maximum stock density.	1		Maximum stock density are planned in coordination with farm management and veterinarian
criterion 4.2	Chemicals and treatments					
	It was a second of the second	Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):			
4.2.1	Indicator: Presence of a treatment plan, treatment record book and farm health history that includes a detailed recording of all treatments and all health events on the farm, as well as written veterinary prescriptions and receipts	a. Create requisite protocols which include at a minimum: name of the veterinary health professional prescribing treatment; product name and chemical name (for all therapeutants and antimicrobials); treatment plan and reason for use (specific disease); date(s) of treatment, amount (g) of product used; obasge, quantity of fish treated (mt); WHO classification of any antibiotics; and supplier of chemicals or therapeutants.	A. Verify that farm has a treatment plan and records of all treatments, health events and veterinary prescriptions.	1		There is a treatment plan, visit rapport from veterinarian and records of all treatments in health protocol, this include antibiotic and chemical treatments
	Requirement: Yes	b. Keep required records and receipts outlined in 4.2.1a and assemble them for the last full production cycle.	B. Verify that the farm has receipts that match treatments over a subsample of time and cross-check prescriptions and treatment records against the FHP.	1		Receipt and treatment verified for treatment from 14.7 - 18.8 2014
	Indicator: Use of therapeutic treatments, including antibiotics or other treatments, that are banned under European Union (EU) law	a. Maintain a list of therapeutants (including antibiotics) banned by the EU and update the list no less than annually.	A. Cross-check receipts for treatments/therapeutants and confirm that none are items banned under EU law. If ASC has agreed to maintain a list of relevant therapeutants, farms can demonstrate that they have this list.	1		No therapeutic treatment banned under EU law, from Regulation 37/2010 maximum limits for pharmacologically active substances and prohibitied substances
4.2.2	Requirement: Not permitted	 Ensure that staff responsible for purchasing and administering therapeutants (including antibiotics) are aware of banned therapeutants listed in 4.2.2a. 	B. Verify through interviews with staff that they are aware that the use of therapeutants banned under EU law is not permitted.	1		There is a clear understanding of banned therapeutic, only approved therapeutics are used
	Applicability: All	 Maintain records of voluntary and/or mandatory chemical residue testing conducted or commissioned by the farm from the prior and current production cycles. 	C. As applicable, review results from any voluntary or mandatory chemical residue testing to verify that no EU banned substances were detected.	1		Residue testing verify no use of EU banned chemicals
1		d. Prior to each surveillance audit, provide the auditor with a list of all production lots. The auditor may use the	D. If there is credible reason to suspect that fish have been treated with chemicals banned in the EU, collect tissue samples from at least			This is an initial audit, there is no reason for suspect that fish

		Instructions to Clients for Indicator 4.2.3 - Use of Prebiotic and Probiotic Treatments				
4.2.3	Indicator: Prophylactic use of chemical antimicrobial treatments (excluding prebiotics and probiotics that have been approved by a regulatory process that included a risk assessment) [27]	 a. Inform the CAB if the farm used any prebiotic or probiotic treatments for the last full production cycle and, if applicable, provide chemical names. 	A. Determine if the farm's use of prebiotics or probiotics qualifies for an exclusion (see instructions), verify that the chemical compounds are not banned in the EU, and provide a rationale in the audit report.	1		There is no prophylactic use of chemical antimicrobial treatments, amount of medicated feed not used for a treatment is approved and signed by veterinarian
	Requirement: Not permitted	 Maintain records of all chemical antimicrobial treatments for the last full production cycle as per 4.2.1a and 4.2.1b. 	B. Verify records of treatments and cross-check against purchases and inventories of chemical antimicrobial compounds.	1		Records of treatments seen as purchased
	Applicability: All	 c. Provide records to show that all chemical anitmicrobial treatments identified in 4.2.3b were prescribed by the farm's veterinary health care professional before application. 	C. Review records of antimicrobial treatments and cross-check against prescriptions to verify there is no evidence for prophylactice treatments.	1		The antimicrobial components used, are prescribed by veterinarian
Footnote	[27] The washing of eggs is permitted under this requirement.					
	Indicator: Public disclosure of all antimicrobial treatments used on	 Maintain records of all antimicrobial treatments for the last full production cycle as per 4.2.1b. 	A. Review farm records (4.2.1b) to identify all antimicrobial treatments used for the last full production cycle.	1		Records of treatments in health protocol, verified
4.2.4	the farm Requirement: Yes	b. Make public disclosure of all antimicrobial treatments (4.2.4a) over the last full production cycle (e.g. by publishing information on the farm's website). Optional: farms may choose to disclose information about antimicrobial treatments by completing Appendix VI from the ASC Salmon Standard and then submitting the form to ASC for publication on the ASC website.	B. Verify that the farm has disclosed information about antimicrobial treatments and that the information is readily accessible by the public.		1	There is not a publication about antimicrobial treatments
	Indicator: Proactive vaccination against diseases that present a risk in the region and for which an effective, legally authorized and commercially viable vaccine exists, as determined by the farm's	 Request that the veterinary health professional creates a record listing diseases that present a risk in the region and the relevant, available vaccine (or absence of a suitable vaccine). 	A. Verify that the farm holds a list of the regional diseases that also gives the relevant, available vaccine or states the absence of a suitable vaccine.	1		There is a list of relevant regional diseases, also illustrated with pictures in health protocol. Vaccines from Fatro, Fishva against Lactococcisis and ERM
425	designated veterinarian	b. Maintain a record of all vaccinations administered.	B. Verify that the farm maintains a vaccination record.	1		Vaccine records in health protocol
	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	c. Where the veterinary health professional has listed a disease that does not have a commercially viable vaccine, or a when an existing vaccination has not been administered (for whatever reason), request that the veterinary health professional supplies a written rationale for avoiding vaccination in the vaccination record.	C. If a vaccine exists for a regional disease but was not administered, ensure that the farm's health professional provided a rationale. Consult outside expert for a second opinion if the rationale is unusual or weak.	1		Farm management and veterinarian is updated of vaccine and possibilities
DDINIGIDI E E-	JSE RESOURCES IN AN ENVIRONMENTALLY EFFICIENT AND RESPONSI	DI CAAAANICO				
	raceability and transparency of raw materials in feed	BLE MANNEK				
Criterion 3.1	raceability and transparency of raw materials in Jeeu		,			
Instruction to	Clients and CARs for Auditing Indicators 5.1.1 through 5.4.4 - Sour	Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):			
Instruction to	Clients and CABs for Auditing Indicators 5.1.1 through 5.4.4 - Sour	cing of Responsibly Produced Trout Feeds a. From each feed producer obtain a list of all ingredients representing more than 1% by weight of the feed as	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions): A. Confirm that the farm obtains relevant ingredient lists for all feeds used (also see 5.1.2a).	1		A list of feed ingredients for all feeds used from feed supplier Streeting Italy
Instruction to	Indicator: Evidence of traceability, demonstrated by the feed producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28]	cing of Responsibly Produced Trout Feeds		1		supplier Skretting Italy There is a compliant declaration sent from feed supplier Skretting Italy, where Bureau Veritas Certification declare the feed company to fulfil requirements relevant for feed
	Indicator: Evidence of traceability, demonstrated by the feed producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the	oing of Responsibly Produced Tour Feeds 8. From each feed produce obtain a list of all ingredients representing more than 1% by weight of the feed as specified in Indicator 5.12 (below). b. For all feed ingredients identified in 5.1.1.a, provide copies of third-party documentation showing certified	A. Confirm that the farm obtains relevant ingredient lists for all feeds used (also see 5.1.2a). B. Verify that farm has a copies of certificates from the feed manufacturer demonstrating chain of custody capable of tracing back to	1 1		supplier Skretting Italy There is a compliant declaration sent from feed supplier Skretting Italy, where Bureau Veritas Certification declare the feed company to fulfil requirements relevant for feed producers in ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, valid 12.9.201
5.1.1	Indicator: Evidence of traceability, demonstrated by the feed producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	oing of Responsibly Produced Total Feeds A From each feed producer obtain a list of all ingredients representing more than 1% by weight of the feed as specified in Indicator 5.1.2 (below). b. For all feed ingredients identified in 5.1.1.a, provide copies of third-party documentation showing certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method. C for three ingredients of muritie origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite thrifty-party audit of the feed manufacturer to source tracebility by in 5.1.1b.	A. Confirm that the farm obtains relevant ingredient lists for all feeds used (also see 5.1.2a). B. Verify that farm has a copies of certificates from the feed manufacturer demonstrating chain of custody capable of tracing back to fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method C. Review examples of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance. D. Verify that audit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at the feed manufacturer (if appropriate procedure).	1 1 1 1		supplier Sketting Raly There is a complaint declaration sent from feed supplier Sketting Italy, where Bureau Veritas Certification declare the feed company to fulfi requirements relevant for feed producers in ACK Freshwater Trout Standard, walid 12.9.201- 11.9.2015
5.1.1	Indicator: Evidence of traceability, demonstrated by the feed producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [28] Traceability should be at a level of detail that permits the feed	oing of Responsibly Produced Total Feeds A From each feed producer obtain a list of all ingredients representing more than 1% by weight of the feed as specified in Indicator 5.1.2 (below). b. For all feed ingredients identified in 5.1.1.a, provide copies of third-party documentation showing certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method. C for three ingredients of muritie origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite thrifty-party audit of the feed manufacturer to source tracebility by in 5.1.1b.	A. Confirm that the farm obtains relevant ingredient lists for all feeds used (also see 5.1.2a). B. Verify that farm has a copies of certificates from the feed manufacturer demonstrating chain of custody capable of tracing back to fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method C. Review examples of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance. D. Verify that audit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at the feed manufacturer (if	1 1 1		supplier Skeetting Raly There is a complaint declaration sent from feed supplier Skeetting Italy, where Bureau Veritas Certification declare the feed company to fulfi requirements relevant for feed producers in AGK Freshwater Trout Standard, valid 12.9.201- 11.9.2015 See 5.1.1 b There is not a decision from feed company is Method #2
5.1.1	Indicator: Evidence of traceability, demonstrated by the feed producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	oing of Responsibly Produced Total Feeds A From each feed producer obtain a list of all ingredients representing more than 1% by weight of the feed as specified in Indicator 5.1.2 (below). b. For all feed ingredients identified in 5.1.1.a, provide copies of third-party documentation showing certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method. C for three ingredients of muritie origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite thrifty-party audit of the feed manufacturer to source tracebility by in 5.1.1b.	A. Confirm that the farm obtains relevant ingredient lists for all feeds used (also see 5.1.2a). B. Verify that farm has a copies of certificates from the feed manufacturer demonstrating chain of custody capable of tracing back to fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method C. Review examples of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance. D. Verify that audit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at the feed manufacturer (if appropriate procedure).	1 1 1 1 1		supplier Skeetting Raly There is a complaint declaration sent from feed supplier Skeetting Italy, where Bureau Veritas Certification declare the feed company to fulfi requirements relevant for feed producers in AGK Freshwater Trout Standard, valid 12.9.201- 11.9.2015 See 5.1.1 b There is not a decision from feed company is Method #2
5.1.1 Footnote 5.1.2	Indicator: Evidence of traceability, demonstrated by the feed producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [28] Traceability should be at a level of detail that permits the feed indicator: Presence of a list of all ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	ong of Reponsibly Produced Tour Feeds 5. From each feed produce obtain a list of all ingredients representing more than 1% by weight of the feed as specified in Indicator 5.1.2 (below). b. For all feed ingredients identified in 5.1.1.a, provide copies of third-party documentation showing certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method. c. For three ingredients of marine origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d. For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provide a report from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provide a report from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provides are profit from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provides are profit from an onsite third by any suit of the feed by specific for nonsymptements in this document (i.e., marine raw ingredients must be accompanied by identific fails in names for make the once provide market one manufacturer using benefitying all feed ingredients that make up more than 150 of the feed by specific for nonpany letterhead) identifying all feed ingredients that make up more than 50 of the feed by specific for names for	A. Confirm that the farm obtains relevant ingredient lists for all feeds used (also see 5.1.2a). B. Verify that farm has a copies of certificates from the feed manufacturer demonstrating chain of custody capable of tracing back to fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method C. Review examples of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance. O. Verify that audit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at the feed manufacturer (if applicable). Traced back to the fishery, soy to the region grown, etc.). Feed manufacturers will need to supply the farm with third-party A. Confirm that the producer has lists of ingredients for all feeds using appropriate nomenclature and cross-check a subsample of	1 1 1 1 1 1		supplier Sketting Raly There is a compliant declaration sent from feed supplier Sketting Italy, where Bureau Veritas Certification declare the feed company to fulfi requirements relevant for feed producers in ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, walid 12.9.201119.2015 See 5.1.1 b There is not a decision from feed company is Method #2 Mass balance, to choose for compliance with standard All feed ingredients from feed labels are described in feed six, checked for feed in silo 1P Optiline ME 2P expire date 22.2.2015
5.1.1 Footnote 5.1.2	Indicator: Evidence of traceability, demonstrated by the feed producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [28] Traceability should be at a level of detail that permits the feed indicator: Presence of a list of all ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed Requirement: Yes	ong of Reponsibly Produced Tour Feeds 5. From each feed produce obtain a list of all ingredients representing more than 1% by weight of the feed as specified in Indicator 5.1.2 (below). b. For all feed ingredients identified in 5.1.1.a, provide copies of third-party documentation showing certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method. c. For three ingredients of marine origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d. For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provide a report from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provide a report from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provides are profit from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provides are profit from an onsite third by any suit of the feed by specific for nonsymptements in this document (i.e., marine raw ingredients must be accompanied by identific fails in names for make the once provide market one manufacturer using benefitying all feed ingredients that make up more than 150 of the feed by specific for nonpany letterhead) identifying all feed ingredients that make up more than 50 of the feed by specific for names for	A. Confirm that the farm obtains relevant ingredient lists for all feeds used (also see 5.1.2a). B. Verify that farm has a copies of certificates from the feed manufacturer demonstrating chain of custody capable of tracing back to fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method C. Review examples of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance. D. Verify that audit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at the feed manufacturer (if applicable). Issued back to the fishery, say to the region grown, etc.). Feed manufacturers will need to supply the farm with third-party A. Confirm that the producer has lists of ingredients for all feeds using appropriate nomenclature and cross-check a subsample of ingredient lists against feed bags during or-site inspection.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		supplier Savetting Raly There is a compliant declaration sent from feed supplier Seretting Italy, where Bureau Vertax Certification declare producers in ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, valid 12.9.201- 11.9.2015 See 5.1.1 b There is not a decision from feed company is Method 82 Mass balance, to choose for compliance with standard All feed ingredients from feed labels are described in feed ist, checked for feed in sit 10 POptline Ht 2P expire date 22.2.2015 Feed ingredients from feed labels are described in feed ist, checked for feed in sit 10 POptline Ht 2P expire date 22.2.2015 Feed ingredients from feed labels are described in feed ist, checked for feed in sit 10 POptline Ht 2P expire date 22.2.7015
5.1.1 Footnote 5.1.2	Indicator: Evidence of traceability, demonstrated by the feed producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [28] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All [28] Traceability should be at a level of detail that permits the feed indicator: Presence of a list of all ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	ong of Reponsibly Produced Tour Feeds 5. From each feed produce obtain a list of all ingredients representing more than 1% by weight of the feed as specified in Indicator 5.1.2 (below). b. For all feed ingredients identified in 5.1.1.a, provide copies of third-party documentation showing certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method. c. For three ingredients of marine origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d. For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provide a report from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provide a report from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provides are profit from an onsite third-party suict of the feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach provides are profit from an onsite third by any suit of the feed by specific for nonsymptements in this document (i.e., marine raw ingredients must be accompanied by identific fails in names for make the once provide market one manufacturer using benefitying all feed ingredients that make up more than 150 of the feed by specific for nonpany letterhead) identifying all feed ingredients that make up more than 50 of the feed by specific for names for	A. Confirm that the farm obtains relevant ingredient lists for all feeds used (also see 5.1.2a). B. Verify that farm has a copies of certificates from the feed manufacturer demonstrating chain of custody capable of tracing back to fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method C. Review examples of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance. D. Verify that audit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at the feed manufacturer (if applicable). Traced back to the fishery, soy to the region grown, etc.). Feed manufacturers will need to supply the farm with third-party A. Confirm that the producer has lists of ingredients for all feeds using appropriate nomenclature and cross-check a subsample of ingredient lists against feed bags during or -site inspection. B. During the on-site inspection, cross-check a subsample of ingredient lists against feed bags. Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):	1 1 1 1 1 1		supplier Swetting Raly There is a compiliant declaration sent from feed supplier Setting Italy, where Bureau Vertrax Certification declare supplier in the Setting Italy in the Setting Italy in the Setting Italy producers in ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, valid 12:3.201- 11:9.2015 See 5.1.1 b There is not a decision from feed company is Method #2 Mass balance, to choose for compliance with standard All feed ingredients from feed labels are described in feed list, checked for feed in slid 19 Optime Ht 2P expire date 22:2.2015 Feed ingredients from feed labels are described in feed stream of the Setting Italy Italy Italy Feed ingredients from feed labels are described in feed stream of the Setting Italy Feed ingredients from feed labels from farm are described in

