AUDIT MANUAL - ASC Freshwater Trout Standard Version 1.2

Scope: Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) or any other salmonids grown in fresh water This audit manual was developed to accompany the version of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard.

INSTRUCTION TO FARMS/AUDITORS:

This audit manual was developed to accompany version 1.2 of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard.

PRINCIPLE 1: COMPLY WITH ALL NATIONAL AND LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS

Criterion 1.1 Operate within the legal framework of national and local laws and regulations that are applicable and current

Indicator: Presence of documents issued by pertinent authorities indicating compliance with local and national authorities on land and water use a. Maintain copies of key land and water use laws (both local and nation regulating the environmental and social impacts of aquaculture. b. Maintain original lease agreements, land titles, concession permits, or land water use	nal) that apply to A. Confirm that the aquaculture impation of the form that the second secon
Indicator: Presence of documents issued by pertinent authorities indicating compliance with local and national authorities on land and water use	or related official B. Confirm that the accepted as evide
	Tarm nas legitima
Applicability: All Requirement: Yes c. Keep records of inspections for compliance with national and local law (if such inspections are legally required in the country of operation).	ws and regulations C .Verify presence paperwork can be
d. Obtain permits and maps showing that the farm does not conflict wit preservation areas (see Indicator 2.1.1)	h national D. Confirm that the preservation area
Note: To ensure that all tax-related information for 1.1.2 is available for site facilities such as a head office or accountancy).	auditor review, farms may wish to con
Indicator: Presence of documents indicating compliance with tax a. Maintain copies of tax laws for jurisdiction(s) where company operate laws	es. A. Verify presence
1.1.2 Requirement: Yes b. Maintain records of tax payments to appropriate authorities (e.g. land tax, revenue tax). Note that CABs will not disclose confidential tax informis required to or chooses to make it public.	d use tax, water use mation unless client client tax informa
c. Register with national or local authorities as an "aquaculture activity" registration is consistent with regulations. Maintain copies of registratic the contact details for relevant authorities.	' where such on documents and C. Verify that the
Note: Indicator 1.1.3 is restricted in applicability and applies only to tho	se farm sites within the unit of certifica
Indicator: Presence of documents indicating compliance with all labour laws and regulations that are applicable social impacts of aquaculture.	to regulating the A. Confirm that the impacts of aquac



Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

he producer has copies of key land and water use laws of direct relevance to acts.

ne client holds original lease agreements or land titles. Other documents may be ence if they are issued by a pertinent legal authority and clearly establish that the ite land tenure.

e of a copy of records of inspections (where such inspections are legally required and e provided to producers).

he producer has evidence showing that the facility does not conflict with designated as and has required operational permits if sited in such an area (see 2.1.1).

solidate required documentation prior to the audit (e.g. when files are held at off-

e of a copy of tax laws.

client has records of tax payments to the appropriate authorities. Do not disclose ation which is confidential.

client is registered with local or national authorities.

tion.

he producer has copies of key labor laws and regulations of direct relevance to social ulture.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1.1.3	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b. Keep records of farm inspections for compliance with national labor laws and codes (only if such inspections are legally required in the country of operation).	B. Confirm that th such inspections a
		a. Maintain copies of key regulations and permitting requirements that apply to water quality impacts, effluent discharge and water abstraction by the farm.	A. Confirm that th specified.
		b. Obtain permits for water quality impacts where applicable.	B. Confirm that th
	Indicator : Presence of documents indicating compliance with	c. Maintain records of monitoring and compliance with discharge laws and regulations as required.	C. Verify that reco
1.1.4	and water abstraction Requirement: Yes	d. Obtain a statement from local authorities indicating the water abstraction limits (units given) for the farm. If local authorities do not set water abstraction limits for farms operating in the region, obtain of a statement from local authorities attesting to this fact.	D. Review the wat set water abstract
		e. Maintain records of water abstraction.	E. Verify that the f
		-	F. Check the farm' regulations or per
PRINCIPLE 2: 0	CONSERVE HABITAT AND BIODIVERSITY		1
Criterion 2.1 S	iting and location of farms [2]		
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
Footnote	[2] To determine its compliance with the requirements in criterion any other credible process of environmental assessment.	2.1, a producer will need documentation that analyses the farm's siting and surrounding habi	tats and ecosystem
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.1.1 - Exceptions to Requirements that Farms are not si For the purposes of implementing Indicator 2.1.1, the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard define through legal or other effective means, to achieve the long-term conservation of nature with Indicator 2.1.1:	ted in National Pro s a protected area associated ecosys
		Exception #1: An exception is made for protected areas that are classified by the Internation their landscapes, or areas that include sustainable resource management [4].	al Union for Conser
		Exception #2: An exception is also made for farms located in protected areas that are designed demonstrate that its operation is compatible with the objectives of the protected area, and the protected designation [5]. The burden of proof is placed on the farm to demonstrate that it is a specific demonstrate that it is protected designation [5].	ated as such after t hat it is in complia s not negatively im
		Where a farm is sited in a protected areas that does not have formal national recognition (e. showing how the aquaculture operation is compatible with the objectives of that protected a	g. within a regional area (as in Exceptio
	Indicator: Allowance for siting in National Protected Areas [3]		
2.1.1	Requirement: None [4,5]	NOTE: The guidelines on collecting spatial data for ASC can be	e found on http
	Ameliachility All execut as noted in [4] and [5]		

ne client has the specified documentation from the appropriate authorities (where are legally required and paperwork is provided to producers).

he client maintains copies of key regulations and permitting requirements as

ne client obtains water quality permits as applicable.

ords show compliance with discharge laws and regulations.

ter abstraction limits set for the farm by local authorities. If local authorities do not tion limits, confirm that the farm has an attestation.

farm keeps complete records of water abstraction.

's water intake against the water abstraction limits to verify compliance with rmits. Cross-check against reported values for total water abstracted (see 3.1.1b).

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

ns. Documentation can be based on an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) or

otected Areas

as "a clearly defined geographical space, recognized, dedicated and managed stem services and cultural values [3]." The following exceptions shall be made for

rvation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or VI. These are areas preserved primarily for

the farm already was established in that location. In these situations, the farm must ince with any relevant conditions placed on the farm by authorities as a result of the spacting the core reason an area has been protected.

Ily-designated protected area), the farm should provide the CAB with a rationale on #2 above).

os://www.asc-aqua.org/resources/for-farms/gis-portal/

	_		
	Applicability: All except as noted in [4] and [5]	a. Provide Geographical Information System (GIS) files according to ASC guidelines (see note above) showing the boundaries of the farm relative to nearby protected areas (see also 1.1.1a).	A. Review GIS files app) to determine
		b. If the farm is <u>not</u> sited in a protected area as defined above, inform the CAB. In this case, the requirements of 2.1.1c-d do not apply.	B. If the farm is no proceed to 2.1.1c.
		c. If the farm <u>is</u> sited in a protected area, review the Instructions for Indicator 2.1.1 (above) to determine if the farm is allowed an exception to the requirements. If yes, inform the CAB which exception (#1 or #2) is allowed and provide supporting evidence.	C. Review the app evidence to deter
		d. If the farm is sited in a protected area and the exceptions provided for Indicator 2.1.1 <u>do</u> <u>not apply</u> , then the farm does not comply with the requirement and is ineligible for ASC certification.	D. Review evidenc hence eligible for
Footnote	[3] A protected area is "a clearly defined geographical space, recogn (Editor) (2008), Guidelines for Applying Protected Area Management (Editor) (2008), Guidelines for Applying Protected Area Management	nised, dedicated and managed through legal or other effective means, to achieve the long-ter nt Categories, Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. x + 86pp.	m conservation of
Footnote	[4] An exception is made for protected areas that are classified by t Details can be found here: https://www.iucn.org/theme/protected	he International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or VI. These are areas I-areas/about/protected-areas-categories .	preserved primari
Footnote	[5] An exception is also made for farms located in protected areas t protected area, and that it is in compliance with any relevant condi	hat are designated as such after the farm already was established in that location. In these sit tions placed on the farm by authorities as a result of the protected designation.	uations, the farm r
		Note: An exception to Indicator 2.1.2 is allowed where conversion of wetlands is for water us the equivalent area of functional wetlands with the same habitat characteristics [7].	se (e.g., canals for i
2.1.2	Indicator: Conversion of wetlands [6] after 1999 Requirement: None [7] Applicability: All except as noted in [7]	a. Provide documentary evidence showing all construction activities and the habitat types impacted by those activities on the farm since 1999.	A. Review evidenc forest, grassland)
		b. Provide a map delineating all wetlands (as defined in [6]) currently within a 5-km radius of the farm.	B. Evaluate wheth farm since 1999.
		c. Prepare a map showing wetland coverage in 1999 at the farm site.	C. If evidence show habitat since 1999
Footnote	[6] Wetland: Generally, wetlands are lands where saturation with w marshes, bogs and fens (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency).	vater is the dominant factor determining the nature of soil development and the types of plan	t and animal comn
Footnote	[7] Exception: Conversion of wetlands for access to water (e.g., can	als for inlets and outlets): Converted surface area must be offset by restoration of 100% of the	e equivalent area o

s and cross-check against independent information sources (e.g. 1.1.1d and ASC GIS e if the farm is sited in a protected area.

ot sited in a protected area, make note of this fact in the audit report. Otherwise

blicability of the exception requested by the farm together with the supporting rmine if the farm is eligible. If yes, Indicator 2.1.1 is not applicable.

ce to determine whether the farm is allowed to be sited in a protected area and ASC certification.

nature with associated ecosystem services and cultural values." Source: Dudley, N.

ily for their landscapes, or areas that include sustainable resource management.

must demonstrate that its operation is compatible with the objectives of the

inlets and outlets). Converted surface area must be offset by restoration of 100% of

ce for date of all construction activities or and the types of habitats (e.g. wetland, impacted by those activities on the farm since 1999.

her there is evidence for any wetland conversion occuring within a 5-km radius of the

ws that current farm siting or construction activities have resulted in loss of wetland 9, then the farm is not certifiable.

nunities living in the soil and on its surface. Wetlands generally include swamps,

of functional wetlands with the same habitat characteristics.

2.1.3	Indicator : An assessment of the presence on the farm of species listed on the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) "Red List of Threatened Species" as vulnerable, near threatened, endangered or critically endangered; an evaluation of the farm's impact on any such species present; and clearly defined mitigation measures to reduce any negative impacts and allow	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.1.3 - Assessment of the Presence of IUCN Red Listed Species on the Farm Indicator 2.1.3 requires the farm to demonstrate that an assessment has been undertaken to evaluate the like (IUCN) "Red List of Threatened Species" (see Note 1) are present on or near the the farm site. The assessment r party entity (see Note 2). The assessment shall involve identifying IUCN red list species and their critical habitat The analysis should be done as follows: - go to http://www.iucnredlist.org/ - follow to "other search options" - select "Taxonomy" and select "Animalia" and "Plantae"; click on the red arrow in between the selection file - indicate appropriate "Location", "Systems", "Habitat", "Assessment" (see Note 1); click on the red arrow ir - click on "run search" and record species listed and whether they are threatened by the farming activity. Note 1: The IUCN Red List uses nine categories for ranking species according to threat, and search results may i compliance with indicator 2.1.3, only the following four IUCN listing categories are included: "vulnerable", "nea categories (e.g. "not evaluated", "data deficient", and "least concern") may be excluded from further analyses. Note 2: If the assessment is conducted by a third-party entity, farms must maintain evidence of that the work v environmental consultant).	
	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	a. Perform above analysis and record all IUCN red listed species and farm-related threats. Alternatively, farms may have a qualified third-party entity conduct the assessment for the presence on the farm of IUCN red listed species.	A. Review the res credentials of the stakeholders (e.g. order to cross-che
		b. Provide a map showing location of the farm (see 1.1.1d) relative to the known distribution of IUCN red-listed species (categories as defined in the indicator) or critical habitats in the area.	B. Review the ma the indicator) or o
		c. If results from 2.1.3a (above) identify that IUCN Red List species occur within a 5 km radius of the farm (including upstream and receiving waters), provide a documented evaluation of the farm's impacts on such species.	C. Verify that clien applicable).
		d. Where the results from 2.1.3c indicate a potential for negative impacts, prepare a set of written and clearly-defined mitigation measures to reduce any negative impacts and allow existence of such species.	D. Confirm that th the on-site inspec
Criterion 2.2 R	Riparian buffer zones [8]		
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
Footnote	[8] Riparian buffer zone: a vegetated area (a "buffer strip") near a s	tream, usually forested, which helps shade and partially protect a stream from the impact of a	adjacent land uses
		Note: An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a third-party scientific analyrisks [9].	ysis that the farm's
	Indicator: For new farms installed on land after February 2013 (or for significant expansions), minimum buffer zone between the	a. Inform the CAB of the date when farm installation was originally completed and any farm expansions thereafter (also see 2.1.2a).	A. Review eviden

า

lihood that species listed on the International Union for Conservation of Nature may be performed internally (i.e. by the farm) or it may be done externally by a thirdts that are present in the region of the farm (i.e. within a 5 km radius of the farm).

elds to confirm the selection n between the selection fields to confirm the selection

include species that are not currently threatened. For the purposes of determining ar threatened", "endangered" or "critically endangered". Species in other IUCN

was done by suitably qualified professionals (e.g. academic ecologist or

sults of the farm's analysis. If the assessment was done by a third party, review e experts who conducted the assessment. Verify through interviews with relevant . local community, eNGOs, government agency responsible for wildlife protection), in eck whether endangered species exist in the immediate vicinity of the farm.

p and verify that client is aware of IUCN red-listed species (categories as defined in critical habitats located near the farm.

nt has performed an evaluation of farm impacts to IUCN Red Listed species (as

he farm has documented all mitigation measures and verify implementation during ction (as applicable).

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Riparian_buffer#cite_note-2 .

s structures do not impede animal habitats and corridors and do not present erosion

ce for date of farm installation and expansions.

	Term and a reaction water book in which there is no term		.
2.2.1	infrastructure that might impede wildlife's access to the water, except for inflow and outflow systems	b. If farm installation was completed before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, then indicator 2.2.1 does not apply. Otherwise proceed to 2.2.1c.	B. Determine whe
	Requirement: ≥ 15 metres from the water's edge [9]	c. Prepare a diagram of the farm showing the siting and dimensions of buffer zones between the farm and adjacent water body.	C. Review diagram impede wildlife's
	of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard except as noted in [9]	d. Ensure that buffer zones are free of farm infrastructure (rescue and safety equipment is allowed as appropriate to ensure worker health and welfare).	D. During the on-s
Footnote	[9] An exception is made if the farm can demonstrate through a pu	blic third-party scientific analysis that the farm's structures do not impede animal habitats and	d corridors and do
Criterion 2.3 Ir	ntroduction of exotic species [10]		
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
Footnote	[10] Exotic species: non-native animals living in areas outside their	native boundaries.	
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.3.1 - New Introductions of Exotic Trout The ASC Freshwater Trout Standard seeks to discourage the introduction of trout into waterv 2.3.1, a species is not considered exotic if it can be shown that the species is native to the are to publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard. Note: Indicator 2.3.1 does not apply to farms that operate closed production systems. A clos aquatic medium by effective physical barriers that are in place and well maintained to ensure [11]	ways where these s a of farm operatio ed production syst e no escapes of rea
	Indicator: New introductions of exotic trout after February 2013,	a. Inform the CAB if the farm uses a closed production system according to the above definition (indicator 2.3.1 does not apply). Otherwise, proceed to 2.3.1b.	A. Determine which (response "n/a").
2.3.1	Requirement: None	b. Inform the CAB which trout species is being cultured at the farm and maintain purchase records (e.g. receipts) that identify the species by Latin name.	B. Confirm which
	Applicability: All except closed production systems	c. Compile available primary literature (e.g. scientific studies, government publications) to determine whether or not the cultured species is generally considered to be native to the region in which the farm operates.	C. Review the liter If yes, then 2.3.1 c
		d. If the species is considered non-native but was previously established in the area (i.e. if it is an introduced species), search the literature for a reliable estimate of the year of introduction.	D. If the species is was introduced ar ASC Freshwater Ti Otherwise, procee
		-	E. Inform the clier Freshwater Trout
Footnote	[11] A closed production system is defined as a facility with recircu escapes of reared specimens or biological material that might survi	lating (i.e. ≤10% of total water volume is exchanged per day) water that is separated from the ive outside the culture system and subsequently reproduce.	wild aquatic medi
Criterion 2.4 T	ransgenic [12] Trout		
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
Footnote	[12] Transgenic: An organism, with the exception of human beings	, in which the genetic material has been altered in a way that does not occur naturally by mat	ing and/or natural

ether Indicator 2.2.1 is applicable to the farm.

n to verify that siting of buffer zones is appropriate and that the farm does not access to the water.

site visit, inspect buffer zones to verify appropriate siting and dimensions.

not present erosion risks.

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

species are not native or not previously established. For the purposes of Indicator on or if it can be shown that the species was established in the area of the farm prior

em is defined as a facility with recirculating water that is separated from the wild ared specimens or biological material that might survive and subsequently reproduce

ich type of culture system is used by the farm. If closed, then 2.3.1 does not apply Otherwise, proceed to 2.3.1B.

species of trout is cultured at the farm.

erature to determine if the cultured species is generally considered native to the area. does not apply (response "n/a"). Otherwise, proceed to 2.3.1D.

s not considered native to the area, review available information to determine if it nd had self-sustaining population established in the wild before publication of the Frout Standard (7 February 2013). If yes, then 2.3.1 does not apply (response "n/a"). red to 2.3.1E.

nt that the proposed culture stock is considered an 'exotic trout' under the ASC Standard and therefore the farm is ineligible for certification.

um by effective physical barriers that are in place and well maintained to ensure no

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

recombination. Source EFSA.

2.4.1	Indicator: Allowance for the culture of transgenic trout, including the offspring of genetically engineered trout Requirement: None Applicability: All	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.4.1 - Culture of Transgenic vs. Genetically Modified Tra Under Indicator 2.4.1, farms which culture transgenic trout are ineligible for certification. Th <u>Transgenic Trout:</u> A subset of genetically modified organisms (GMOs), which are organisms t species and, therefore, are not transgenic but cisgenic [12]. <u>Genetic enhancement:</u> The process of genetic improvement via selective breeding that can r genes into the genome of the animal [13]. Under the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard, the culture of genetically enhanced trout stocks i Note: In countries where transgenic trout are not allowed by law, a statement from the auth	out erefore it is import hat have inserted I esult in better grow s allowed. The cultu
		a. Maintain records for the origin of all cultured stocks including the supplier name, address and contact person(s) for stock purchases.	A. Review records
		b. Ensure purchase documents confirm that the culture stock is not transgenic.	B. If the auditor su stock identity test an ISO 17025 cert provides official st
Footnote	[13] Genetic enhancement: The process of genetic improvement vi	a selective breeding that can result in better growth performance and domestication but does	s not involve the in
Criterion 2.5 E	scapes from culture facilities[14]		
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
		a. Ensure that farm develops containment plan (see Appendix IV). Align farm procedures against requirements in Appendix -IV.	A. Review the con
	Indicator : Evidence of a well-designed, maintained and managed culture system, infrastructure and farm management[15] to minimise escapes during stocking, grow-out, grading and harvest.	b. Ensure proper maintenance of the culture system and infrastructure to prevent escapes during stocking, grow-out, grading and harvest.	B. During the initia screens and barrie
2.5.1	Requirement: Yes		
	Applicability: All except closed production systems	c. For initial audits, arrange for the auditor to witness the farm's method of harvesting	C. During the initia

during the on-site visit.

14] Farms operating a closed system (e.g. RAS) can be excluded from this clause if fish escape possibilities are proven to be impossible.

Footnote

tant to be clear about the definitions adopted by the FTAD Steering Committee.

DNA that originated in a different species. Some GMOs contain no DNA from other

wth performance and domestication but does not involve the insertion of any foreign

ure of transgenic and cisgenic trout stocks is not allowed.

this is sufficient to show compliance with Indicator 2.4.1.

s to confirm compliance with the requirement.

uspects that transgenic fish are in culture, add condition that the farm must have ted by collecting randomly 3 fish from each stocked tank/cage for genetic analysis at tified laboratory. An exception is made for countries in which (local) governments tatements for non-allowance of transgenic fish.

sertion of any foreign genes into the genome of the animal.

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

ntainment plan and check if it fulfills the requirments in Appendix IV.

ial on-site visit, inspect the culture system to verify proper maintenance of nets, ers.