	Indicator: Percentage of fishmeal and fish oil used in feed that comes from fisheries [29] certified under a scheme that is ISEAL-	a. Prepare a policy stating the company's support of efforts to shift feed manufacturers purchases of fishmeal and fish of 10 fisheries certified under a scheme that is an SEAL member and has guidelines that specifically promoter responsible environmental management of small pedicip fisheries. Include supporting text from the relevant portion of the certification scheme showing management unique to small pelagics.	A. Verify that the client's policy supports responsible feed sourcing (e.g. programs at http://www.isselalliance.org/portrait/full%20member).	1		Client's policy supports responsible feed sourcing, seen from 17.9.2014
5.2.1	accredited and has guidelines that specifically promote responsible environmental management of small pelagic fisheries	 b. Prepare a letter stating the farm's intent to preferentially source feed containing fishmeal and fish oil originating from fisheries certified under the type of certification scheme in 5.2.1a and inform all feed suppliers. 	B. Verify that the client has prepared a letter of intent and has notified feed all its suppliers accordingly.	1		Feed supplier has notified feed supplier
3.2.2	Requirement: 10% within three years of publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (7 February 2013) and 100% within five	c. Use feed inventory and feed supplier declarations in 5.1.2a to develop a list of the origin of all fish products used as feed ingredients.	C. Confirm that the farm has sufficient evidence for the origin of all fish products in feed to demonstrate compliance with indicator 5.2.1.	1		See 5.1.1 b
	years Applicability: All	d. Use the list from 5.2.1 to identify which fishmeal and fish oil feed ingredients come from fisheries certified under a scheme that is ISEAL-accredited and has guidelines that specifically promote responsible environmental management of small pelagic fisheries.	D. Confirm that the farm identifies which ingredients are certified as described in 5.2.1d.	1		See 5.1.1 b
		e. Starting 7 February 2016, provide evidence that the volume of certified ingredients (result from 5.2.1d) is ≥ 10% of the total volume of fishmeal and fish oil ingredients (result from 5.2.1c).	E. As of 7 February 2016, review evidence and confirm compliance. Prior to 7 February 2016, 5.2.1e does not apply.		1	
		 Starting 7 February 2018, provide evidence that 100% of fishmeal and fish oil used in feed come from certified fisheries as per 5.2.1d. 	F. As of 7 February 2018, review evidence and confirm compliance. Prior to 7 February 2018, 5.2.1E applies.		1	
Footnote	[29] This standard applies to fishmeal and oil from forage fisheries a					
	Indicator: Prior to 100% achievement of 5.2.1, the Fishsource [30]	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.2.2 - FishSource Score of Products Used in Feed				
5.2.2.	score required for the fisheries from which marine raw material in feed is derived (excluding trimming and by-products)	 a. Provide a FS score for each fish species identified as a feed ingredient (see 5.1.2a) for all feeds used by the farm during the last 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months. 	A. Verify that the farm obtains FS scores for all fish species listed as feed ingredients.	1		See 5.1.1 b
	Requirement: All individual scores ≥ 6, and biomass score ≥ 8	-	B. For a subsample of fish species listed in 5.2.2a, use the FishSource online database to check the validity of the farm's FS scores for the time period within two months of the onsite audit.	1		See 5.1.1 b
Footnote	[30] Fishsource scores and their methodology are available here: http://doi.org/10.1001/j.j.com/pipers/10.1001/j.j	p://www.fishsource.org/site. While the score must be counted using Fishscore methodology, Fishsource itself does	not need to calculate the score.			
	Indicator: Prior to 100% achievement of 5.2.1, demonstration of	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.2.3 - Third-Party Verification of Traceability				
5.2.3	chain of custody and traceability for fisheries products in feed through an ISEAL-accredited or ISO 65-compliant certification	 a. Obtain from the feed supplier documentary evidence that the origin of all fishmeal and fish oil used in the feed is traceable via a third-party verified chain of custody or traceability program. 	A. Review evidence and confirm that a third party verified chain of custody or traceability program was used for the fishmeal and fish oil.	1		See 5.1.1 b
3.2.3	scheme that incorporates the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization's "Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries"	 Ensure that all species within the scope of the chain of custody or traceability program align with fish meal and fish oil ingredients used in the farm's feeds (consistent with 5.2.2.a and 5.3.1.a). 	B. Verify that the scope of the chain of custody audit matches ingredient lists for feeds.	1		See 5.1.1 b
	Indicator: Evidence that by-product feed ingredients do not come	Note: Instructions for searching the IUCN database are given under Indicator 2.1.3.				
	from fish species that are categorized as vulnerable [31], endangered or critically endangered according to the IUCN Red List	a. Compile and maintain a list (as per 5.3.1a below) of the fishery of origin for all fishmeal and fish oil originating from by-products and trimmings.	A. Review list and for consistency with 5.3.1a.	1		See 5.1.1 b
5.2.4	of Threatened Species [32] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except as noted in 31	b. For each by-product species (5.2.4a) that is an ingredient of any feed used during the last 12 months, search the IUOX database to determine if it is categorized as vulnerable, endangered, or criticatily endangered. For first audits, farm records must cover 2.6 months.	B. Confirm that the farm has identified all byproducts and cross-check a subsample of species to verify their IUON Red List categorization.	1		See 5.1.1 b
Footnote	[31] An exception is made for sub-populations of "vulnerable" specie	s that can demonstrate healthy populations through a fishery certified by the Marine Stewardship Council, or app	roved by the technical committee of the IFFO Responsible Sourcing standard.			
Footnote	[32] The IUCN reference can be found at http://www.iucnredlist.org					
Criterion 5.3	Dependency on wild-caught marine ingredients in feed [33]					
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):			
Footnote	[1] The Forage Fish Depending Ratio requirement in Principle 5 is cal					
Footnote	[33] The FFDR requirements are calculated for fish weighing 30 gram				_	
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.3.1 - Calculation of Fish Meal FFDR				
		a. Maintain a detailed inventory of the feed used including:				
	Indicator: Fishmeal Forage Fish Dependency Ratio (FFDRm) for	- Quantities used of each formulation (kg);				
	grow-out (calculated using formulas in Appendix III, subsection 1)	- Percentage of fish oil in each formulation used;				The detailed inventory of the feed used is controlled and
5.3.1	L	- Source (fishery) of fish oil/EPA/DHA in each formulation used;	A. Verify completeness of records and that values are stated in a declaration from the feed manufacturer.	1		verified
	Requirement: <1.5	- Percentage of oil in each formulation derived from trimmings; and				
	Applicability All	- Supporting documentation and signed declaration from feed supplier.				