C. During the initial on-site visit, observe how the farm harvests fish to verify effectiveness of containment plan.

Footnote	 Proper farm management regarding escape prevention include assessing potential factors that can result in fish escapes (e.g. siti mesh size, appropriate mooring and cage-system robustness – inclu assessing the risks for the listed risk factors (under 1) and develo training staff to be aware of the (potential) risks and to follow escape record keeping and implementing corrective actions where ident reviewing the escape prevention management system on a yearly 	es, but is not restricted to: Ing related to marine navigation, nets with appropriate net strength – including resistance to Iding protection against floating debris and forecastable weather events, fish handling/transp ping Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) cape prevention SOP to minimise escape risk(s) cified y basis, or when escape events occur, and revise where and when needed.	net biting from far ort procedures)
		a. Maintain records of accuracy of the counting technology used by the farm at times of stocking and harvest. Records include copies of spec sheets for counting machines and common estimates of error for hand-counts.	A. Confirm that th used on site at sto
	Indicator: The farm shall count all stocked and harvested fish	b. If counting takes place off site (e.g. pre-smolt vaccination count), obtain and maintain documents from the supplier showing the accuracy of the counting method used (as above).	B. Verify the clien
2.5.2	using a counting technology or counting method with an accuracy of ≥98% . Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	c. During audits, arrange for the auditor to witness calibration of counting machines (if used by the farm).	C. Verify that the
		-	D. Confirm the sta stocking and harv and through com
		e. Submit counting technology accuracy to ASC on an ongoing basis (i.e. at least once per year and for each production cycle).	E. Confirm that cl
	Indicator: All fish in net pens/cages shall also be counted during	a. Prepare a written procedure for grading which describes the frequency and methodology for obtaining counts.	A. Review the far
2.5.3	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except closed production systems	b. Keep records of counts obtained at each grading.	B. Review records when grading occ
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.5.4 - Calculation of Estimated Unexplained Loss The Estimated Unexplained Loss (EUL) of fish is calculated at the end of each production cycl EUL = (stocking count) - (harvest count) - (mortalities) - (recorded escapes) Units for input variables are number of fish (i.e. counts) per complete production cycle.	e as follows:
2.5.4	Indicator: Number of known escapes and unexplained losses are publicly documented and reported to the relevant authorities as well as to ASC on an annual basis. Requirement: Yes	 a. For each production cycle, maintain detailed records of the following: stocking count; harvest count; mortalities; and recorded escapes. 	A. Review records
	2.5.2	2.5.2 Indicator: The farm shall count all stocked and harvested fish using a counting technology or counting method with an accuracy of £98%. Requirement: Yes Applicability: All 2.5.3 Indicator: All fish in net pens/cages shall also be counted during each grading. 2.5.3 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except closed production systems Indicator: Number of known escapes and unexplained losses are publicly documented and reported to the relevant authorities as well as to ASC on an annual basis. 2.5.4 Requirement: Yes	2.5.2 2.5.2 2.5.2 2.5.2 2.5.2 2.5.2 2.5.4

rmed fish and predators, net testing and maintenance, nets with appropriate net

he farm keeps records of counting accuracy for the counting technology or method ocking and harvest.

nt obtains information from smolt suppliers (if applicable).

farm calibrates counting equipment as recommended by the manufacturer.

ated accuracy of the farm's counting technology or counting method is ≥ 98% at both vest. Stated accuracy shall be determined by the spec sheet for counting machines mon estimates of error for any hand-counts.

lient has submitted counting technology accuracy to ASC.

m's procedure for grading.

s and ask producer to trace back a logical unit from harvest to stocking, showing curred.

ls for completeness.

Applicability: All	b. Calculate the estimated unexplained loss as described in the instructions (above) for the most recent full production cycle. For first audit, farm must demonstrate understanding of	B. Verify that the for EUL presented
	c. Make the results from 2.5.4b publicly available (e.g. by publishing information on the farm's website) and send to ASC. Keep records of when and where the results were made public for all production cycles.	C. Verify that the in the audit repor

Criterion 2.6 Predator control	[16]	
	[+0]	

		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
Footnote	[16] Excluding "vermin" as defined in the local jurisdiction.		
2.6.1	Indicator: Intentional use of lethal predator control Requirement: None [17] Applicability: All except as noted in [17]	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 2.6.1 - Exception to Prohibition on Use of Lethal Predator The requirements of Indicator 2.6.1 prohibit farms from using lethal control measures to mar compliance with Indicator 2.6.1, farms must provide a detailed description of the predator con- In certain limited and well-justified circumstances, the CAB may permit an exception to require provide evidence of an assessment that demonstrates lethal action against a particular preda- must come from an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) or any other credible process of CAB shall reproduce the written justification by the producer in the audit report. This except defined by local or national legislation. Similarly, this exception cannot be applied to IUCN re- a. Prepare a list of all predator control devices used on the farm and their locations. b. Provide a description of farm procedures for managing predators (e.g. in the SOP identified in 2.5.2) which explains how the farm ensures that all actions are non-lethal.	r Control nage predators. M ontrol measures u irements of Indica ator is appropriate environmental an ion cannot be app d listed species id A. Review list and B. Verify that the the control meas
Footnote	[17] The Standard permits an exception to the prohibition on leth populations or ecosystems. This exception does not apply to speci	al action in situations where the farm can provide evidence of an assessment that demonstrate ies that are threatened, endangered or critically endangered. The assessment must come from	es that lethal actio an EIA or any othe
PRINCIPLE 3:	MINIMISE NEGATIVE EFFECT ON WATER RESOURCES		
Criterion 3.1	Land-based systems - Water Use/Abstraction Levels		
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	

farm calculates estimated unexplained losses correctly according using the formula d above.

farm makes the information available to the public and describe the means of access rt.

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

anagement of predators shall be through non-lethal methods only. To ensure sed at the site.

tor 2.6.1. Specifically, an exception may be granted in situations where the farm can e, necessary and presents no risks to wild populations or ecosystems. The assessment alysis. If the CAB determines that a farm should be allowed an exception to 2.6.1, the lied to species that are vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered [20] as entified as threatened under Indicator 2.1.3.

confirm device locations and working condition during the on-site inspection.

farm's predator control procedures are implemented and that there is no evidence ures are lethal.

n against a particular predator is appropriate, necessary and presents no risks to wild er credible process of environmental analysis.

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

Applebility: Instruction to Clients for indicator 3.1.1 - Exemptions from Meeting the Maxims for Water from an atural forum water body a forum an atural forum water body in the source from an atural forum water body in the source forum an atural forum water body in the source forum an atural forum water body in the source forum an atural forum water body in the source forum an atural forum water body in the source forum an atural forum water body in the source forum an atural forum water forum an atural forum water body in the source forum an atural forum water forum an atural forum water body in the source forum and th				
Footnote [18] Farms will be exempted from this requirement if they can demonstrate that they are in a jurisdiction that regulates the farm's water abstraction based on a minimum vital water vital flow. Farms would also be exempt if they can demonstrate that they are in a jurisdiction that regulates the farm's water abstraction based on a minimum vital flow. Indicator: Demonstration that ≥90% abstracted water is returned to the natural water body a. Retain records to show how the farm ensures that >90% of abstracted water is returned to the natural water body. A. Review farm retores to show how the farm ensures that >90% of abstracted water is returned to the natural water body. A. Review farm retores to show how the farm ensures that >90% of abstracted water is returned to the natural water body. A. Review farm retores to show how the farm ensures that >90% of abstracted water is returned to the natural water body. A. Review farm retores to the natural water body. 3.1.2 Requirement: Yes B. During the on-stracted water is returned a river) Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.1.3 - Distinction between Surface Water and Underground Pumped Water has been permitted by regulatory authorities B. During the on-stree water is used here in place of the original term "surficial water" that appeared in the provide water is not on a stream, river, lake, wetland or ocean. Groundwater is defined as "water beneat ground water comes to the surface. Once spring water is flowing naturally across the ground surface, it is no low. 3.1.3 Requirement: Yes Note: the term "surface water" is used here in place of the original term "surficial water" that appeared in the provide water is no low.	3.1.1	Indicator: Maximum amount of water that a farm can abstract from a natural flowing water body (such as a river or stream) Requirement: 50% of the natural water body's flow immediately above the farm [18] Applicability: All farms utilizing surface water (such as water from a river) except as noted in [18]	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.1.1 - Exemptions from Meeting the Maxima for Water Indicator 3.1.1 requires that farms abstract no more than half of the water from a natural flo recognizes a need for farms and auditors to remain flexible. It may be challenging to evaluate themselves are highly modified from a natural state (e.g. some of the centuries-old channels show how the farm's water abstraction volume is consistent with meeting the intent of the re Where local authority or scientific study has established a minimum vital water flow for the v Exeption #1: Farms are exempt if they demonstrate that their jurisdiction of operation regula provide documentary evidence to show that water use complies with regulatory requiremen Exeption #2: Farms are exempt if they demonstrate that abstraction amounts respect the lim documentary evidence to show their water usage is consistent with maintaining the mir a. Inform the CAB if the farm seeks an exemption to 3.1.1. and provide supporting evidence (see Instructions). Otherwise, proceed to 3.1.1b. b. Maintain records of all water abstracted by the farm and use these values to calculate the total volume of water abstracted on an annual basis. c. Provide the CAB with reliable estimates of water flow immediately above the farm (e.g. scientific studies, government publications). Use these values to calculate the total volume of water flow on an annual basis. d. Use the results of 3.1.1b divided by 3.1.1c multiplied by 100 to determine the percent abstraction of the natural water body's flow.	Abstraction wing water body as some water ways in Europe). In such equirement. vater body, farms s ates water abstract ts for minimum vit its determined by nima set by scientif A. If the farm seek derived water flow B. Confirm that th annual volume of C. Confirm that th and that caclulatio D. Review data to water body's flow measurement at p farmer is required
Indicator:Demonstration that >90% abstracted water is returned to the natural water body.A. Review farm ret to the natural water body.3.1.2Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms utilizing surface water (such as water from a river)	Footnote	[18] Farms will be exempted from this requirement if they can dem vital flow. Farms would also be exempt if they can demonstrate abs	onstrate that they are in a jurisdiction that regulates the farm's water abstraction based on a straction amounts respect limits determined by a scientific study that estimates minimum vita	minimum vital wat I flow.
Indicator:All use of underground pumped water has been permitted by regulatory authoritiesInstruction to Clients for Indicator 3.1.3 - Distinction between Surface Water and Underground Pumped Water For the purposes of showing compliance with Indicator 3.1.3, it is necessary to make a distinction between "sur collecting on the ground or in a stream, river, lake, wetland or ocean. Groundwater is defined as "water beneat ground water comes to the surface. Once spring water is flowing naturally across the ground surface, it is no lon3.1.3Requirement: YesNote: the term "surface water" is used here in place of the original term "surficial water" that appeared in the F	3.1.2	 Indicator: Demonstration that ≥90% abstracted water is returned to the natural water body Requirement: Yes Applicability: All farms utilizing surface water (such as water from a river) 	a. Retain records to show how the farm ensures that > 90% of abstracted water is returned to the natural water body.	A. Review farm real B. During the on-s means of estimati
	3.1.3	Indicator: All use of underground pumped water has been permitted by regulatory authorities Requirement: Yes	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.1.3 - Distinction between Surface Water and Undergro For the purposes of showing compliance with Indicator 3.1.3, it is necessary to make a distinc collecting on the ground or in a stream, river, lake, wetland or ocean. Groundwater is defined ground water comes to the surface. Once spring water is flowing naturally across the ground Note: the term "surface water" is used here in place of the original term "surficial water" that	und Pumped Wate ction between "sur d as "water beneat surface, it is no lor t appeared in the P

as determined on at least an annual basis. In implementing this requirement, the ASC s because of complex flow patterns (e.g. seasonal changes) or because the waterways h cases, operators should provide the CAB with sufficient background information to

should respect these minima. Therefore the ASC allows two exemptions to 3.1.1:

tion based on a minimum vital water flow for the natural water body. Farms must tal flow.

a scientific study which has estimated minimum vital flow. Farms must provide fic study.

ks an exemption, review evidence for compliance with regulatory or scientificallyw minima and provide a synopsis in the audit report. Otherwise, proceed to 3.1.1B.

ne farm maintains records of water abstraction and that calculations are accurate for f water abstracted.

ne farm has access to reliable estimates for water flow immediately above the farm ions are accurate for annual volume of water flow immediately above the farm.

o verify that the volume of water abstracted does not exceed 50% of the natural v immediately above the farm during any month of the year. One annual point of lowest flow rate period to demonstrate less than 50% water abstraction. The d to demonstrate historical statistics of what period is defined as "low flow rate".

ter flow for the natural water body, and the farm's water use respects that minimum

ecords for completeness.

site visit, inspect the water intake and discharge areas to confirm that the farm has ing returned water volume.

er

rface water" and "underground pumped water." Surface water is defined as "water th the earth's surface that supplies wells and springs". A spring is a location where onger ground water but is considered surface water.

PAD Standard.

	Applicability: All farms utilizing groundwater (such as water from a well)	a. Identify any use of underground pumped water by the farm and include in the farm map or diagram (see 1.1.1d and 2.1.1a).	A. Verify whether
		b. Obtain permits from regulatory authorities.	B. Confirm that th
		-	C. During the on-s
	Indicator: Well depths are tested at least annually, and results made publicly available [19]	a. Ensure that well tests are conducted at a similar time each year [19] using an appropriate methodology.	A. Review evidend appropriate meth
3.1.4	Requirement: Yes	b. Maintain records of results from all tests of well depth.	B. Confirm that th
	Applicability: All farms utilizing groundwater (such as water from a well)	c. Make the results from 3.1.4b available publicly (e.g. by posting on the farm's website). Keep records of when and where results were made public.	C. Verify that the testing results in t
Footnote	[19] Well depths must be tested at similar times of the year, with r	esults submitted to ASC. Wells that are by law not allowed to be opened are exempt from this	indicator
Criterion 3.2	Land-based systems—Water Quality/Effluent		
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.2.1 - Calculating Total Phosphorus Released per Ton of Farms must demonstrate compliance with the requirement of Indicator 3.2.1 which specifies of fish produced over a 12-month period. The requirement is set at 4 kg/mt. The calculation formulas are given in Appendix II-A. If applicable, farms may take account of any physical removals of phosphorus in the form of - the farm has records showing the total quantity of sludge removed from site over the re - the farm determined phosphorus concentration (% P) in removed sludge by sampling ar - the sludge was properly disposed off site and in accordance with the farm's biosolid (slu	f Fish Produced s the maximum am of total phosphoru sludge provided th elevant time period nd analyzing repres idge) management
		a. Maintain records showing the amount and type of feeds used during the past 12 months.	A. Verify that farm
3.2.1	Indicator: Maximum total amount of phosphorus released into the aquatic environment per tonne (t) of fish produced over the previous 12-month period (see methodology in Appendix II-A)	b. For all feeds used (result from 3.2.1a), keep records showing phosphorus content as determined by chemical analysis or based on feed supplier declaration (Appendix II-A).	B. Verify that farn
	Requirement: 4 kg/t of fish produced Applicability: All land-based systems	c. Using equation #1 from Appendix II-A and results from 3.2.1a and b, calculate the total amount of phosphorus added as feed during the last 12 months of production.	C. Confirm that ca
		d. Maintain records for stocking, harvest and mortality which are sufficient to calculate the amount of biomass produced (equation #2 in Appendix II-A) during the past 12 months.	D. Verify that the during the past 12
		e. Calculate the amount of phosphorus in fish biomass produced (result from 3.2.1d) using equation #3 in Appendix II-A.	E. Confirm that P-
		f. If applicable, maintain records showing the total amount of P removed as sludge (equation #4 in Appendix II-A) during the past 12 months.	F. As applicable, v from the system a
		g. Using the formula in Appendix II-A and results from 3.2.1a-f (above), calculate total phosphorus released per ton of fish produced.	G. Review calculat phosphorus relea

r the farm uses underground pumped water or not and record this in the audit report.

ne farm has permits for all pumped water (as applicable).

site visit, inspect groundwater sources (as applicable).

ce to verify that the farm has wells tested at a similar time each year using an nodology.

ne farm maintains results from tests of well depth.

farm makes the information from 3.1.4b available to the public and record the the audit report (public section).

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

ount of phosphorus that a producer can release into the environment per tonne (t) us released is made using a "mass balance" approach. Detailed instructions and

ere is evidence to show: l; sentative batches; and

plan.

n has records for feeds used over the relevant time period.

m has records showing the phosphorus content in feeds.

alculations are done according to Appendix II-A.

farm maintained all records needed to calculate the amount of biomass produced 2 months.

-content calculations are done according to Appendix II-A.

verify records showing how the farm determined the amount of phosphorus removed as sludge.

itions to confirm that the farm does not exceed requirements for total amount of used.

	Indicator: Minimum oxygen saturation in the outflow, measured	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.2.2 - Oxygen Saturation in the Outflow Requirements for measuring oxygen saturation are given in Appendix II-B. Take DO measured receiving water. For farms using a water treatment system this could be the water in the final saturation from two data series: one taken in the early morning and another one taken in the If a single oxygen reading is below 60 percent, the farm would need to demonstrate daily con percent saturation at all times.	ments at the outle al part of the treat e late afternoon (d ntinuous monitorii
3.2.2	Requirement: 60% [20]	a. Provide monthly monitoring records of DO percent saturation in outlfow water for the previous 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	A. Review DO dat 60% for each mo
	Applicability: All land-based systems	b. If any single value from 3.2.2a is < 60%, initiate daily continuous DO monitoring with an electronic probe and recorder for > 1 week. Maintain a record of the results.	B. If applicable (so verify that DO sat
		c. During the on site visit, make arrangements for the auditor to observe calibration of equipment and measurements.	C. During the on- (or takes samples
Footnote	[20] If a single oxygen reading is below 60 per cent, the farm would	need to demonstrate daily continuous monitoring with an electronic probe and recorder for	at least a week wit
3.2.3	Indicator: Macro invertebrate surveys downstream from the farm's effluent discharge demonstrate benthic health that is similar to or better than surveys upstream from the discharge (see methodology in Appendix II-C)	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.2.3 - Macroinvertebrate Surveys A detailed description of the methodology for the macroinvertebrate survey is given in Appe contract to have the surveys completed by a competent external party. In either case, all req laboratory that has approved the sampling methodology. Macroinvertebrate surveys must be conducted once every 12 months (i.e. annual sampling) the benthic health status between two consecutive surveys, the farm must perform two surv demonstrate compliance with the Standard. Second, in situations where downstream and up 24 months (i.e. semi-annual sampling). When survey results indicate that the health of downstream benthic communities is worse the exemption from the CAB. In such cases, an exemption may only be awarded if it can be show levels set by competent authority (e.g. a government agency) as established through scientifi results of the farm's benthic surveys are consistent with the intent and rigor of the ASC Fresh a. Have a scientific assessment done in the area downstream of the outlet to identify the zone most likely to be impacted by farm discharge. This assessment must consider water mining and distance form form outlet.	with two exception with two exception yeys during the foll ostream benthic he han upstream but in that the observe ic analyses. For an awater Trout Stand A. Confirm that the downstream sam
	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All land-based systems	b. Prepare a map showing the upstream and downstream transects and sampling stations used for macroinvertebrate surveys (see Appendix II-C).	B. Review map to (see 3.2.3a) and i
		c. Collect benthic samples along transects in accordance with Appendix II-C and maintain records of all sample collections.	C. Confirm that th
		d. Have an accredited laboratory analyze the samples for benthic invertebrate fauna including characterization of species composition, abundance, diversity, and presence of key sensitive indicator species.	D. Confirm that t the laboratory re
		e. Using survey results from 3.2.3d, compare the benthic health of areas downstream from the discharge to those areas upstream of the discharge to assure no change.	E. Review the farm farm's conclusion surveys show con

t where water is discharged (i.e. measure DO in the actual outflow, not in the ment system before being discharged). Each month, determine percent oxygen loes not need to be daily).

ng with an electronic probe and recorder for at least a week with a minimum 60

taset to confirm that monitoring covers the required timeframe and that DO was ≥ nthly water sample.

see results from 3.2.2a), review the farm's results from daily continuous monitoring to turation in the outflow was \geq 60% at all times for at least one week.

site visit, observe how the farm calibrates equipment and takes DO measurements s for chemical analysis) to confirm compliance.

th a minimum 60 per cent saturation at all times.

C Freshwater Trout Standard. Farms may undertake the surveys themselves or methodology shall be fulfilled including anlaysis of samples by an accredited

ns. First, in situations where the downstream survey drops a category according to lowing 12 month period (i.e. bi-annual sampling) using the same faunal system, that ealth status is consistent for 3 years or more, the farm may perform sampling every

there is reason to suspect that farm effluent was not the cause, the farm may seek an ed health of downstream benthic communities is consistent with minimum health by such exceptions, the auditor shall fully document in the audit report how the dard.

he farm used the results from a scientific assessment to determine the location of upling.

o verify appropriate siting of sampling stations relative to the scientific assessment in compliance with Appendix II-C.

he sample collection followed Appendix II-C.

the laboratory used by the farm is accredited for analyses of benthic samples. Review esults to confirm that the samples of benthic fauna were characterized as required.

m's comparison of upstream and downstream benthic health to confirm that the as are directly supported by objective evidence from benthic surveys. Verify that mpliance with the requirement.

			-	F. Compare upstre ferquency (see ins	
ſ			Note: Detailed description of the biosolids (sludge) Best Management Practices is given in Appendix II-D of the		
			a. Prepare a biosolids (sludge) management plan that addresses all requirements in Appendix II-D.	A. Review the farr	
	3.2.4	Indicator: Evidence of implementation of biosolids (sludge); Best Management Practices (BMPs) (see Appendix II-D) Requirement: Yes	b. Prepare a process flow diagram of the key steps taken to responsibly manage sludge identifying treatment, transfer, storage, utilization and disposal.	B. Evaluate the flc channels and unit	
		Applicability: All land-based systems	c. Maintain records of biosolid (sludge) cleaning, maintenance, and disposal as described in Appendix II-D.	C. Review the farr required in Apper	
			-	D. During the on s evidence for disch	
			Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.2.5 - Water Quality Monitoring Matrix, Land-Based Sys Land-based farms are required to monitor a 'matrix' of four water quality parameters shown biological oxygen demand (BOD); and Total Suspended Solids (TSS). Monitoring of these four that may be required by local regulatory authorities.	tems in Appendix II-B of parameters repres	
			The ASC Freshwater Trout Standard does not prescribe details of the sampling methodology programs are not dictated by local regulation, farms may use their own discretion to design at the ASC encourages farms to consider the following factors when designing a water quality methodology of comparison of differences in water quality between inflow and outflow (i.e. an upstream - influence of seasonality (e.g. sampling should be done at least monthly to identify seasor - sampling from multiple stations to investigate waterbody dynamics; - consistency of sampling position (e.g. water samples are taken from a 1-meter column or - uniform time of sample collection (e.g. all samples taken 2 hours before sunset); and - inclusion of additional parameters that are of direct relevance to the farm operation (e.g.	(i.e. spatial distribu water quality mo nonitoring program vs. downstream a nal patterns); f water or deeper) g. temperature, sal	
			Note 1: Under Indicator 3.2.2, farms are required to monitoring dissolved oxygen (DO) conce of their water quality monitoring program however this is not a requirement.	ntration. Farms m	
		Indicator: Water-quality monitoring matrix completed and	Note 2: Farms may perform the analyses of water quality parameters on site or they may go to farms periodically send water samples to an independent laboratory to assure that farm anal samples or frequency of validation testing. If farms hire an independent accredited laborato water quality parameters (Appendix II-B) are monitored on at least a monthly basis and report do not apply. Suggest matching with SAD if there is this requirement.	to suitably qualifie yses are within a 5 ry to do water qua orted to ASC at leas	
	3.2.5	Requirement: Yes	a. Conduct ≥ 6 months of water quality monitoring before first audit. Thereafter, monitoring should be part of production practices for certified farms.	A. Do not schedul	
		Applicability: All land-based systems	b.Complete the Water Quality Monitoring Matrix (Appendix II-B) and submit to CAB.	B. Review Matrix frequency.	
			c. Calibrate all equipment at the frequency and by the method recommended by the manufacturer. Calibrate daily if there is no manufacturer's recommendation.	C. Verify that clier	

eam and downstream benthic health over time to determine future surveillance structions).