	[oppingumity, cu						
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	 Calculate FFDRm using formulas in Appendix III. Exclude fish meal derived from rendering of seafood by- products (e.g. the "trimmings" from a human consumption fishery). 	B. Verify that relevant calculations were done correctly, byproducts were excluded in calculations and confirm the value complies with the standard. Include in public audit report.	1			Calculation of FFDRm is done according to appendix III an fulfill requirement <1.5
e: Farms	are allowed select one of two options (Option A or Option B) to demo		the standard. Include in public addit report.				Idilli requirement <1,3
	Option A	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.3.2 Option A - Calculation of Fish Oil FFDR					
		 a. Inform the CAB whether the farm choses Option A or Option B to show compliance. If Option A is selected, proceed directly to 5.3.2b below. Otherwise, skip to Option B in the next section. 	A. Record which option the client chose and proceed to evaluate compliance with the applicable set of compliance criteria.	1			Option A is used for calculation of FFDRo
	Indicator: Compliance with the following requirement:	b. Maintain a detailed inventory of the feed used as specified under 5.3.1a.	B. Verify completeness of records as done for 5.3.1A.	- 1			See 5.3.1.a
	Fish Oil Forage Fish Dependency Ratio (FFDRo) for grow-out	b. Walliam a detailed invertory of the reed and as specified affect 5.5.24.	b. Petry completings of records as done for 3.3.24.	-			arct. districts
5.3.2 Option A	Calcalated using formulas in Appendix III, subsection 1) c Requirement: \$2.95 Applicability: All, but note that farms may choose to demonstrate compliance with either Option A or Option B under Indicator 5.3.2.	c. Calculate FFORo using formulas for eFCR value as given in Appendix III .	C. Verify that relevant calculations were done correctly, by-products were excluded in calculations and confirm the value complies with the requirement. Include in public audit report	1			Calculation of FFDRo is done according to appendix III. fulfill requirement <2,95
	Option B	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.3.2 Option B - Calculation of EPA and DHA in Feed					
5.3.2	Indicator: Compliance with the following requirement:	a. Inform the CAB whether the farm choses Option A or Option B to show compliance. If Option B is selected, proceed directly to 5.3.2b below. Otherwise, return to Option A in the previous section.	A. Record which option the client chose and proceed to evaluate compliance with the applicable set of compliance criteria.			1	
Option B	Maximum level of EPA/DHA content from marine sources as a	b. Maintain a detailed inventory of the feed used as specified under 5.3.1a.	B. Verify completeness of records as done for 5.3.1A.			1	
	percentage of fatty acids in the feed (excluding EPA/DHA from trimmings and by-products)	c. Calculate EPA/DHA percentage using formula in Section 2 of Appendix III.	C. Verify that relevant calculations were done correctly, by-products were excluded in calculations and confirm the value complies with the requirement. Include in public audit report			1	
terion 5.4 I	Responsible origin of non-marine raw materials in feed						
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):				
		Note: In determining whether the policies of a feed manufacturer fulfill the requirements of Indicator 5.4.1, the	CAB may also consider evidence such as certificates issued by independent third-parties against relevant requirements covering				
	Indicator: Presence and evidence of a responsible sourcing policy for the feed manufacturer for feed ingredients that comply with internationally recognized moratoriums and local laws [34]	a. Compile and maintain a list of all feed suppliers with contact information (see also 5.1.1a).	A. Review feed supplier list and cross-check against feed purchases (see also 5.1.1a).	1			Feed is supplied from Skretting Italy
5.4.1	Requirement: Yes	 b. Obtain from each feed manufacturer a copy of the manufacturer's responsible sourcing policy for feed ingredients showing how the company complies with recognized crop moratoriums and local laws [34]. 	B. Review policies from each feed supplier to confirm required sourcing policy is in place.	1			Feed supplier policy, confirm responsible sourcing of for ingredients
	Applicability: All	 Obtain copies of third-party audits of feed suppliers (5.1.1) and confirm that these show evidence that supplier's responsible sourcing policies are implemented. 	C. Verify that the scope of third-party audits of feed suppliers includes review of policies and evidence of implementation.	1			Feed supplier policy has been audited, See 5.1.1 b
ootnote	[34] Specifically, the policy shall include that vegetable ingredients,	or products derived from vegetable ingredients, must not come from the Amazon Biome as geographically defined	d by the Brazilian Soya Moratorium.				
	Indicator: Percentage of soy ingredients that are certified by the Roundtable on Responsible Soy, or equivalent [35]	Prepare a letter stating the farm's intent to source 100% of its feed containing soya certified under the Roundtable for Responsible Soy (RTRS) or equivalent by 7 February 2013.	A. Obtain a copy of the client's letter of intent.	1			Feed supplier policy, confirm responsible sourcing of fe ingredients, this includes use of soya certified under the Roundtable for Responsible Soy (RTRS) or equivalent by February 2013.
5.4.2	Requirement: 100% within five years of publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013	 Notify feed suppliers of the farm's intent (5.4.2a) and keep record of confirmation (letter of recognition) from supplier that they have received the farm's letter of intent. 	B. Verify letter of recognition from supplier.	1			Farms letter of intend received
	Applicability: All	c. Obtain and maintain declarations from all feed suppliers detailing the origin of soya in the feeds.	C. Confirm that the farm has sufficient evidence for the origin of soya products in feeds to demonstrate compliance with indicator 5.4.2 after 7 February 2013.	1			See 5.1.1 b
		d. Starting 7 February 2013, provide evidence that soya used in feed is certified by the RTRS or equivalent [77].	D. As of 7 February 2013 review evidence and confirm compliance. Prior to 7 February 2013, 5.4.2d does not apply.			1	Will be completed within 5 years after publication of standard
ootnote	[35] The technical governance structure of the ASC must approve an						·
	Indicator: Disclosure by the feed supplier of any ingredients that	Instruction to Clients and Auditors for Indicator 5.4.3 - Disclosure of Feed Ingredients Containing Transgenic	Plant Material				
5.4.3	contain more than 0.9% transgenic [36] plant material	 a. Obtain from feed suppliers a disclosure detailing all plant material used as feed ingredients (i.e. soya and others plants) and specify which of these ingredients contains >0.9% transgenic plant material by weight. 	A. Review feed supplier declarations to confirm that all suppliers have made a disclosure identifying any ingredient containing 0.9% transgenic plant material.	1			See 5.1.1 b
	Requirement: Yes						
ootnote	[30] Transgenic: Containing genes aftered by insertion of DNA from	an unrelated species; this involves taking genes from one species and inserting them into another species to get the Note: for the purposes of Indicator 5.4.4, the direct purchaser or 'buyer' is considered to be the person or entity					
	Indicator: Disclosure by the farm to the direct purchasers of its		who makes payment to the producer in exchange for possession or narvested fish.				
	harvested fish of any feed ingredients that have contained more than 0.9% transgenic material	a. For feeds with ingredients containing > 0.9% transgenic plant material (i.e. those feeds specified in 5.4.3a), ensure that the farm can identify any harvested fish that were fed such products. If no such feeds were identified in 5.4.3a, then Indicator 5.4.4 is not applicable.	A. If applicable based on results of 5.4.3a, verify that the farm has a robust method for identifying harvested fish that were reared using said feeds.			1	No use of GM material in feed

5.4.4	Requirement: Yes	b.If applicable, prepare and maintain a current list of all buyers who purchase fish directly from the farm. The list must include contact details of buyers.	B. Review the farm's list of buyers and cross-check with sales records and invoices (as applicable).				1	No use of GM material in feed
	Applicability: All	c. If applicable to harvested fish (see 5.4.4a), disclose to buyers (5.4.4b) any feeds used with ingredients containing 9.0.9% transgenic plant material. Maintain documentary evidence of disclosures. For first audits, farm records of disclosures must cover > 6 months.	C. Verify evidence that the farm disclosures to all buyers information about transgenic feeds. Cross-check the plant material list from feed supplier (5.4.3.a) to see that all transgenic plant ingredients were disclosed.				1	No use of GM material in feed
Criterion 5.5	Energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions (on farm)	records or disclosures must cover > 6 months.						
Criterion 5.5	Energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions (on jumn)	Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):					
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.5.1 - Energy Use Assessment	Auditor Evaluation (Required Orb Actions).				+	
	Indicator: Presence of records and evidence of all energy consumption on the farm (including electric power and fuels) and	a. Maintain records for all energy consumption on the farm by source (fuel, electricity) throughout the year.	A. Verify that the farm maintains records for energy consumption.	1				There is maintained records for energy consumption, for fuel and electricity, seen for 2013. The farm has a net production of energy as electricity is produced at farm
5.5.1	evidence of an energy use assessment of on-farm energy consumption	 b. Use results from 5.5.1a and relevant conversion factors to calculate the farm's total energy consumption in kilojoules (kj) during the last 12 months. 	B. Review the farm's calculations for total energy use and cross-check against farm records for energy consumption.	1				Farms calculation Energy, for total energy using calculation from electricity and diesel fuel, resulting in KJ per produced tons of fish
	Requirement: Yes, measured in kilojoule/mt fish/year	c. Calculate the total weight of fish produced (in metric tons, mt) during the last 12 months.	C. Cross-check the farm's reported annual production against other farm data sets (e.g. harvest counts, escapes, and mortalities) to confirm accuracy (see 2.5.4 and 4.1.5).	1				Total weight of fish verified
	Applicability: All	 d. Use the results of 5.5.1b divided by the results of 5.5.1c to calculate energy consumption on the farm in kilojuoule/mt fish/year. 	D. Review the farm's energy use calculations to confirm accuracy and completeness.	1				Calculation of energy kJ/ton/year verified
		e. Provide the CAB with evidence that the farm has had an energy use assessment (see Instructions above) within the last 12 months.	E. Verify that the farm has had an energy use assessment.	1				Farm has an energy use assessment continously
Criterion 5.6	Non-therapeutic chemical inputs							
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):					
5.6.1	Indicator: Percentage of combustibles contained in waterproof bunds	a. Maintain a written list of all combustibles on the farm.	A. Verify that the farm has a complete list of combustibles on the premises.	1				Total list of all combustibles and chemicals at chemical storage, described in procedure AB 5.2.2 rev 01/R10
3.0.1	Requirement: 100% Applicability: All	b. Ensure that all combustibles are stored in waterproof bunds.	B. Verify the storage locations of combustibles with responsible staff and confirm that combustibles are stored in waterproof bunds during the on-site inspection.	1				Storage of combustibles as diesel oil and lubricating oil for machines, list of chemicals in original containers i locked room
562	Indicator: Percentage of chemicals stored in impermeable containers or buildings	a. Maintain a detailed list of all chemicals or therapeutants on the farm.	A. Verify that the farm has a complete list of chemicals and therapeutants on the premises.	1				List of chemicals for chemicals used in Fish Health Plan
3.0.2	Requirement: 100%	b. Ensure that all chemcials or therapeutants are stored in impermeable containers or buildings.	B. Verify the storage locations of chemicals with responsible staff and confirm during the on-site inspection that all chemicals or therapeutants are stored in impermeable containers or buildings.	1				Storage location for chemicals is appropriate
5.6.3	Indicator: Percentage of used lubricants recycled or turned over to a waste management company Requirement: 100%	 Prepare a written policy explaining how used lubricants are recycled or turned over to a waste management company. If no waste management company exists, obtain a signed letter from the government agency in charge of waste disposal at the provincial/state level as confirmation. 	A Marie Control of the Control of th	1				Waste policy is implemented, waste is handled as regulation and sorted in plastic, metal, toner, carton, mix plastic and paper and machine and transported by special authorized companies
	Applicability: All	b. Where waste is collected by a waste management company, maintain receipts of payment for services.	B. Verify that the farm has records of payment to waste management company.	1				Payment for each delivery, seen ok
5.6.4	Indicator: Percentage of chemical containers reused or turned over to a waste management company Requirement: 100%	a. Prepare a written policy explaining how the chemical containers are reused or turned over to a waste management company. If no waste management company exists, obtain a signed letter from the government agency in charge of waste disposal at the local level as confirmation that neither public nor private waste disposal services are available.	A. Verify policy with responsible staff and observe waste containers in use during the on-site inspection. Or, examine letter of confirmation if relevant.	1				Farm protocol for management of waste
	Applicability: All	 b. Where containers are re-used, maintain records of chemical purchases and demonstrate tallied alignment against the number of containers in re-use/re-cycled. 	B. Verify container tally based on record of chemical purchases versus containers in use/re-cycled.	1				Reused containers are registered
	Applicability. All		C. Verify that the farm has records of disposal or payment to waste disposal company.	1				Records of waste ok
	Indicator: Percentage of non-hazardous, non-recyclable wastes	a. Prepare a written farm policy explaining how and which non-hazardoux, non-recyclable wastes are turned over to a waste management company or buried on riste. If no waste management company exists, obtain a signed letter from the government agency in charge of waster disposal at the local level as confirmation that neither public nor private waste disposal services are available.	A. Verify farm policy with responsible staff and examine handling of non-hazardous, non-recyclable wastes during the on-site inspection. Or, examine letter of confirmation if relevant.	1				Farm protocol for management of waste, include handling of non-hazardous, non-recyclable wastes
5.6.5	indicator: Percentage or non-nazarobox, non-recyclable wastes turned over to a waste management company or landfill [37] Requirement: 100% Applicability: All	b. For on-site burial of waste, show that an outside expert (hirrd groundwater or geology consultant with minimum of five years experience and university degree, or academic groundwater geologist) has signed a letter affirming that waste burial pose on risk of ortamination to surface and underground waters. Maintain CV of outside expert on file for possible inspection.	B. Verify that farm has letter affirming lack of impacts to freshwater due to buried waste protocols by an expert with the stated credentials.				1	No burial of waste on site
	Аррисавиту: Ан	 c. Include a statement in the farm waste disposal policy (5.6.5a) which prohibits the burning of non-hazardous, non-recyclable wastes. 	C. Verify that burning is covered in the farm policy. During the audit, inspect the farm to verify there is no evidence of burning waste materials (not allowed).				1	No burning of waste material
		d. Where waste is collected by a waste management company, maintain receipts of payment for services.	D. Verify that the farm has records of payment to waste disposal company.	1				Records of payments to authorized companies
		e. Where waste collection is a public service, show schedule of collections.	E. Verify waste collection schedule.	1				Waste collection schedule for all materials
Footnote	[37] In case of absence of a managed landfill in the area, farms are a	allowed to bury non-hazardous solid wastes on site, provided all precautions have been taken to prevent the conta	mination of surrounding surface and underground waters. Wastes that are not biodegradable must not be burned on site because of the		1	1	1 -	
	Indicator: Demonstration that a farmer is aware of recycling facilities that are accessible to the farm and demonstration of a	 a. Provide a list of the three closest recycling facilities for relevant farm products (regardless of how far away these may be). Provide the auditor with contact information! for the local waste management agency. 	A. Contact the local waste management agency to determine accessibility of the three dosest recycling facilities that were identified by the farm.	1				List of authorized companies for waste management
5.6.6	commitment to use those facilities	b. Prepare a written statement articulating the farm's commitment to recycle waste from production.	B. Review the farm's statement of commitment to use those recycling facilities that are accessible to the farm.	1				Recycling of materials is described in Farm protocol for management of waste, OP 7.5.7.A
	Requirement: Yes	 c. Provide a description of the types of production waste materials and how these are either disposed of, or recycled. 	C. During the on-site visit, interview relevant staff and make direct observations to confirm that farm recycling procedures are implemented.	1				Staff are fully aware of recycling procedures
	Applicability: All	 d. Inform CAB of any infractions or fines for improper waste disposal received during the previous 12 months and corrective actions taken. 	D. Review infractions and corrective actions, if any.	1				