ASC Freshwater Trout Standard.

m's biosolids (sludge) management plan for compliance with Appendix II-D.

ow diagram to confirm it covers all steps (e.g. cleaning routines of pipes, sumps, ts).

m's records to verify there is evidence of implementation of biosolids management as ndix II-D.

site visit, inspect the farm and conduct community interviews to verify there is no harge of biosolids into natural water bodies.

f the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard: total phosphorus (TP); total nitrogen (TN); sents a minimum level of compliance. They are in addition to any other parameters

ution of sites, temporal distribution of sample collection). Therefore, where such nitoring program that is suited to the specific objectives of the farm site. However n:

approach);

;

linity, flow rate, etc).

ay choose to include DO as one of the parameters that is routinely sampled as part

ed independent laboratories. If analyses are done on site, the SC recommends that 5% level of error. However, the ASC has not specified a requirement for number of ality sampling and testing, the farm is still responsible for ensuring that all specified st annually. However the requirements for calibration (3.2.5c) and shipping (3.2.5d)

le the on-site audit until client has monitoring dataset.

to verify that client monitored all four required parameters at the required

nt calibrates equipment as required.

		d. During the audit of the farm, arrange to conduct water quality monitoring. The auditor will witness water sampling.	D. Witness the clie
		e. Collect water samples and prepare them for shipment to a laboratory (if applicable).	E. Witness the far independent labo
		f. Perform routine analysis of water samples (i.e. done in the same manner as for previous months of water quality monitoring).	F. Witness the far independent labo
		g. Record values for each parameter and submit results to CAB.	G.Review the reco quality monitoring
		h. Submit data on water quality monitoring to ASC in a suitable format (required parameters are shown in Appendix II-B) at least once per year.	H. Confirm that cl
Criterion 3.3 C	Cage-Based Systems—Water quality/benthic community		_
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
	Indicator: For cages located on water bodies with a surface area	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 - Classification of Surface Area of Water I Under Indicators 3.3.1 and 3.3.2, farms using cages must specify whether the water body in body using reliable published data (scientific papers, government publications) or farms may Farms should provide the CAB with information on water body surface area and associated of	Body which they operate perform a spatial alculations, prior to
	less than 1,000 km ² , evidence that farm production levels reflect the results of an assimilative capacity study (see Appendix II-E) Requirement: Yes Applicability: Cage-based systems operating on water bodies with a surface area < 1000 km ²	a. Determine the surface area of the water body where the farm operates (see Instructions above).	A. Review data to
3.3.1		b. Inform the CAB if results from 3.3.1a indicate that the water body is less than 1,000 km ² surface area and proceed to 3.3.1c. Otherwise, go to 3.3.2.	B. Review the info correctly assigned does not apply.
		c. Obtain a documentated assimilative capacity study for the water body where the farm operates. The assimilative capacity study must address all requirements described in Appendix II-E.	C. Review the assi appropriateness c
		d. Provide evidence that the farm production levels reflect the results of the assimilative capacity study in 3.3.1c.	D. Review the con not exceed water
2 2 2	Indicator : For cages located on water bodies with a surface area of 1,000 km ² or greater, evidence that cages are located at sites that are classified as "Type 3" sites, as defined in Appendix II-F	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.2 - Water Body Classifications as Type 1, Type 2 or Ty Under Indicator 3.3.2, farms operating on water bodies with a surface area ≥ 1,000 km ² are method described in Appendix II-F. Where a regulatory agency has previously used the requivater body has not previously been classified by regulators according to the required classific described and provide a detailed analysis to support that determination (see Appendix II-F). Iimnology and environmental assessments, and a broad understanding of environmental imp Boyd et al. 2001 and results shall be documented in a report which provides a detailed analy Boyd, D., M. Wilson, and T. Howell (2001) Recommendations for Operational Water Quality I Ontario Ministry of Environment.	ype 3 required to show ever ired method to class ication system, the Independent consu- pacts of aquacultur sis to support the of Monitoring at Cage

ent conducting water quality monitoring.

rm collecting water samples or (if applicable) preparing samples to send to an pratory.

rm's analyses of water samples or (if applicable) review evidence that the pratory is suitably qualified to perform analyses.

orded values and examine consistency with the farm's previous results for water lg.

lient has submitted data on water quality to ASC (Appendix II-B).

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

e has a surface area greater than or less than 1,000 km². Farms may classify the water analysis using GIS or similar method to estimate surface area of the water body. the first audit.

confirm that it comes from an accurate and reliable source.

ormation used by the farm (see Instructions above) to verify that the farm has d the water body to a size class. If the water body is $\ge 1,000 \text{ km}^2$ then Indicator 3.3.1

imilative capacity study to verify it meets the requirments of Appendix II-E (e.g. of model used, scope of investigation, and analyses performed).

nclusions presented in 3.3.1c to verify that loading from farm production levels does ⁻ body capacity to assimilate.

vidence that cages are located at sites that are classified as "Type 3" using the ssify the site, the farm will use the regulator's classification. In situations where the farm shall contract an independent consultant to perform the classification as ultants shall have an advanced degree, a minimum of 5 years of experience in re operations on freshwater habitats. Classifications should follow the method of determination.

e Culture Aquaculture Operations Environmental Monitoring and Reporting Branch,

4 4 7			1
0.0.2	Requirement: Yes Applicability: Cage-based systems operating on water bodies with a surface area ≥ 1000 km ²	a. Determine the surface area of the water body where the farm operates (see 3.3.1a). If the surface area is 1,000 km ² or greater, proceed to 3.3.2b. Otherwise, go to 3.3.1	A. Review the info correctly assigned does not apply.
		b. Provide evidence that the water body classification was performed by a regulatory agency as required under Appendix II-F. If no regulatory agency has classified the water body, proceed to 3.3.2c.	B. Review the evic according to the r
		c. If applicable, hire a qualified independent consultant to analyze and classify the site where the farm operates in accordance with the definitions in Appendix II-F.	C. As applicable, v support the deter
		-	D. Confirm that a
3.3.3	Indicator: Water quality monitoring matrix completed (see Appendix II-G) Requirement: Yes Applicability: All cage-based systems	 Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.3 - Water Quality Monitoring, Cage-Based Systems Farms using cage-based systems are required to monitor two water quality parameters as sh Oxygen (DO). Monitoring of these two parameters represents a minimum level of compliance (Note 1). The ASC Freshwater Trout Standard requires that water quality monitoring is conducted at a the limit of the farm's management zone, roughly 50 m from the edge of cages. Boundary stat the farm is attached to land on one side, then the station from that side would be removed). downcurrent of the farm. Lastly, there is a 'pristine station' used for measuring changes in TF an area of the water body which is far removed from point discharge sources, stream inflows: GPS coordinates on a schematic map of the farm. Samples must be taken at least once every Water samples for TP shall be collected from a representative composite water column to a terrebody. For example, a hypothetical sampling design might involve compositing three gradepth (1.0 m) and near surface (0.2 m). TP concentration of water samples shall be analyzed by an accredited laboratory or using a near the bottom sediment (or at a depth of 25 m where sampling at greater depths is impractical). Note 1: The ASC encourages farms to consider additional factors (see Instructionsfor Indicator Note 2: If local regulatory authorities prescribe a specific sampling regime, farms should informethod of sampling in order to avoid duplication of sampling efforts. 	own in Appendix II e. They are <u>in addi</u> minimum of sever ations should be ar There are also two concentration aga s, aquaculture activ three months (i.e. depth of the botton arms should design ab samples from ea nethod with a dete or 3.2.5) when desi rm the CAB. Some
		a. Conduct ≥ 6 months of water quality monitoring before first audit and submit to CAB.	A. Do not schedul
		b. Calibrate all equipment at the frequency and by the method recommended by the manufacturer. Calibrate daily if there is no manufacturer's recommendation.	B. Verify that clier
		c. During the audit of the farm, arrange to conduct water quality monitoring at location of auditor's choice.	C. Witness the clie
		e. Collect water samples at the same location as 3.3.3a and obtain analysis from a water quality laboratory at least once annually.	E. Examine indeperiod

ormation used by the farm (see 3.3.1A and 3.3.1B) to verify that the farm has d the water body to a size class. If the water body is $< 1,000 \text{ km}^2$ then Indicator 3.3.2

dence from the regulatory agency to confirm that the site is classified as "Type 3" required methodology (if applicable).

verify that the consultant was suitably qualified and provided a detailed analysis to rmination.

ctual cage locations are at sites classified as Type 3.

I-G of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard: total phosphorus (TP) and Dissolved tion to any other parameters that may be required by local regulatory authorities

n (7) sampling stations. There are four 'boundary' stations which are established at rranged to the North, South, East and West or in a comparable spatial distribution (if o 'reference' stations which are established approximately 1-2 km upcurrent and ainst a baseline (see Indicator 3.3.4 below). The pristine station should be located in vities and anthropogenic impacts. All seven sampling stations shall be identified with quarterly) during periods without ice (Note 2).

m of the cages. The SC does not specify the number, volume or depth of individual a water sampling program to suit the specific arrangement of cages in the ach station, with individual grabs taken at cage bottom depth (2.0 m), middle cage

ection limit of \leq 0.002 mg/l. DO measurements shall be taken at 50 cm (0.5m) from

igning a water quality monitoring program.

flexibility may be allowed by qualitied team members, as to the exact location and

le the on-site audit until client has monitoring dataset.

nt calibrates equipment as required.

ent conducting water quality monitoring.

endent analyses performed by an independent laboratory (i.e. not by farm staff) for farm results for months where duplicate samples taken.