PRINCIPLE 6: Criterion 6.1	BE SOCIALLY RESPONSIBLE Child labor			-	
CACADA 0.2	and Now	Compliance Criteria			
	Indicator: Number of incidences of child [38] labor [39]	Note: In most countries, the law states that minimum age for employment is 15 years. There are two possible exceptions:			
6.1.1	Requirement: None	a. Minimum age of permanent workers is 15 or older (except in countries as noted above).	1		No worker observed below minimum legal age (16 years in Italy).
	Applicability: All	b. Employer maintains age records for employees that are sufficient to demonstrate compliance.	1		Employer maintains copy of ID document for each worker upon hiring, including migrant workers.
	[38] Child: Any person under 15 years of age. A higher age would ap	ply if the minimum age law of an area stipulates a higher age for work or mandatory schooling.			
Footnote	[39] Child labor: Any work by a child younger than the age specified [40] Young worker: Any worker between the maximum age of a child	in the definition of a child. d. as defined above, and under the age of 18.			
Footnote	[41] Hazard: The inherent potential to cause injury or damage to a p	erson's health (e.g., being unequipped to handle heavy machinery safely and unprotected exposure to harmful chemicals). Hazardous work: Work that, by its nature or circumstances in which it is carried out, is likely to harm the health, safety or morals			
Criterion 6.2	orced, bonded or compulsory labor	Compliance Criteria			
		Umpane Citera			
		a. Contracts are clearly stated and understood by employees. Contracts do not lead to workers being indebted (i.e. no 'pay to work' schemes through labor contractors or training credit programs).	1		Employment contracts are based on standard practice for direct emplyment and make reference to applicable collective bargaining agreement for agricultural sector.
		b. Employees are free to leave workplace and manage their own time.	1		Workers interviews and observations during audit confirm freedom of movement.
	Indicator: Number of incidences of forced [42], bonded [43] or compulsory labor	c. Employer does not withhold employee's original identity documents.	1		No original identity documents found in personnel files. Workers interviewed confirmed this.
6.2.1	Requirement: None				
	Applicability: All	d. Employer does not withhold any part of workers' salaries, benefits, property or documents in order to oblige them to continue working for employer.	1		No deductions found or other means to force workers into staying at the job, as confirmed during interviews.
Ī		e. Employees are not to be obligated to stay in job to repay debt.	1		No evidence of debts to the employer. Employer provides housing to some workers free of charge, as confirmed by workers during interviews.
		f. Maintain payroll records and be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the above.	1		Payroll records available and reviewed on a sample basis, also confirmed with workers during interviews.
Footnote	[42] Forced (Compulsory) Labor: All work or service that is extracted	from any person under the menace of any penalty for which a person has not offered himself/herself voluntarily or for which such work or service is demanded as a repayment of debt. "Penalty" can imply monetary sanctions, physical punishment or			
	[43] Bonded labor: When a person is forced by the employer or cred discrimination [44] in the work environment	tior to work to repay a financial debt to the crediting agency.			
		Compliance Criteria			
Footnote	[44] Discrimination: Any distinction, exclusion or preference that has	the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment. Not all distinction, exclusion or preference constitutes discrimination. For instance, a merit- or performance-based pay increase or bonus is not, by itself, discriminatory. Positive			
		a. Employer has written anti-discrimination policy in place, stating [45] the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political affiliation, age or any other condition that may give rise to discrimination.	1		Company Policy for Social Responsibility dated 14/06/2014 available and posted for the prevention of discrimination, affixed on notice boards. Company workforce include italian and non-italian workers, men and women.
6.3.1	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice[45] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discrimination complaints.	1		Company Policy for Social Responsibility for the prevention of discrimination, includes procedure for how to file and manage a complaint. The company is very small and there is a lamily-like relationship with management.
	Applicability. All	c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotions and raises.	1		The company applies collective bargaining agreement which requires equal treatment for jobs of equal value.
		d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non-discrimination training, Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective.	1		Considering the small size of the company, direct communication and information proves effective on applicable policies and procedures.
Footnote	[45] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies stating	the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political			
6.3.2	Indicator: Number of incidences of discrimination Requirement: None	a. Employer maintains a record of all discrimination complaints. These records do not show evidence for discrimination.	1		No evidence of discrimination complaints. Interviews do not reveal issues. Migrant workers interviewed all confirmed good relationships and satisfaction with work conditions.
	Applicability: All	b. Be advised that worker testimonies will be used to confirm that the company does not interfere with the rights of personnel to observe tenets or practices, or to meet needs related to race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political affiliation or any other condition that may give rise to discrimination.	1		Workers interviewed did not raise any discrimination issue, including non-italian nationals and female workers.
Criterion 6.4	Nork environment health and safety	Compliance Criteria			
		a. Employer has documented practices, procedures (including emergency response procedures) and policies to protect employees from workplace hazards and to minimize risk of accident or injury. The information shall be available to employees.		1	Mandatory risk assessment documentation was available (DVR dated 16/05/14). Emergency plan also available and posted. Workers have not indicated a representative on matters of health and safety. Employer has failed to appoint a "terriforal" representative.
	Indicator: Percentage of workers trained in health and safety practices, procedures and policies	b. Practices, policies and procedures are regularly revised to address workplace hazards that were identified in risk assessments (see indicator 6.4.5, risk assessents revised at least annually).	1		Risk assessment revised or confirmed annually in the last
6.4.1	Requirement: 100%	c. Employees know and understand emergency response procedures.	1		two years through safety meetings. Emergency response procedures are confirmed with worker
	Applicability: All	d. Employee conducts health and safety training for all employees on a regular basis (once a year and immediately for all new employees), including training on potential hazards and risk minimization, Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) and effective use of PPE.		1	at annual meetings. Annual training on H&S performed, last records from June and July 2014. This training has been delivered by the company safety manager and therefore is not evidence of compliance with requirements set by Italian antional law in terms of duration, topics, tutor qualification, etc.
	Indicator: Evidence that health- and safety-related accidents are recorded and corrective actions are taken	a. Employer records all health- and safety-related accidents.	1		Records of all health and safety accidents maintained as required by law. 2 minor injuries recorded in 2014.
6.4.2	Requirement: Yes	b. Employer maintains complete documentation for all occupational health and safety violations.	1		Records of all health and safety accidents maintained as
	Applicability: All	c. Employer implements corrective action plans in response to any accidents that occur. Plans are documented and they include an analysis of root cause, actions to address root cause, actions to remediate, and actions to prevent future accidents of	1		required by law. Issues are analysed during safety meetings.
l	Applicability. All	d. Employees working in departments where accidents have occurred can explain what analysis has been done and what steps were taken or improvements made.	1		

6.4.3	Indicator: Proof of company accident insurance covering employee costs stemming from a job-related accident or injury when not covered under national law Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	a. Employer maintains documentation to confirm that all personnel are provided sufficient insurance to cover costs related to occupational accidents or injuries (if not covered under national law). Equal insurance coverage must include temporary, migrant or foreign workers. Written contract of employer responsibility to cover accident costs is acceptable evidence in place of insurance.	1			All workers are covered by national mandatory insurance (INAIL).
	Indicator: Workers use and have access to appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE)	a. Employer maintains a list of all health and safety hazards (e.g.: chemicals).	1			Company's H&S risk assessment documents include a list of hazards to workers. A list is available of chemical substance used and their risks. MSDS also available.
6.4.4	Requirement: Yes	b. Employer provides workers with PPE that is appropriate to known health and safety hazards.	1			PPE issued to workers include goggles and gloves for
	Applicability: All	c. Employees receive annual training in the proper use of PPE (see 6.4.1d).	1	+		chemical handling, gloves for cutting, safety shoes. Annual training on H&S include use of PPE
	Applicability: All	d. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the above.	1			Workers interviewed confirmed training, availability of PP and company monitoring on their use
6.4.5	Indicator: Evidence of a health and safety assessment of site facilities and processes Requirement: Yes	a. Employer makes regular assessments of hazards and risks in the workplace. Risk assessments are reviewed and updated at least annually (see also indicator 6.4.1).			1	H&S risk assessment performed and updated or confirmed annually during mandatory safety meeting. The employer however has not assessed if occupational health surveillar of workers is required.
		b. Employees are trained in how to identify and prevent known hazards and risks (see also 6.4.1d).	1			Annual periodical training by safety manager to workers.
	Applicability: All	c. Health and safety procedures are adapted based on results from risk assessments (above) and changes are implemented to help prevent accidents.	1	+		+
riterion 6.5	Wages			=		
	T	Compliance Criteria		+		
		a. Employer keeps documents to show the legal minimum wage in the country of operation. If there is no legal minimum wage in the country, the employer keeps documents to show the industry-standard minimum wage.	1			Company applies collective bargaining agreement setting wage levels. Information is publicly available.
	Indicator: The percentage of employees who are paid a basic needs wage (46).	b. Employer's records (e.g. payroll) confirm that worker's wages for a standard work week (s 48 hours) always meet or exceed the legal minimum wage. If there is no legal minimum wage, the employer's records must show how the current wage meets or exceeds industry standard. If wages are based on piece-rate or pay-per-production, the employer's records must show how workers can reasonably attain (within regular working hours) wages that meet or exceed the legal minimum wage.	1			Records indicate that company applies wage rates set by applicable collective bargaining agreement for the agricultural sector. Copy of CBAs available at the company
6.5.1	Requirement: 100%	Employer maintains documentary evidence to show compilance (e.g. payroll, timesheets, punch cards, production records, and/or utility records). Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the above.	1			Payroll records maintained as required by Italian national law.
	Applicability: All	d. Proof of employer engagement with workers and their representative organizations, and the use of cost of living assessments from credible sources to assess basic needs wages. Includes review of any national basic needs wage recommendations from credible sources such as national universities or government.	1			Company applies wage rates set by applicable collective bargaining agreement for the agricultural sector. CBA is considered adequate to meet basic needs of workers.
		e. Employer has calculated the basic needs wage for farm workers and has compared it to the basic (i.e. current) wage for their farm workers.	1			Collective bargaining agreement provides for higher rates than basic needs wage.
		f. Employer demonstrates how they ensure paying a basic needs wage to their workers.	1			Collective bargaining agreement provides for higher rates than basic needs wage.
Footnote	[46] Basic needs wage: Enables workers to support the average-sized	family above the poverty line, based on local prices near the workplace. Basic needs include essential expenses (e.g., food, clean water, clothes, shelter, transportation and education), a discretionary income, as well as legally mandated social benefits				
	Indicator: Evidence of transparency in wage setting	a. Wages and benefits are clearly articulated to workers and documented in contracts.	1			Contracts make reference to the applicable CBA setting o wage details and employment conditions.
6.5.2	Requirement: Yes	b. The method for setting wages is clearly stated and understood by workers.	1			Wages are defined by the applicable CBA depending on jo
	Applicability: All	c. Employer renders wages and benefits in a way that is convenient for the worker (e.g. cash, check, or electronic payment methods). Workers do not have to travel to collect benefits nor do they receive promissory notes, coupons or merchandisse in lieu	1	+		role and clearly communicated to workers. Wages are rendered through bank transfer.
		d. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the above.	1			Confirmed by workers during interviews.
Footnote	[47] A legal minimum wage will be considered a basic needs wage if Access to freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining	It is set in a manner consistent with the intent of ensuring basic needs are met. In instances where there is no legal minimum wage, or a legal minimum that is not set in the spirit of a basic needs wage, the auditor must determine an appropriate proxy (18)		+		+
		Compliance Criteria				
Footnote	[48] Bargain collectively: A voluntary negotiation between employer	s and organizations of workers to establish the terms and conditions of employment by means of collective (written) agreements.				
		a. Workers have the freedom to join any trade union, free of any form of interference from employers or competing organizations set up or backed by the employer.	1			No interference from management on rights to freedom o association observed through review of records and interviews to workers. Some workers are members to a trade unnion.
6.6.1	Indicator: Incidences of employees denied freedom to associate, the ability to bargain collectively or denied access to representatives, or representative organizations, chosen by workers	b. Union representatives are chosen by workers without managerial interference. ILO specifically prohibits "acts which are designated to promote the establishment of worker organizations or to support worker organizations under the control or employers or employers' organizations."	1			No elected trade union representative at the company. Th does not appear as the result of management interference but simply a choice from workers, also taking into account the small dimension of the firm. External representatives exist for the unions who signed the applicable CBA.
	Requirement: 0 (zero)	c. Trade union representatives have access to their members in the workplace at reasonable times on the premises.	1			
	Applicability: All	d. Employment contract explicitly states the worker's right of freedom of association.	1	<u> </u>		Company applies CBA and contract makes explicit referen
		e. Employer has explicitly communicated a commitment to ensure the collective bargaining rights of all workers.	1	<u> </u>		Company applies CBA and contract makes explicit reference
		Local trade union, or where none exists a reputable civil-society organization, confirms no outstanding cases against the farms tempagement for violations of employees' freedom of association and collective bargaining rights. E. There is documentary evidence that worders are free and able to bargain collective be grapating agreements, meeting management, and applications of the control of the	1 1	+		Confirmed by workers member to TU. Company applies national and local CBA.
		B. These 2 studeshate workers will be tast stensievade in cross makes to support and an account of the property of the propert	1			Confirmed by workers interviewed.
riterion 6.7	Disciplinary practices	Europe Add		\perp		
	Indicator: Incidences of abusive disciplinary actions	Compliance Criteria		_		No midence or connection of improper tractal of
	monators and defices of abusive disciplinary actions	a. Employer does not use threatening, humiliating or punishing disciplinary practices that negatively impact a worker's physical and mental health or dignity.	1	1	l	No evidence or suggestion of improper treatment of workers.
6.7.1	Requirement: None	b. Allegations of corporate juminiment, mental abuse [50], physical coercion, or verbal abuse will be investigated by auditors. Ce advased that worker will be inversiveded to confirm here; is no exidence for excessive or abuse discoling and auditors.	1	1		No allegation from workers' testimony or records. No allegation from workers' testimony or records.