		f.Assure that values from laboratory are consistent with values obtained from laboratory results. If values differ by >5%, demonstrate how equipment has been recalibrated, replaced, or how procedures have been modified.	F. Examine percer whether ammenc confirm accurate
		g. Submit data on water quality monitoring to ASC as per Appendix II-G.	G. Confirm that cl
3.3.4	Indicator: Maximum baseline total phosphorus concentration of the water body (see Appendix II-H) Requirement: ≤ 20 μg/L [21]	 Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.4 - Establishing a Baseline Total Phosphorus Concen Indictors 3.3.4, 3.3.6, and 3.3.7 require that the farm has knowledge of a 'baseline' value for establish the baseline TP concentration in one of two ways: Option 1 - Adopt a Baseline Set by a Competent Authority For a water body where a baseline (e.g. regulatory agency, peer reviewed scientific study), the farm shall adopt that value as the Option 2 - Establish a Baseline Using Empirical Evidence For a water body where no authorit year of monitoring results for TP concentration. To pursue this second option, farms will foll as those for routine monitoring of TP concentration (as described under Indicator 3.3.3 and at least four quarterly samples taken exclusively from the 'pristine' sampling station. For first audits, farms may demonstrate compliance by showing that a reputable authority (the water body (option 1). Alternately, farms may provide evidence a baseline TP concentra Evidence may derive from a monitoring program operated by the farm itself or a suitably qu 	tration total phosphorus e total phosphorus e baseline TP conc ative baseline exist ow all relevant rec Appendix II-G). The e.g. government ag tion has been estal alified external par
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	a. Provide CAB with a description of the farm's TP monitoring program (e.g. sampling station, sampling protocol, name of laboratory used).	A. Review farm's Where situations facilities) resultin monitoring flow,
		b. Implement monitoring of TP as described in the instructions for Indicator 3.3.3.	B. During on site laboratory.
		c. Identify the baseline TP concentration of the water body (see Instructions above) and provide the CAB with evidence to show how this value was established.	C. Review the farm and rationale in t
		d. Provide monthly TP monitoring data to the CAB as indicated in Appendix II-G	D. Review TP data consistency.
		-	E. Review TP mon
Footnote	[21] This concentration is equivalent to the upper limit of the Meso	otrophic Trophic Status classification as described in Appendix II-H.	<u> </u>
225	Indicator: Minimum per cent oxygen saturation of water 50	a. Provide CAB with a description of the farm's oxygen saturation monitoring program (see Indicator 3.3.3).	A. Review farm's requirements.
	centimetres above bottom sediment (at all oxygen monitoring locations described in Appendix II-G)	b. Implement monitoring of oxygen saturation according to the methods described above.	B. Witness how th as required.
5.5.5	Requirement: ≥ 50%	c. Provide oxygen monitoring data to the CAB.	C. Review the farm <50% saturation.
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	-	D. If a value of < 5
L	I.	1	

nt error between farm measurements and auditor measurements.Determine dments made are sufficient. Auditor is at liberty to request a second set of tests to recalibration.

lient has submitted data on water quality to ASC (Appendix II-B).

(TP) concentration of the water body in which the farm operates. Farms may

concentration has been set by competent authority that is independent of the farm entration.

s, an alternative is for farms to establish a baseline themselves using at least one quirements for monitoring TP. Data collection requirements are essentially the same only exception is that establishment of baseline TP concentration is calculated using

gency, peer reviewed scientific study) has established a baseline TP concentration for blished using empirical evidence. exceed $\leq 20 \ \mu g/l$ in the water body of operation. Ty.

description of the TP monitoring program to verify it complies with requirements. arise with complex modified water bodies (eg: large lakes and/or hydroelectric g in high or variable water depth fluctuations, sites should record with frequent depth and water quality

visit, observe sample collection, processing, and transport or mailing to the

m's evidence for establishment of a baseline TP concentration and record the value he audit report.

a set for completeness and cross-check against previous monitoring results for

nitoring records and verify that no quarterly TP concentration is \geq 20 µg/l.

description of the oxygen saturation montioring program to verify it complies with

he farm makes calibrations and takes DO measurements to ensure that testing done

m's DO data set for completeness and to verify that verify that no monthly value was

50% saturation is detected while on-site, raise a Non-conformance.

	Indicator: Trophic status classification of water body remains	a. Obtain documentary evidence stating the trophic status of water body if previously set by a competent authority (if applicable). If not, got to 3.3.6.b	A. Verify that farr by a competent a
3.3.6	unchanged from baseline (see Appendix II-H) Requirement: Yes	b. If the trophic status of the water body has not previously been classified, use the baseline TP concentration (result from 3.3.4c) to assign a trophic status to the water body according to the table in Appendix II-H.	B. Verify that the concentration.
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	c. Compare the current trophic status of the water body (results from either 3.3.6a or 3.3.6b) to the trophic status reported in all previous audits. For first audits, this requirement is not applicable.	C. Review the far
	Indicator: Maximum allowed increase in total phosphorus concentration in lake from baseline	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.7 - Calculation of Percent Increase in TP from Baselin Indicator 3.3.7 requires that farms calculate the increase in total phosphorus (TP) concentrat twelve months of TP data; and at least six months with defined criteria prior to first-time au instruction for Indicator 3.3.4) for the water body. Percent change in TP from baseline is calcu Δ TP = [(TP _{Current} - TP _{Baseline})/TP _{Basline}] * 100 Where: TP _{Current} is the annual average TP concentration (mg/l) as observed over the most recent 12 m TP _{Baseline} is the baseline TP concentration (mg/l) as perviously established for the water body.	e ion from a baselin dit. Farms will u ulated as follows: nonths; and
3.3.7	 Requirement: 25% for water bodies with a surface area of less than 1,000 km² 15% for water bodies with a surface area of 1,000 km² or greater Applicability: All cage-based systems as specifed according to size of water body in which the farm operates 	a. Use the result from Indicator 3.3.4 (above) to identify the baseline TP concentration that will be used to calculate percent change from baseline.	A. Verify that the concentration for
		b. Use the result from Indicator 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 (above) to identify the size of the water body in which the farm operates.	B. Verify that farr
		c. Use TP monitoring data from the reference station taken over the past 12 months to calculate the current annual average concentration of TP.	C. Verify that farr from the referen
		d. Calculate the difference between 'baseline TP' and the annual average TP concentration over the most recent 12 months according to the instructions given above.	D. Verify that the concentration.
		-	E. Confirm that a range for the size
		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 3.3.8 - Calculation of Total Phosphorus Released per Ton Farms must demonstrate compliance with the requirement of Indicator 3.3.8 which specifies of fish produced over a 12-month period. The requirement is set at 4 kg/t. The calculation of formulas are given in Appendix II-A. Sludge removals will reduce the total amount of phosphorus that a farm releases into the en- was removed as sludge if there is evidence to show that: - the farm has records showing the total quantity of sludge removed from site over the re - the farm determined phosphorus concentration (% P) in removed sludge by sampling an - the sludge was properly disposed off site and in accordance with the farm's biosolid (sluc-	of Fish Produced the maximum am total phosphorus vironment. When levant time perioo d analyzing repres dge) management
	Indicator: Maximum total amount of phosphorus released into	a. Maintain records showing the amount and type of feeds used during the past 12 months.	A. Verify that farr

Audit Manual - ASC Freshwater Trout Standard - version 1.2

Copyright © 2014, 2019 Aquaculture Stewardship Council. All rights reserved by Aquaculture Stewardship Council

m obtains evidence that the trophic status of the water body has been previously set authority (as applicable).

farm has correctly assigned trophic status to the water body using baseline TP

m's conclusion to verify compliance with the requirement.

e value for the water body in which the farm operates. Farms need to demonstrate se the same 'baseline TP' concentration as determined previously (see above

farm has justification for selecting the TP value to serve as the baseline TP r the water body (as was done for 3.3.4).

m has accurately catergorized the size of the water body.

m has accurately calculated the current annual average TP concentration using data ce station.

farm has made accurate calculation of the percentage difference in TP

ny observed increase in phosphorus concentration falls within the maximum allowed of water body where the farm operates.

nount of phosphorus that a producer can release into the environment per tonne (t) released is made using a "mass balance" approach. Detailed instructions and

performing the calculation in Appendix II-A, farms may include the weight of P that

d; sentative batches; and t plan.

m has records for feeds used over the relevant time period.

3.3.8	the environment per tonne (t) of fish produced over the previous 12-month period (see Appendix II-A)	b. For all feeds used (result from 3.3.8a), keep records showing phosphorus content as determined by chemical analysis or based on feed supplier declaration (Appendix II-A).	B. Verify that farm
	Applicability: All cage-based systems	c. Using equation #1 from Appendix II-A and results from 3.3.8a and b, calculate the total amount of phosphorus added as feed during the last 12 months of production.	C. Confirm that ca
		d. Maintain records for stocking, harvest and mortality which are sufficient to calculate the amount of biomass produced (equation #2 in Appendix II-A) during the past 12 months. Value taken from 3.2.1.d	D. Verify that the during the past 12
		e. Calculate the amount of phosphorus in fish biomass produced (result from 3.3.8d) using equation #3 in Appendix II-A.	E. Confirm that P-
		f. If applicable, maintain records showing the total amount of P removed as sludge (equation #4 in Appendix II-A) during the past 12 months. This compliance criteria valid for flow-through systems but does not apply for cage systems.	F. As applicable, v from the system a for cage systems.
		g. Using the formula in Appendix II-A and results from 3.3.8a-f (above), calculate total phosphorus released per ton of fish produced.	G. Review calculat phosphorus releas
3.3.9	 indicator: Allowance for use of permanent aeration systems or other technological means to increase oxygen levels in the water body. Requirement: None Applicability: All 	a. Ensure the farm does not use permanent aeration systems or other technological means to increase oxygen levels in the water body.	A. During on site a technological mea
			B. During commu technological mea
PRINCIPLE 4: F	PROACTIVELY MAINTAIN THE HEALTH OF CULTURED FISH AND MINI	MISE THE RISK OF DISEASE TRANSMISSION	1
Criterion 4.1 F	Farm health management		
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
		Note: If the farms has a separate crisis management plan to cover food safety issues, that pla	an may be incorpor
	Indicator : Evidence of an implementation of a site-specific farm health plan that is reviewed at least annually and addresses, as a minimum biosecurity, veterinary health and crisis management.	a. Prepare a Farm Health Plan (FHP) that is site-specific and addresses biosecurity, veterinary health, crisis management, and risk assessment	A. Review the farr requirements.
4.1.1	Requirement: Yes	b. Ensure that the FHP is reviewed and updated at least annually with signatures by farm management indicating approval.	B. Verify that farm
	Applicability: All	c. Ensure that the farm's designated veterinarian reviews and approves the FHP annually and after each update of the FHP, by signature.	C. Confirm that th veterinarian.
		Note: health status metrics should be weighted towards serious conditions, not transitory or	nes.

Copyright © 2014, 2019 Aquaculture Stewardship Council. All rights reserved by Aquaculture Stewardship Council

m has records showing the phosphorus content in feeds.

alculations are done according to Appendix II-A.

farm maintained all records needed to calculate the amount of biomass produced 2 months.

-content/biomass produced calculations are done according to Appendix II-A.

verify records showing how the farm determined the amount of phosphorus removed as sludge. This compliance criteria valid for flow-through systems but does not apply

itions to confirm that the farm does not exceed requirements for total amount of used.

audit confirm that the farm does not use permanent aeration systems or other ans to increase oxygen levels in the water body.

nity consultation ask if the farm makes use of permanent aeration systems or other ans to increase oxygen levels in the water body.