	Indicator: Evidence of nonabusive disciplinary policies and						
	procedures whose aim is to improve the workers' performance [49]						Applicable disciplinary practices are regulated in the
	(**)	a. Employer has written policy for disciplinary action which explicitly states that its aim is to improve the worker	r [49].	1			applicable collective bargaining agreement. No records or
6.7.2	Requirement: Yes						evidence of disciplinary actins ever taken towards worker
	nequiencie ics					_	No distriction and a second bound by
	Applicability: All	b. Maintain documentary evidence (e.g. worker evaluation reports) and be advised that workers will be interview	wed to confirm that the disciplinary action policy is fair and effective.	1			No disciplinary action recorded, as confirmed by workers
Footnote		warnings shall be engaged. The aim should always be to improve the worker before letting him/her go. (Indicated				-	during interviews.
		warnings shall be engaged. The aim should always be to improve the worker before letting him/her go. (indicated including verbal abuse, isolation, sexual or racial harassment, intimidation or threat of physical force.	a by policy statements as well as evidence from worker testimony.)			_	
	Overtime and working hours	iculaing verbal abuse, isolation, sexual or racial narassment, intimidation or threat or physical force.					
Lriterion 6.a	Overtime and working nours		Compliance Criteria			_	
			here the farm operates. If local legislation allows workers to exceed internationally accepted recommendations (48 regular hours, 12 hours	1			National law and collective bargaining agreement apply for
		overtime) then requirements of the international standards apply.					maximum working hours.
							No evidence of excessive hours from records' review. Som
		b. Records (e.g. time sheets and payroll) show that farm workers do not exceed the number of working hours all	lowed under the law.	1			workers perform regularly overtime work (approximately
							hours per week) but no instance of excessive overtime
							obsevred.
							Overtime is paid at premium rate (minimum +25%).
	Indicator: Violations or abuse of working hours [51] and overtime	c. Payment records (e.g. payslips) show that workers are paid a premium rate [53] for overtime hours.		1			Premium rates are applied as required by applicable CBA
	[52] laws and agreements	c. rayment records (e.g. paysips) show that workers are paid a premium rate (55) for overtime nours.		-			depending on kind of overtime (ordinary day, festive day,
	(32) www and agreements						etc)
6.8.1	Requirement: None						Overtime is voluntary, as confirmed by workers. No instan
	Requirement. None	d. Overtime is limited and occurs in exceptional circumstances as evidenced by farm records (e.g. production rec	cords, time sheets, and other records of working hours).	1			of excessive overtime observed among review of sample
	Applicability: All				1 1	1	records.
	Applicability: All						
						1	Workers work on a 6 days shift: 7 hours from Monday to
		e. If an employer requires employees to work shifts at the farm (e.g. 10 days on and six days off), the employer	compensates workers with an equivalent time off in the calendar month and there is evidence that employees have agreed to this schedule				Friday, 4 hours on Saturday. Records show that workers
		(e.g. in the hiring contract).		1			always enjoy at least one day off in every week, as no
							presence has been recorded on Sundays. Úworkers
							confirmed that they always enjoy Sunday as rest day.
							Workers confirm they always enjoy at least one day off in
		f. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm there is no abuse of working hours and overtime laws.		1			every week.
							CICITY WCCK.
	[51] Working hours (a k a normal work week) can be defined by lay		seasonality may apply but personnel shall be provided with at least one day off in every seven-day period				
Footnote			seasonality may apply but personnel shall be provided with at least one day off in every seven-day period.				
Footnote	[52] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In se	s seasonality may apply but personnel shall be provided with at least one day off in every seven-day period. sch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal				
Footnote Footnote	[52] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed [53] Premium rate: A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel						
Footnote Footnote	[52] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In se	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal				
Footnote Footnote	[52] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed [53] Premium rate: A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In si rate. Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards.	Compliance Oriteria				
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.9	[52] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed [53] Premium rate: A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In strate. Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A new farm is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Criteria on date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication			1	NA and a partition
Footnote Footnote	[52] All overtimes shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed [53] Premium rate: A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities [indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In a rate. Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat Note: A new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat No. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Criteria ion date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication end to 6.9.1. If not, in indicator 6.9.1 does not apply to the farm.				NA, not a new farm
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.9	[52] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed [53] Premium rate: A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In a rate. Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A 'new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat a. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, pecc D. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment [9-5AI] or equivalent methodology as evident methodology as evidence to the provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment [9-5AI] or equivalent methodology as evident methodology as evidence to the providence of the participatory Social Impact Assessment [9-5AI] or equivalent methodology as evident methodology as evidence to the providence of the providence	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Orteria ion date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication eed to 6.9.1 M rout, then Indicator 6.9.1 does not apply to the farm. Her farm's engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all			1	NA, not a new farm
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.9 6.9.1	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 1533 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work west interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with currounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In si- rate, Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation were construction was completed after the publicate Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation were construction was completed after the publicate Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation where construction was completed after the publicate Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation with a second or a new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. Derivate results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p SAI) or equivalent methodology as evidence of a Vidence provided in 6.5118 bytain durate minute from community meetings and a log of communications.	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Criteria In date of the ASC Freshmatner Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and to 6.3.18. If no fine the farm fresh and publication for the farm free many constitutions with the farm's engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all the farm's engagement and consultations with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all with stakeholders. Consultations should address excomoral impacts, standard resource access and use, human hard safety (suese, and			1	
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.9	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 1533 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work west interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with currounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In si- rate, Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation were construction was completed after the publicate Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation were construction was completed after the publicate Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation where construction was completed after the publicate Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation with a second or a new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. Derivate results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p SAI) or equivalent methodology as evidence of a Vidence provided in 6.5118 bytain durate minute from community meetings and a log of communications.	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Orteria ion date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication eed to 6.9.1 M rout, then Indicator 6.9.1 does not apply to the farm. Her farm's engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all			1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.9 6.9.1	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 1533 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work west interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with currounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In a rate, Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Nate: A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Nate: A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm first the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. B. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p SAI) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence provided in 6.5 18 should induce minutes from community meeting and a log of communications as log of communications.	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Criteria In date of the ASC Freshmatner Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and to 6.3.18. If no fine the farm fresh and publication for the farm free many constitutions with the farm's engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all the farm's engagement and consultations with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all with stakeholders. Consultations should address excomoral impacts, standard resource access and use, human hard safety (suese, and			1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communit
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.9 6.9.1	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed (53) Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts (54) from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In si- rate, Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation were construction was completed after the publicate Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation were construction was completed after the publicate Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation where construction was completed after the publicate Note. A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation with a second or a new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. Derivate results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p SAI) or equivalent methodology as evidence of a Vidence provided in 6.5118 bytain durate minute from community meetings and a log of communications.	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Criteria In date of the ASC Freshmatner Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and to 6.3.18. If no fine the farm for the	1		1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local community farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.9 6.9.1	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 153] Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and Indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and Indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In a rate, Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Nate: A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Nate: A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm first the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. B. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p SAI) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence provided in 6.5 18 should induce minutes from community meeting and a log of communications as log of communications.	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Criteria In date of the ASC Freshmatner Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and to 6.3.18. If no fine the farm for the	1		1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communi Farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local residents.
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.9 6.9.1	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed (53) Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts (54) from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In a rate, Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Nate: A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Nate: A 'new farm' is defined as an aquacuture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm first the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. B. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p SAI) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence provided in 6.5 18 should induce minutes from community meeting and a log of communications as log of communications.	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Criteria In date of the ASC Freshmatner Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and to 6.3.18. If no fine the farm for the	1		1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communit Farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local residents. In addition to open-door policy, company maintains regul
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.9 6.9.1	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 533 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities.	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted, in a rate, Music comply with national laws/rigulations and/or industry standards. Note: A hear farm's defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicate. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. In Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p SAI) or equivalent methodology as evidence of C. Evidence provide in 6-13 to should be under minute from community meetings and a log of communities a log of communications with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (bi-annually).	Compliance Otteria Compli	1		1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communi Farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local residents. In addition to open-door policy, company maintains reguretations with regulatory bodies at local, regional and
Footnote Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 153] Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and Indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and Indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted, in a rate, Music comply with national laws/rigulations and/or industry standards. Note: A hear farm's defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicate. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. In Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p SAI) or equivalent methodology as evidence of C. Evidence provide in 6-13 to should be under minute from community meetings and a log of communities a log of communications with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (bi-annually).	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Criteria In date of the ASC Freshmatner Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and to 6.3.18. If no fine the farm for the			1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communit Farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local residents. In addition to open-door policy, company maintains regul
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 533 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities Requirement: Yes	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In since Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. A Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. b. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment [p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence for Evidence provide in 6.31 b should induce minuter from community meetings and a log of communication is a community or expensive the standard of the community of the standard of the community and a log of communication with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (bi-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Criteria Ion date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication edge of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication edge of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication the farm's engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all the farm's engagement and consultations with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all farm stateholders. A surrounding that the farm and surface survey, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a understand of the surrounding that the surrounding survey is the surrounding that the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey. The surrounding survey is the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey in the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a understand survey is the survey of the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey. The survey is the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey in the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey in the survey is the survey in the survey is the surrounding survey in the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey in the survey is the surrounding survey in the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey in the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey in the surrounding survey is the surrounding survey in the surround	1		1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communit Farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local residents. In addition to open-door policy, company maintains regul relations with regulatory bodies at local, regional and
Footnote Footnote Footnote 6.9.1 Footnote	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 533 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities.	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted, in strate Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Note: A new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Note: A new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Note: A new farm' is defined as the second of the second operation of a new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. It is Provide results of a participatory 5 cold impact Assessment (p. 54) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence provided in 6.3 13 should indeed instructs from community meeting and a log of communications with a standard or community and construction of the farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (bi-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment C. Consultations include participation by elected representatives from the local community who were asked to c	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Orieria no edit of 5.1 bit ASC Frishministic Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and ato 6.9.1 bit ASC Frishministic 5.9.1 does not apply to the farm. The first seggement and consideration of 5.1 does not apply to the farm. The first seggement and consideration with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all the first selections of social and surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all the first selections of social and surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all first stakeholders, cases and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infristructure and cultural issues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infristructure and cultural issues, with a (pSIA) or an equivalent method for consultations. Mandatory for all farm sites with greater than ten (10) staff/employees. Ontribute to the agenda.	1		1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communi Farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local residents. In addition to peri-door policy, company maintains regu- relations with regulatory bodies at local, regional and national level, including for environmental paremits and
Footnote Footnote Footnote 6.9.1 Footnote	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 533 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities Requirement: Yes	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In since Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. A Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. b. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment [p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence for Evidence provide in 6.31 b should induce minuter from community meetings and a log of communication is a community or expensive the standard of the community of the standard of the community and a log of communication with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (bi-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Orieria no edit of 5.1 bit ASC Frishministic Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and ato 6.9.1 bit ASC Frishministic 5.9.1 does not apply to the farm. The first seggement and consideration of 5.1 does not apply to the farm. The first seggement and consideration with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all the first selections of social and surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all the first selections of social and surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all first stakeholders, cases and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infristructure and cultural issues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infristructure and cultural issues, with a (pSIA) or an equivalent method for consultations. Mandatory for all farm sites with greater than ten (10) staff/employees. Ontribute to the agenda.	1		1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communi Farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local residents. In addition to peri-door policy, company maintains regu- relations with regulatory bodies at local, regional and national level, including for environmental paremits and
Footnote Footnote Footnote 6.9.1 Footnote	[32] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed [33] Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities interactions with communities interactions with communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and the state of the st	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In strate, Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A 'new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. B. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence provides in 6-3 bit should incide minutes from community meetings and a log of communications is a log of communications with stakeholden. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (bi-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment C. Consultations include participation by elected representatives from the local community who were asked to c. M. Maintain records and documentary evidence leg. meeting agends, minutes, report) to demonstrate that continue. E. earlies of the depresentatives from the local community who were asked to c. M. Baintain records and documentary evidence leg. meeting agends, minutes, report) to demonstrate that continue.	uch exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, workers will receive a premium wage and an equal Compliance Orienta Long date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and set of 9.1 bit. first, proceedings of the set of apply to the farm. The farm's engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all with standerdoers, constitutions should afteres exconnic impacts, returnal resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infristructure and cultural issues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infristructure and cultural issues, with a (pSIA) or an equivalent method for consultations. Mandatory for all farm sites with greater than ten (10) staff/employees. Outside to the agenda. Subtations comply with the above.	1 1 1 1		1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communit Farm are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local residents. In addition to peri-door policy, company maintains regul relations with regulatory bodies at local, regional and national level, including for environmental permits and
Footnote Footnote Footnote 6.9.1 Footnote	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 533 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities Requirement: Yes	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted, in a race. Most comply with national laws/regulation and/or indicitivy standards. Note: A how farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publica. A Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a new farm' as used here. If yet, poor, and the provide content of the provide content of the publication of a new farm' as used here. If yet, poor, and the provided in 6.3 to should include minute from community meetings and a log of communication is a log of communication with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include seconds: impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (bi-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL the farm may choose to use participatory of the construction of the consultations are declared to the consultations and documentary exdence is a meeting gend, minutes, export to demonstrate that cone. Be advised that representatives from the local community and organizations may be interviewed to confirm a farm policy provides a mechanism for presentation terration and resolution of greatwace (i.e. conglaints). Farm policy provides a mechanism for presentation terration and resolution of greatwace (i.e. conglaints).	compliance Offeria Compli	1		1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communi Farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local residents. In addition to peri-door policy, company maintains regu- relations with regulatory bodies at local, regional and national level, including for environmental paremits and
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2	[32] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed [33] Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities interactions with communities interactions with communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and the state of the st	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In strate, Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A 'new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. B. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence provides in 6-3 bit should incide minutes from community meetings and a log of communications is a log of communications with stakeholden. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (bi-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment C. Consultations include participation by elected representatives from the local community who were asked to c. M. Maintain records and documentary evidence leg. meeting agends, minutes, report) to demonstrate that continue. E. earlies of the depresentatives from the local community who were asked to c. M. Baintain records and documentary evidence leg. meeting agends, minutes, report) to demonstrate that continue.	compliance Offeria Compli	1 1 1 1		1 1	NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm NA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communi Farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local residents. In addition to peri-door policy, company maintains regu- relations with regulatory bodies at local, regional and national level, including for environmental paremits and
Footnote Footnote Footnote 6.9.1 Footnote	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 153] Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities. Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted, in a race. Most comply with national laws/regulation and/or indicitivy standards. Note: A how farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publica. A Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a new farm' as used here. If yet, poor, and the provide content of the provide content of the publication of a new farm' as used here. If yet, poor, and the provided in 6.3 to should include minute from community meetings and a log of communication is a log of communication with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include seconds: impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (bi-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL the farm may choose to use participatory of the construction of the consultations are declared to the consultations and documentary exdence is a meeting gend, minutes, export to demonstrate that cone. Be advised that representatives from the local community and organizations may be interviewed to confirm a farm policy provides a mechanism for presentation terration and resolution of greatwace (i.e. conglaints). Farm policy provides a mechanism for presentation terration and resolution of greatwace (i.e. conglaints).	Compliance Orieria Compliance Orieria Compliance Orieria Condition of the ACF Prethiwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication end to 6.13. If not middle of 5.13. If not middle or 6.14 does not apply to the farm. The farm's engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all with stand-olders, Consultations shoul address excomine impacts, rathurd resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural ssues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural ssues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety ssues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural ssues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety ssues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural ssues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety ssues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural ssues, with a cultural resource access and use, human health and safety ssues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural ssues, with a substitution of the safety	1 1 1 1		1 1 1	NA, or a new farm AN, or a new farm Farm has open-door policy appreach with local communif Farm are placed in remote agricultural areas and worke are all local relegion to open-door policy, company maintains regul relations with regularly bodies at local, regional and national level, including for environmental permits and authorisations.
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2	1522 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 153] Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities. Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In strate, Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A hew farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. B. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence provided in 6.3 th should include minutes from community meeting and a log of communications is a log of communications with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (b'-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment C. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm has choose to use participatory social impact Assessment of the confidence of the conf	compliance Offeria constitute of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication on date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 3.5.1 find, proceedings of the SC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 3.5.1 find, proceedings of the SC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 5.5.1 find a significant expansion after said publication and the farm sangineest and consultation when the said surface and said significant expansion and said signific	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, not a new farm AN, not a new farm Farm has open-doro policy approach with local communit Farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local rediection to open-door policy, company maintains regul relations with open-door policy, company maintains regul relations with open-door policy and and rational level, including for environmental permits and authorisations. No evidence of stakeholder grievances
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2	1922 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 1931 Permium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities in the regular work week interactions with communities and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities. Requirement: Yes Applicability: All indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns	12 hours per week, in the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted, in a rate, Medic comply with national laws/regulations and/or indicitivy standards. Note: A five farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. 3. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a new farm' is used here. If yet, proc. b. Provide results of a participation (social impact Assessment g-Sal) or equivalent methodology as evidence of a five familiar or an extra process of the process of the process of the publication of a participation yet of the familiar possible of the process of the publication and a participation with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts as god communications with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts. a. The farm engages in consultations with the form may choose to use participatory Social impact Assessment of the consultations and discurrentary selection (seption of the process o	compliance Offeria constitute of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication on date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 3.5.1 find, proceedings of the SC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 3.5.1 find, proceedings of the SC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 5.5.1 find a significant expansion after said publication and the farm sangineest and consultation when the said surface and said significant expansion and said signific	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, oct a new farm AA, not a new farm AA, not a new farm Farm has open dour policy approach with local communification and provided provided to the communification and local reliefuncial provided provided to the communification and the communification and the communification and the communification with regional and national level, including for environmental permits and authorisations. No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances
Footnote Footnote Footnote Footnote Footnote Footnote Footnote Footnote Footnote 6.9.2	1323 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 133 Persium and a-A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities in the regular work week interactions with communities and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence or regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities. Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns Requirement: Yes Requirement:	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In street, Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A hew farm is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Provide evidence to show whether on the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. In Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence providen in 6.3 th should induce insults from community meeting and a log of communications is a log of communications. In the farm engage in consultations with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (b'-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment C. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm have choose to use participatory social impact Assessment of the consultations include participation by elected representatives from the local community who were asked to cd. Maintain records and documentary evidence for experience and execution of grevances (i.e. complains). The abundance of the provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of stakeholder complains and the advised that where applicables, may be	compliance Offeria constitute of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication on date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 3.5.1 find, proceedings of the SC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 3.5.1 find, proceedings of the SC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 5.5.1 find a significant expansion after said publication and the farm sangineest and consultation when the said surface and said significant expansion and said signific	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, oct a new farm AA, not a new farm AA, not a new farm Farm has open dour policy approach with local communification and provided provided to the communification and local reliefuncial provided provided to the communification and the communification and the communification and the communification with regional and national level, including for environmental permits and authorisations. No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2	1922 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 1931 Permium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities in the regular work week interactions with communities about potential social impacts [5-6] from the farm [5-6]. We have a recommunities about potential social impacts [5-6] from the farm [5-6]. Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities. Requirement: Yes Applicability: All indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In street, Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A hew farm is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Provide evidence to show whether on the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. In Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence providen in 6.3 th should induce insults from community meeting and a log of communications is a log of communications. In the farm engage in consultations with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (b'-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment C. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm have choose to use participatory social impact Assessment of the consultations include participation by elected representatives from the local community who were asked to cd. Maintain records and documentary evidence for experience and execution of grevances (i.e. complains). The abundance of the provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of stakeholder complains and the advised that where applicables, may be	compliance Orderia Compliance Orderia Compliance Orderia Conspliance Orderia Condition of the ASC Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and sits of 5.1 file of 5.1 fi	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, oct a new farm AA, not a new farm AA, not a new farm Farm has open dour policy approach with local communification and provided provided to the communification and local reliefuncial provided provided to the communification and the communification and the communification and the communification with regional and national level, including for environmental permits and authorisations. No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2	1323 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 133 Persium and a-A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities in the regular work week interactions with communities and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence or regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities. Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns Requirement: Yes Requirement:	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In street, Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A hew farm is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Provide evidence to show whether on the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. In Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence providen in 6.3 th should induce insults from community meeting and a log of communications is a log of communications. In the farm engage in consultations with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (b'-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment C. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm have choose to use participatory social impact Assessment of the consultations include participation by elected representatives from the local community who were asked to cd. Maintain records and documentary evidence for experience and execution of grevances (i.e. complains). The abundance of the provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of stakeholder complains and the advised that where applicables, may be	compliance Offeria constitute of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication on date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 3.5.1 find, proceedings of the SC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 3.5.1 find, proceedings of the SC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the 5.5.1 find a significant expansion after said publication and the farm sangineest and consultation when the said surface and said significant expansion and said signific	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, oct a new farm AA, not a new farm AA, not a new farm Farm has open dour policy approach with local communification and provided provided to the communification and local reliefuncial provided provided to the communification and the communification and the communification and the communification with regional and national level, including for environmental permits and authorisations. No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2	[32] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed [33] Permium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities interactions with communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm. [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communities, eggenerated and consultation with surrounding communities. [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communities. [55] Evidence of regular communities. [56] Evidence of regular communities. [57] Requirement: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns. [58] Requirement: Yes [58] Requirement: Yes [58] REQUIREMENTS FOR FINGERLING AND IGGS SUPPLIES	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In street, Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A hew farm is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicate. A Provide evidence to show whether on the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. b. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence provided in 6.3 th should induce instructs from community meetings and a log of communications is a log of communications with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (b'-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment G. Consultations are meaningful. OPTONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment G. Maintain records and documentary evidence delected representatives from the local community who were asked to cd. Maintain records and documentary evidence delected (i.e., meeting agends, minutes, report) to demonstrate that of the delected of the properties of the properties of the properties of the confirm of the advised that representatives from the local community, including complainations where applicable, may be a serviced that representatives from the local community, including complainations where applicable, may be a serviced that representatives from the local community, including complainations where applicable, may be a serviced to the presentatives from the local community, including complainative where applicable, may be a serviced to the presentatives from the local community, including complainative where applicable, may be a serviced to the presentatives from the local community, including complainatives where applicable, may be a serviced to the pres	compliance Orieria Compliance Orieria Compliance Orieria Conspliance Orieria Condition of the ASC Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication does not so 13.1 for 16 miles of 5.13.1 for 16 miles of 5.1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, not a new farm AN, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communing rate and process of the proces
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2	[32] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed [33] Permium rate. Art and pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities [34] Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [34] from the farm [35] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and Indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities [36] Requirement: Yes [37] Applicability: All [38] Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns [38] Requirement: Yes [38] Requirement: Yes [38] Requirement: Yes [38] COURTEMINIS FOR FINGERLING AND EGG SUPPLIERS [38] Indicator: Presence of documents issued by pertinent authorities [38] Indicator: Presence of documents issued by pertinent authorities [38]	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In street, Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A hew farm is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. Provide evidence to show whether on the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. In Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence providen in 6.3 th should induce insults from community meeting and a log of communications is a log of communications. In the farm engage in consultations with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (b'-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment C. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm have choose to use participatory social impact Assessment of the consultations include participation by elected representatives from the local community who were asked to cd. Maintain records and documentary evidence for experience and execution of grevances (i.e. complains). The abundance of the provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grevances (i.e. complains). The farm follows to policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of stakeholder complains and the advised that where applicables, may be	compliance Orderia Compliance Orderia Compliance Orderia Conspliance Orderia Condition of the ASC Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and sits of 5.1 file of 5.1 fi	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, oct a new farm AA, not a new farm AA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communi Farm are placed in remote approductural areas and worke are all local residents. In addition to open-door policy, company maintains regula- relations with regions and national level, including for environmental permits and authorisations. No evidence of stakeholder girevances. No evidence of stakeholder girevances.
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2	1922 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 1933 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities Requirement: Yes Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns Requirement: Yes Requirement: Yes Requirement: Yes Indicator: Freenece of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicator: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicator: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicators: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicators: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Torong compliance with local and national authorities on land and	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In street, Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A hew farm is defined as an agaaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicate. A Provide evidence to show whether on the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. b. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence provides in 6.5 th should induce minutes from community meeting and a log of communications is a log of communications with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts. a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (b'-annually). b. Consultations include participation by elected representatives from the local community who were asked to cl. Maintain records and documentary evidence (e.g. meeting agenda, minutes, eport) to demonstrate that of the distribution of the properties of the properties of the confirm. A Farm policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of girevances (i.e. complaint). The farm disons its policy for handing girevances is effective based on resolution of stakeholder complaints and d. Be advised that representatives from the local community, including complainants where applicable, may be a substant to present the community and the presentatives from the local community including complainants where applicable, may be a substant to present the properties of the presentatives from the local community including complainants where applicable, may be a substant to the presentatives from the local community.	Compliance Orieria Compliance Orieria Compliance Orieria Condess of the ASC Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the CS Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the CS Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the CS Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the CS Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication or the GS Preshwater Trout Standard Preshwater access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a cultural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a substandard resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a substandard resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a substandard substandard resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a substandard substandard in the safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical in	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, not a new farm AN, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local community Farm are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local resident to open-door policy, company maintains regulation to open-door policy, company maintains regulations with registron by oblice at local, regional and national level, including for environmental permits and authorisations. No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances
Footnote Footnote Oriterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2 6.9.3	[32] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed [33] Permium rate. Art and pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities [34] Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [34] from the farm [35] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and Indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities [36] Requirement: Yes [37] Applicability: All [38] Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns [38] Requirement: Yes [38] Requirement: Yes [38] Requirement: Yes [38] COURTEMINIS FOR FINGERLING AND EGG SUPPLIERS [38] Indicator: Presence of documents issued by pertinent authorities [38] Indicator: Presence of documents issued by pertinent authorities [38]	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In street, Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A hew farm is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicate. A Provide evidence to show whether on the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. b. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence provided in 6.3 th should induce instructs from community meetings and a log of communications is a log of communications with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (b'-annually). b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment G. Consultations are meaningful. OPTONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessment G. Maintain records and documentary evidence delected representatives from the local community who were asked to cd. Maintain records and documentary evidence delected (i.e., meeting agends, minutes, report) to demonstrate that of the delected of the properties of the properties of the properties of the confirm of the advised that representatives from the local community, including complainations where applicable, may be a serviced that representatives from the local community, including complainations where applicable, may be a serviced that representatives from the local community, including complainations where applicable, may be a serviced to the presentatives from the local community, including complainative where applicable, may be a serviced to the presentatives from the local community, including complainative where applicable, may be a serviced to the presentatives from the local community, including complainatives where applicable, may be a serviced to the pres	compliance Orieria Compliance Orieria Compliance Orieria Conspliance Orieria Condition of the ASC Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication does not so 13.1 for 16 miles of 5.13.1 for 16 miles of 5.1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, exit a new farm Al, not a new farm Al, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local communification and provided the provided for a serious communification and all colar electron to open-door policy, company maintains requirectations with registary bodies at local, regional and national level, including for environmental permits and authorisations. No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances Supplier of eggs from Ova Piscis and Essivogiel Hubert
Footnote Footnote Criterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2	1922 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 1933 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities Requirement: Yes Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns Requirement: Yes Requirement: Yes Requirement: Yes Indicator: Freenece of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicator: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicator: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicators: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicators: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Torong compliance with local and national authorities on land and	12 hours per week, in the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted, in a rate. Most comply with national laws/regulation and/or industry standards. Note: A five farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. 3. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a new farm' is used here. If yes, proc. b. Provide results of a participation Social impact Assessment (p-Sal) or equivalent methodology as evidence of a large of community and provide evidence of the providence	compliance Criteria on date of the ASC Presilvanter Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and sets of the ASC Presilvanter Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication end to 5.1.8 find, so indicated 6.5.1 does not apply to the farm. The farm's engagement and constitution should are so comment empacts about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all the standarders, communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all instandarders, communities and an advantage of the standarders and associated in the standarders and continued in the standarders and associated in the standarders and standarders. Compliance Oriteria A. Verify that farm obtains records from suppliers to show compliance with discharge permit requirements.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, not a new farm AA, not a new farm AA, not a new farm Farm has open-oor policy approach with local communit Farm are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local resident on open-door policy, company maintains regul in addition to open-door policy, company maintains regul relations with regularly bolicy at local, regional and national level, including for environmental permits and authorisations. No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances Supplier of eggs from Ova Piscis and Esswegel Hubert Authoritation in compliance, as seen from 9.2.1990 and registration 20.7.1990 and
Footnote Footnote Oriterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2 6.9.3	1922 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 1933 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work weel interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities Requirement: Yes Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns Requirement: Yes Requirement: Yes Requirement: Yes Indicator: Freenece of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicator: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicator: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicators: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Indicators: Presence of documents issued by perfinent authorities Torong compliance with local and national authorities on land and	12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In street, Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A hew farm is defined as an agaaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicate. A Provide evidence to show whether on the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc. b. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of Evidence provides in 6.5 th should induce minutes from community meeting and a log of communications is a log of communications with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impacts. a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (b'-annually). b. Consultations include participation by elected representatives from the local community who were asked to cl. Maintain records and documentary evidence (e.g. meeting agenda, minutes, eport) to demonstrate that of the distribution of the properties of the properties of the confirm. A Farm policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of girevances (i.e. complaint). The farm disons its policy for handing girevances is effective based on resolution of stakeholder complaints and d. Be advised that representatives from the local community, including complainants where applicable, may be a substant to present the community and the presentatives from the local community including complainants where applicable, may be a substant to present the properties of the presentatives from the local community including complainants where applicable, may be a substant to the presentatives from the local community.	Compliance Orieria Compliance Orieria Compliance Orieria Condess of the ASC Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the CS Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the CS Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the CS Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication of the CS Preshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication or the GS Preshwater Trout Standard Preshwater access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a cultural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a substandard resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a substandard resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a substandard substandard resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a substandard substandard in the safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical in	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, not a new farm AN, not a new farm Farm has open-doro policy approach with local communit Farms are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local rediction to open-door policy, company maintains regul relations with open-door policy, company maintains regul relations with open-door policy, company maintains regul relations with open-door policy, or company maintains regul relations with open-door policy and relationship and and relationship and and relationship and and relationship and
Footnote Footnote Oriterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2 6.9.3	[32] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed [33] Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns Requirement: Yes Indicator: Presence of documents issued by pertinent authorities priving compliance with local and national authorities on land and witer use, efficient regulations and use of treatments Requirement: Yes	12 hours per week, in the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted, in a rate. Most comply with national laws/regulation and/or industry standards. Note: A five farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. 3. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a new farm' is used here. If yes, proc. b. Provide results of a participation Social impact Assessment (p-Sal) or equivalent methodology as evidence of a large of community and provide evidence of the providence	Compliance Offeria Compliance Offeria Compliance Offeria Conspliance Offeria Constitution of the ASC Preshvoter Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication end to 5.1. In Cent of 5.1. In Cent	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, tot a new farm AA, not a new farm AA, not a new farm Farm has open-core policy approach with local communit Farm are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local resident on open-door policy, company maintain regula- relations with regional and national level, including for environmental permits and authorisations. No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances No evidence of stakeholder grievances Supplier of eggs from Ova Piscis and Essougel Hubert Authorization in compliance, as seen from 9.2.1990 and
Footnote Footnote Oriterion 6.5 6.9.1 Footnote 6.9.2 6.9.3	1922 All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 1931 Premium rate. A rate of pay higher than the regular work week interactions with communities Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about potential social impacts [54] from the farm [54] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities Requirement: Yes Applicability: All Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns Requirement: Yes Requirement: Y	22 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. In a race. Most comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards. Note: A here farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publicat. A Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a new farm' is used here. If yes, proc. In Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SiA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of a Provider results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SiA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of a Celebration of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SiA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of a Celebration of a SiA should be desire insulated to a SiA should be a Social empacts to be discussed would likely include communication of a go of communication of go of communication of a go of communication of go of communication of the good of	compliance Criteria on date of the ASC Presilvanter Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication and sets of the ASC Presilvanter Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that underwent a significant expansion after said publication end to 5.1.8 find, so indicated 6.5.1 does not apply to the farm. The farm's engagement and constitution should are so comment empacts about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all the standarders, communities about potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all instandarders, communities and an advantage of the standarders and associated in the standarders and continued in the standarders and associated in the standarders and standarders. Compliance Oriteria A. Verify that farm obtains records from suppliers to show compliance with discharge permit requirements.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	NA, not a new farm AA, not a new farm Farm has open-door policy approach with local community Farm has open-door policy approach with local community Farm are placed in remote agricultural areas and worker are all local redective policy, company maintains regul relations with registery bodies at local, regional and authorisations, No evidence of stakeholder grievances Supplier of eggs from Ova Piscis and Essvegel Hulbert Authorization in compilance, as seen from 9.2.1990 and Lagrange of the place

	Indicator: New introductions of exotic species from the date of publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, unless the	Obtain written evidence showing whether or not the fingerling and egg suppliers use closed production systems [55]. If yes, then Indicator 7.2 does not apply.	A. Verify that the farm has evidence that their suppliers use only closed production systems [55]. Otherwise, proceed to 7.2B.	1		Not closed production system
7.2	hatchery/fingerling facility is a closed production system [55] Requirement: None	 b. Obtain written evidence showing whether or not the fingerling and egg suppliers produce a non-native species. If not, then Indicator 7.2 does not apply. 	B. Verify that the farm has evidence that their suppliers do not produce a non-native species. Otherwise, proceed to 7.2C.	1		Production of Oncorhynchus mykiss, not introduced after introduction of Standard, Charr, brown trout and Brook trout is produced in area before publication of standard
	Applicability: All	c. If the supplier produces an exotic species, obtain written evidence that the species was widely commercially produced in the area before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard.	C. Verify that the farm has evidence showing that the exotic species in 7.2c was widely commercially produced in the area before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard.			1
Footnote	[55] A closed production system is defined as a facility with recircular		maintained to ensure no escapes of reared specimens or biological material that might survive and subsequently reproduce.			
	Indicator: Allowance for siting in National Protected Areas [56]	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 7.3 - Exceptions to Requirements that Suppliers (fry/fingerlings) are not Sit	ted in National Protected Areas			
7.3	Requirement: None (57.58)	 a. Obtain from suppliers of fingerlings and eggs a map showing the location of the operation relative to nearby protected areas as defined federally/at the National level. 	A. Review map and cross-check against supplier location.	1		Location in not in protected area
Footnote	[56] A protected area is "A clearly defined geographical space, recogn		nature with associated ecosystem services and cultural values." Source: Dudley, N. (Editor) (2008), Guidelines for Applying Protected Area			
Footnote		UCN, or the International Union for Conservation of Nature, as Category V or VI. These are areas preserved primar				
Footnote			istrate that its operation is compatible with the objectives of the newly protected area, and that it is in compliance with any relevant			
Townste	Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the property for the presence of species listed on the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) "Red List of Threatened Species" as	a. Prepare a letter informing egg and fingerling suppliers that the supplier must compile a list of IUCN Red Listed species in the relevant categories that may occur on their property following the instructions in Indicator 2.1.3.	A. Verify that the farm sent a letter to egg and fingerling supplier(s) informing them of requirements to compile the list outlined in 7.4a.	1		Egg suppliers are informed of requirement
7.4	vulnerable, near threatened, endangered or critically endangered; an evaluation of the farm's impact on any such species present; and clearly defined mitigation measures to reduce any negative impacts and allow existence of such species	b. Obtain from egg and fingerling suppliers a "risk assessment" (bearch and mitigation plan) that evaluates how the supplier's operation impacts on any IUCN Red Listed species identified in 7.4a. The risk assessment should be performed by an independent academic researcher or a nationally accredited ElA expert.	B. Verify that the farm has a copy of the risk assessment produced on behalf of the egg and fingerling suppliers and that this assessment covers the species listed in 7.4a.	1		Risk assessment from egg supplier in Fish Health Plan
	Requirement: Yes	 Obtain from egg and fingerling suppliers a copy of the supplier's ETP species response plan and protocols based on the findings of the risk assessment. 	C. Verify that the farm has a copy of the egg and fry supplier(s) response plan and protocols.	1		Risk assessment from egg suppliers verified
	Indicator: Evidence that the egg and fingerling producer must have an equivalent or better health status than that of the grow-out	Obtain a written statement from egg and fingerling producers detailing the applicable national and local disease regulations and guidance on disease management which the supplier follows.	A. Verify that the farm has a written statement from the egg and fingerling producer detailing how the supplier conforms to applicable national and local regulations and guidance on disease management.	1		Health status is described in health plan
7.5	facility, and must follow all national and local (jurisdictional) guidance on disease management	 b. Prepare a letter informing egg and fingerling producers that they must evaluate eggs and fry using health status metrics developed by the farm's veterinary health professional (see 4.1.2a). 	B. Verify that the farm has a copy of the letter informing its suppliers of health status metrics developed by the farm's veterinary health professional.	1		Health status is described in health plan
	Requirement: Yes	c. Maintains records of the farm's evaluations of the condition of eggs and fingerlings upon delivery.	C. Verify that the farm keeps records of evaluating the condition of eggs and fingerlings for each delivery.	1		Every delivery is controlled and signed
7.6	Indicator: Evidence of disclosure to the grow-out farm of all chemical and antibiotic treatments on eggs and fry, including the	 a. Prepare a letter informing egg and fry suppliers that they must disclose all chemical and antibiotic treatments on eggs and fry, along with stated rationale and the quantity used. 	A. Verify that the farm has informed its suppliers that they must disclose information on chemical and antibiotic treatments together with the rationale for their use.	1		Farm is informed
7.6	reason for their use and the quantity used	b.Optional: Farm may conduct voluntary set tests on a subsample of eggs and fry for each stocking event, to test for chemical and antibiotic use consistent with the supplier's declaration.	B. Auditor includes in the audit report whether the farm has chosen to conducted chemical and antibiotic test on a subset of samples for each major stocking event.	1		There is no plan for chemical and antibiotic tests
7.7	Indicator: Allowance for the use of therapeutic treatments, including antibiotics or other treatments, that are banned under	 a. Inform egg and fry suppliers in writing that the farm will not purchase from suppliers using any therapeutants or antibiotics that are banned under EU law. 	A. Verify that the farm has a record of the statement sent to egg and fry suppliers.	1		No use of banned therapeutics or antibiotics
*	European Union (EU) law	 b. Compare any results from 7.6b to the farm's EU banned list (see 4.2.2a) to show that the egg and fry suppliers do not use banned chemicals. 	B. Include a statement in the audit report describing a) whether the farm undertook optional testing of their supplier's fry/fingerlings and b) findings against the EU banned list, if any	1		No use of banned therapeutics or antibiotics
	Indicator: Presence of a fish health management plan implemented in agreement with the facility's designated	 a. For every supplier of fry and egg to the farm, obtain a copy of the supplier's Fish Health Management Plan (FHMP). 	A. Verify that the farm obtains a FHMP from each supplier of egg and fry.	1		Copy of Fish health plan at farm
7.8	veterinarian	 Ensure that the egg and fry supplier's FHMP is reviewed and updated at least annually with signatures by management indicating approval. 	B. Verify that the farm has record that supplier management approves review and update of the FHMP at least annually.	1		Copy of Fish health plan at farm
	Requirement: Yes	 Ensure that the egg and fry supplier's designated veterinarian reviews and approves the FHMP annually and after each update of the FHMP, by signature. 	C. Confirm that the farm has supplier documentation showing signature and date of review by designated veterinarian.	1		Copy of Fish health plan at farm
7.9	Indicator: Evidence of company-level policies and procedures that demonstrate the company's commitment to each of the 8 key ILO	 For suppliers identified in 7.9a, obtain a copy of the supplier's company-level policies and procedures relating to key ILO labor issues. 	B. Verify that farm obtains copies of relevant company-level policies and procedures fromsuppliers.	1		All document at farm
	labor issues described in Principle 6	-	C. Review supplier policies and procedures (copy provided by the farm) to verify the supplier's commitment to address each of the 8 key ILO labor issues.	1		The supplier has corporate policies from september 2014
1	Indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and	Note: see compliance criteria for Indicator 6.9.2.				
	Indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities					
7.10	Requirement: Yes	a. Ensure that the farm obtains documentary evidence from egg and fry suppliers of regular communciations with surrounding community as described under 6.9.2a, 6.9.2b, 6.9.2c and 6.9.2d	A. Examine copies of records and documentary evidence (e.g. meeting agenda, minutes, report) to verify that the farm's suppliers performed community consultations in complance with requirements.	1		The supliers has communications in community, University and press
	Applicability: All					