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

rated by reference into the farm's Farm Health Plan.

m health plan to confirm that it adequately addresses each of the relevant

m management approves review and update of the FHP at least annually.

ne farm has paperwork showing signature and date of review by designated

		a. Design a set of health status metrics that can be evaluated at all relevant phases of the life history. Note: metrics for serious health conditions (e.g. symptoms of infectious disease) should outweigh metrics for transitory conditions (e.g. fin abrasions). Have the metrics reviewed and approved by the farm's designated health care professional.	A. Verify that the across the life his health care profe
		b. Ensure that the farm's designated health care professional samples fish on-site during an annual inspection and maintains records of conditions using metrics defined by 4.1.2a.	B. Examine the fa care professional.
		c. Ensure that the samples of health condition (from 4.1.2b) are taken from all of the main cohorts in production during each health status inspection .	C. Ensure records veterinary health
4.1.2	Indicator: All fish, at all stages in the life cycle, are sourced from a supply that is of equal or better health status than its own stock Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	d. Prior to accepting a transfer of fish (whether the transfer is internal or external), ensure that the supplier has evaluated fish using the farm's health status metrics in 4.1.2a. Farm's may also use evidence from statutory evaluations (e.g. health certificates) as a basis for accepting transfers provided that the evaluations are appropriately documented.	D. Verify that the prior to accepting evaluations befor
		e. Ensure that responsible farm staff are trained to evaluate fish condition using health status metrics. Training should include instruction on how to identify fish health symptoms. Farms may decide for themselves on the most effective training tools (e.g. lectures, courses, tests) and frequency of training and re-training (e.g. annually, every two years, etc).	E.Verify that resp health status met
		f. Arrange for the farm's veterinary health professional to reviewthe accuracy of fish health condition scores that were assigned by trained farm staff. This validation exercise may be done annually on a small sample of fish.	F. Verify that the staff.
		g.Ensure that a sub-sample of fish are screened from each batch prior to transfer. Any batch which does not conform is returned to the supplier with health status metrics recorded.	G. Verify that the decision to transf
	Indicator: All fish that are moved off site, at all stages in the life	a. Ensure that receivers evaluate fish health condition using metrics defined by the farm's designated veterinary health specialist (4.1.2a) at the receiving location prior to transfer, and to convey this information prior to transfer.	A. Verify the rece transfer.
4.1.3	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b. Ensure that trained farm staff (4.1.2e) evaluate the health condition of a subsample of individuals prior to moving fish off site.	B. Verify that app recorded results
		c. Ensure that fish are only moved off site if there are records demonstrating that fish health in the receiving location is equal to or less than that in the shipping location.	C. Verify that the location is equal t
		a. Prepare written protocols for site access, disinfection and hygiene (these protocols may be incorporated into the Farm Health Plan in 4.1.1a).	A. Verify that the
	Indicator: Site access, disinfection and hygiene protocols are implemented and annually reviewed	b. In the above protocols (4.1.4a) make direct reference to national regulations related to site access, disinfection and hygiene.	B. Verify that rele
4.1.4	Requirement: Yes	c. Ensure that farm protocols for site access, disinfection and hygiene are implemented.	C. Verify that the and hygiene prote
-	-		

farm has designed health status metrics which are reasonable and can be evaluated story. Confirm that the metrics were approved by the farm's designated veterinary essional.

arm's record of conditions from annual inspection by the farm's designated health

of evaluations are taken from all main cohorts in production at the time of the care professional's inspection.

farm has evidence of suppliers evaluating fish using the farm's health status metrics g transfer or, if applicable, verify that the farm reviews evidence from statutory re accepting transfers.

oonsible farm staff have received training on how to evaluate fish condition using trics.

farm's veterinary health professional has reviewed the accuracy of scoring by farm

e farm has evidence of health screening on a sub-sample of individuals prior to a fer each batch of fish.

iving farm has evidence that health check scoring was carried out before accepting

propriately trained staff (as per 4.1.2e) have evaluated health condition and have prior to out-shipments.

farm has a protocol that assures that evaluations show health status in receiving to or less than that in the shipping location.

required protocols exist.

evant national legislation has been appropriately accounted for in protocols.

farm has on-site access to all materials needed for implementation of distinfection ocols.

4.1.5 Applicability: All - D. Confirm the 4.1.5 Indicator: Bio-secure disposal of all mortalities and fish trimmings. A. Verify that 4.1.5 Requirement: Yes - D. Confirm the Applicability: All Create a protocol for biosecure disposal of biological tissue and fish trimmings with a mortalities and fish trimmings. D. Confirm the above protocol (4.1.5b), make exploit reference to any national regulations related to disposal of biological waste. D. Confirm the above protocol (4.1.5b), make exploit reference to any national regulations related to disposal of biological waste. D. Confirm the above protocol (4.1.5b), make exploit reference to any national regulations related to disposal of biological waste. D. Confirm the indicator 4.1.6 - Investigation of major mortality events and time to allow protocol dispose and the it is not protocol for biosecure disposal of biological waste. 4.1.6 Indicator: Immediate investigation of all mortality events on at any introlation of major mortality events and indicator 4.1.6 - Investigation of major mortality events and identify the actions taken. Collected data hat is it so protocol for biosecure disposal of biological waste. 4.1.6 Indicator: Immediate investigation of all mortality events on at any introlation of major mortality events and identify the actions taken. Collected data hat is its operatical for farms to atter rates requires immediate protocol for biosecure disposal of biological waste. 4.1.6 Indicator: Immediate investigation of all mortality events on at identify the actions taken. Collected data hat	_	_		
4.1.5 Indicator: Bio secure disposal of all mortalities and fish trimmings a. Maintain records for disposal of all mortalities and fish trimmings. b. Verify that 4.1.5 Requirement: Yes b. Create a protocol (r.1.5b), make copiloit reference to any national regulations related to table a protocol (r.1.5b), make copiloit reference to any national regulations related to disposal of biological waste. c. In the above protocol (r.1.5b), make copiloit reference to any national regulations related to disposal of biological waste. c. Control to Clients for Indicator 4.1.6 - Investigation of major Mortality Events and attempt to iden in the experiment of detrib increases and mark the number of detrib increases and maximum complicated of unattributed, further investigation of all mortality events and attempt to iden in the experiment is unapplicated of unattributed, further investigation of all mortality revents and itensity to identify table mortality is used in addition of detrib increases and mark the number of detrib increases and in instances where mortality remains unexplained of unattributed, further investigation of all mortality ates and is mortality events. A. Verify that the analyse of detrib increases and in mortality events and identify the actions taken. Collected data information and a mortality events and identify the actions of detection. A. Verify that the analyse of the information of detection. 4.1.6 Indicator: Yes Applicability: All D. For each major mortality events and identify the actions taken. Collected data information and the institute and fillation of detection. A. Verify that the attribute of the information and the institute of the informatial protomortality events in a lace whore indecisional perform an		Applicability: All	-	D. Confirm that re
4.1.5 Indicator: Bio-secure disposal of all mortalities and fish trimmings b. Create a protocol for biosecure disposal of biological tissue and fish trimmings with a mortalities and fish trimmings with a mortality and the data protocol (4.1.5b) make explicit reference to any national regulations related c . C verify that and the data protocol (4.1.5b) make explicit reference to any national regulations related c . Second fish degree and that it is not protocol (4.1.5b) make explicit reference a time protocol (4.1.5c) is not required when farms protocol of and mortality events and attempt to identify the exclose that the number of earth increases significantly or unattributed, further investigation with fish health professionals of fiste [22] Instruction to Clients for Indicator 4.1.6 requires that a collected data is mortality events and identify the actions taken. Collected data is mortality and the taxis balance. A. Verify that mortality and the taxis balance. 4.1.6 Indicator: Yes A. C for investigation of major mortality events that are coducted on site, maintain a record of the taxis balance. C. Verify evid the tests used and the results balance. 4.1.6 Indicator: Minimum frequency			a. Maintain records for-disposal of all mortalities and fish trimmings.	A. Verify that the
Applicability: All c. In the above protocol (4.1.5b), make explicit reference to any national regulations related to disposal of biological waste. c. Verify that Indicator: Immediate investigation of all mortality events on site and, in instances where mortality revents on site and, in instances where mortality events on site and, in instances where mortality events on site and, in instances where mortality revents on site and, in instances where mortality revents on site and, in instances where mortality revents on site and, in instances where mortality events on site and, in instances where mortality events on site and, in instances where mortality revents on site and, in instances where mortality events on site and, in instances where mortality revents on site and, in instances where mortality events in an event of all mortality events and identify the actions taken. Collected data should indicate a baseline mortality as well as major mortality events. A verify that a core mortality events in a 1.6a, maintain records to show that the farm function in a protocol (c. Verify event identified in 4.1.6a, maintain a record of c. Verify event in the site instrevere the and final to on site investigation and in a	4.1.5	Requirement: Yes	b. Create a protocol for biosecure disposal of biological tissue and fish trimmings with a rationale explaining how biosecurity is achieved.	B. Verify that the mortalities and fi
4.1.6 - <td></td> <td>Applicability: All</td> <td>c. In the above protocol (4.1.5b), make explicit reference to any national regulations related to disposal of biological waste.</td> <td>C. Verify that rele</td>		Applicability: All	c. In the above protocol (4.1.5b), make explicit reference to any national regulations related to disposal of biological waste.	C. Verify that rele
4.1.6 Indicator: Immediate investigation of all mortality events on attempt to identify rease investigate all major mortality events and attempt to identify a severable mortality reases used that the number of deaths increases significantly on the verable mortality reases of the investigate all major mortality events. 4.1.6 Indicator: Immediate investigation of all mortality events on site and, in instances where mortality remains unexplained or unattributed, further investigation with fish health professionals of faste [22]. Note: An on-site investigation of mortality events and identify the actions taken. Collected data should indicate a baseline mortality as well as major mortality events. A. Verify that mortality and the abs increases is profile and the investigation of all mortality and the absolution of mortality events and identify the actions taken. Collected data should indicate a baseline mortality as well as major mortality events. A. Verify that mortality and the absolution of mortality events and identify the actions taken. Collected data should indicate a baseline mortality as well as major mortality events. A. Verify that mortality and the absolution of mortality events and identify the actions taken. Collected data should indicate a baseline mortality as well as major mortality events. A. Verify that mortality and the absolution of mortality events and identify the action of a site (22). Applicability: All b. For each major mortality events in 4.1.6c where the results were unexplained or unattributed, have a relevant fish health professional perform an off site investigation and be active events and the results obtained. D. Verify that mortality events in 4.1.6c where the results were unexplained or unattributed, have a relevant fish health professional perform an o			-	D. Confirm that re
4.1.6 and, in instances where mortality remains unexplained or unattributed, further investigation with fish health professionals off site [22] a. Maintain records of all mortality as well as major mortality events. A. Verify that should indicate a baseline mortality as well as major mortality events. A. Verify that mortality and mortality and mortality events. 4.1.6 Requirement: Yes b. For each major mortality events identified in 4.1.6a, maintain records to show that the farm undertook immediate investigation (i.e. within 24 hours of detection). B. Review-receach mortality events that are coducted on site, maintain a record of c. Verify evid the tests used and the results obtained. C. For investigation of major mortality events that are coducted on site, maintain a record of c. Verify that mortality event fish health professional perform an off site investigation and test uses a dat the results obtained. D. Verify that mortality events in 4.1.6c where the results were unexplained or unattributed, have a relevant fish health professional perform an off site investigation and test are proved of their opinion as to cause. D. Verify that mortality events in 4.1.6c where the results were unexplained or unattributed, have a relevant fish health professional perform an off site investigation and test performan fish cause. 4.1.7 Indicator: Minimum frequency of inspection of the farm by a designated veterinarian [22] who is specialized in aquatic animal health. The inspection must review the farm health plan. A. Verify that 4.1.7 Requirement: ≥ 1 inspection per year, at a time when the site is in production D. Obtain signature from designated veterinarian confirming inspection and		Indicator: Immediate investigation of all mortality events on site	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 4.1.6 - Investigation of major Mortality Events Indicator 4.1.6 requires that farms immediately investigate all major mortality events and att fish experience a sharp increase in mortality rates such that the number of deaths increases s have variable mortality rates over the course of their life cycle and that it is not practical for f rates requires immediate action and farms shall investigate the cause for all major mortality Note: An on-site investigation of mortality events (4.1.6c) is not required when farms procee	empt to identify c significantly over b farms to attempt t events. d immediately to l
Requirement: Yes Applicability: All b. For each major mortality event identified in 4.1.6a, maintain records to show that the farm undertook immediate investigation (i.e. within 24 hours of detection). B. Review-recere each mortality events immediate investigation (i.e. within 24 hours of detection). B. Review-recere each mortality events in 4.1.6a, maintain records to show that the farm undertook immediate investigation (i.e. within 24 hours of detection). B. Review-recere each mortality events in 4.1.6a, maintain a record of the tests used and the results obtained. C. Verify evid d. For any major mortality events in 4.1.6c where the results were unexplained or unattributed, have a relevant fish health professional perform an off site investigation and keep a record of their opinion as to cause. D. Verify that 4.1.7 Indicator: Minimum frequency of inspection of the farm by a designated veterinarian [22] who is specialized in aquatic animal health. The inspection must review the farm health plan. A. Werify that 4.1.7 Requirement: > 1 inspection per year, at a time when the site is in production D. Obtain signature from designated veterinarian confirming inspection and date. B. Verify that 4.1.7 Applicability: All - - D. Use feed reference in the farm's designated veterinarian. C. Verify that	4.1.6	and, in instances where mortality remains unexplained or unattributed, further investigation with fish health professionals off site [22] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	a. Maintain records of all mortality events and identify the actions taken. Collected data should indicate a baseline mortality as well as major mortality events.	A. Verify that the mortality and ide
4.1.7 Requirement: ≥ 1 inspection per year, at a time when the site is in production a. Maintain on site, a current (within 3 years) CV of the farm's designated veterinarian. b. Verify that 4.1.7 Applicability: All c. Maintain on site, a current (within 3 years) CV of the farm's designated veterinarian. c. Verify that			b. For each major mortality event identified in 4.1.6a, maintain records to show that the farm undertook immediate investigation (i.e. within 24 hours of detection).	B. Review-records each mortality ev
4.1.7 Indicator: Minimum frequency of inspection of the farm by a designated veterinarian [22] who is specialized in aquatic animal health. The inspection must review the farm health plan. a. Maintain log showing the date of visit, title and affiliation of designated veterinarian. A. Verify that b. Obtain signature from designated veterinarian confirming inspection and date. B. Verify that c. Maintain on site, a current (within 3 years) CV of the farm's designated veterinarian. C. Verify that c. Maintain on site, a current (within 3 years) CV of the farm's designated veterinarian. D. Use feed reference of the farm's designated veterinarian.			c. For investigation of major mortality events that are coducted on site, maintain a record of the tests used and the results obtained.	C. Verify evidence
4.1.7 Indicator: Minimum frequency of inspection of the farm by a designated veterinarian [22] who is specialized in aquatic animal health. The inspection must review the farm health plan. a. Maintain log showing the date of visit, title and affiliation of designated veterinarian. A. Verify that 4.1.7 Requirement: ≥ 1 inspection per year, at a time when the site is in production b. Obtain signature from designated veterinarian confirming inspection and date. B. Verify that Applicability: All - - D. Use feed reference			d. For any major mortality events in 4.1.6c where the results were unexplained or unattributed, have a relevant fish health professional perform an off site investigation and keep a record of their opinion as to cause.	D. Verify that farr mortality events.
4.1.7 designated veterinarian [22] who is specialized in aquatic animal health. The inspection must review the farm health plan. b. Obtain signature from designated veterinarian confirming inspection and date. B. Verify that 4.1.7 Requirement: ≥ 1 inspection per year, at a time when the site is in production c. Maintain on site, a current (within 3 years) CV of the farm's designated veterinarian. C. Verify that Applicability: All - D. Use feed reference		Indicator : Minimum frequency of inspection of the farm by a	a. Maintain log showing the date of visit, title and affiliation of designated veterinarian.	A. Verify that an i
4.1.7 Requirement: ≥ 1 inspection per year, at a time when the site is in production c. Maintain on site, a current (within 3 years) CV of the farm's designated veterinarian. C. Verify that Applicability: All - D. Use feed reference		 designated veterinarian [22] who is specialized in aquatic animal health. The inspection must review the farm health plan. Requirement: ≥ 1 inspection per year, at a time when the site is in production Applicability: All 	b. Obtain signature from designated veterinarian confirming inspection and date.	B. Verify that insp
Applicability: All D. Use feed re	4.1./		c. Maintain on site, a current (within 3 years) CV of the farm's designated veterinarian.	C. Verify that the
			-	D. Use feed recor