ROW HEIG COLOR CODE

<u> </u>			
15,0	R69/G155/Principle		
15,0	R189/G213 Criteria		
	Indicator		
37,5	if 1 sentence		
48,0	if 2 sentences		
67,5	if 3 sentences		
manual	if more sentences		
	R252/G213 <mark>Footnote</mark>		
21,0	if 1 sentence		
42,0	if 2 sentences		
63,0	if 3 sentences		

Mind the following:

- * Text visible within one cell? (enlarge the cell to check / merge if necessary)
- * Correct colors and height?
- * Correct version (in footer)
- * Correct page# (in footer) <- total pages is manual insert..
- * When publishing in .pdf do all rows break of nicely?

Aquaculture Stewardship Council Farm Audit Report Documentazione ricevuta da attori esterni

Comprendente altra documentazione scritta o di altro tipo e le risposte di Bureau Veritas Certification ad ogni inoltro.

In caso di nessun inoltro, precisare "nessun documento ricevuto"

Periodo di consultazione pubblica	Documentazione attori esterni	Risposta di BV
Annuncio della verifica (30 giorni prima della verifi	Nessun documento ricevuto	
Bozza di relazione pubblica (a 10 giorni dalla pubb		

Aquaculture Stewardship Council Farm Audit Report Confidential Annexe

ASC-F-E05-5-12

Dati confidenziali per informazioni commerciali sensibili