elevant staff are aware of nature and intent of protocols through interview.

farm maintains records for disposal of all mortalities and fish trimmings.

farm's protocol provides an adequate rationale to ensure biosecure disposal of sh trimmings.

evant national legislation has been appropriately accounted for in the protocol.

elevant staff are aware of nature and intent of protocols through interviews.

cause. For the purposes of this Standard, a mortality event is any time period where background levels when compared on a monthly basis. The SC recognizes that fish to explain or investigate every fish death. Nonetheless, a sudden increase in mortality

have all major mortality events investigated off-site (4.1.6d).

farm maintains-records of all mortality events and actions taken. Verify baseline entify major mortality events.

s and supporting evidence to confirm that the farm undertook an investigation of vent within 24 hours of detection.

e of records and methods used on site to investigate major mortality events.

m has a record of opinion from fish health expert for off site investigations of major

inspection log is maintained.

pections frequency is compliant with requirements.

credentials of the designated veterinarian conform to the definition in Footnote 22.

ds to ensure that inspections occurred during production.

Footnote	[22] A designated veterinarian is the professional responsible for he fish disease control. In some countries such as Norway, a fish health veterinarian throughout the standards document.	ealth management on the farm who has the legal authority to diagnose disease and prescribe n biologist or other professional has equivalent professional qualifications and is equivalent to	medication. He/sh
	Indicator : Evidence that maximum stock density was determined jointly by the designated veterinarian [22] and site management	a. Include rationale for maximum stock density in the farm health plan (see 4.1.1) that refers to peer reviewed reference material.	A. Verify that a se contains relevant legitimacy and qu
4.1.8	Requirement: Yes	b. Obtain a statement signed by the designated veterinarian and site manager confirming their joint determination of maximum stock density.	B. Verify that the have jointly deter
	Applicability: All	-	C. Verify through maximum stock d
Criterion 4.2 C	hemicals and treatments		•
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
4.2.1	Indicator: Presence of a treatment plan, treatment record book and farm health history that includes a detailed recording of all treatments and all health events on the farm, as well as written veterinary prescriptions and receipts Requirement: Yes	a. Create requisite protocols which include at a mimimum: name of the veterinary health professional prescribing treatment; product name and chemical name (for all therapeutants and antimicrobials); treatment plan and reason for use (specific disease); date(s) of treatment; amount (g) of product used; dosage; quantity of fish treated (mt); WHO classification of any antibiotics used; and supplier of chemicals or therapeutants.	A. Verify that farm prescriptions.
	Applicability: All	b. Maintain all required records and receipts listed in 4.2.1a.	B. Verify that the cross-check presc
	Indicator: Use of therapeutic treatments, including antibiotics or	a. Maintain a list of therapeutants (including antibiotics) banned by the EU and update the list no less than annually.	A. Cross-check rec EU law.
4.2.2	other treatments, that are banned under European Union (EU) law Requirement: Not permitted	b. Ensure that staff responsible for purchasing and administering therapeutants (including antibiotics) are aware of banned therapeutants listed in 4.2.2a.	B. Verify through under EU law is no
	Applicability: All	c. Maintain records of voluntary and/or mandatory chemical residue testing conducted or commissioned by the farm from the prior and current production cycles.	C. As applicable, r that no EU banne
4.2.3	Indicator: Prophylactic use of antimicrobial treatments (excluding prebiotics and probiotics that have been approved by a regulatory process that included a risk assessment) [23] Requirement: Not permitted	Instructions to Clients for Indicator 4.2.3 - Use of Prebiotic and Probiotic Treatments Under Indictor 4.2.3, the prophylactic use of chemical antimicrobial treatments is not permit usage has been approved by a regulatory process that includes a risk assessment [23]. For su - present the auditor with the outcome of a risk assessment; - demonstrate that the regulatory body stipulates clearly who may conduct such risk asses - show that the risk assessor met these qualifications; and - show the auditor the portion of the risk assessment that articulates both allowance for t The CAB shall review the above evidence to determine if prebiotics and/or probiotics usage of EU law (see Indicator 4.2.2). If the CAB determines that farm usage of prebiotics and probiot Note: The washing of eggs with chemical antimicrobial treatments is permitted under this sta	ted. However the c ch usage to be con ssments; the prebiotics or pr qualifies for exclusi ics is in compliance andard.

ne is expected to have a degree in veterinary medicine and a strong background in purposes of these standards. This definition applies to all references to a

ection is included in the farm health plan that rationalizes stocking density and references. Cross-check a sample of the peer-reviewed citations to confirm uality.

farm has a signed statement from the designated veterinarian and site manager who rmined the maximum stock density.

interviews with site manager that he/she was consulted in the decision to determine density.

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

m has a treatment plan and records of all treatments, health events and veterinary

farm has records and receipts that match treatments over a subsample of time and criptions and treatment records against the FHP.

ceipts for treatments/therapeutants and confirm that none are items banned under

interviews with staff that they are aware that the use of therapeutants banned ot permitted.

review results from any voluntary or mandatory chemical residue testing to verify ed substances were detected.

use of prebiotics and probiotic treatments is excluded from this requirement if their nsidered under this exclusion, farms must:

robiotics in use along with a rationale that references peer reviewed literature. ion. Farms shall not use any prebiotic or probiotic compounds that are banned under e with requirements, the CAB shall provide a rationale in the audit report.

	Applicability: All	a. Inform the CAB if the farm used any prebiotic or probiotic treatments for the last full production cycle and, if applicable, provide chemical names.	A. Determine if th verify that the che report.
		b. Maintain records of all chemical antimicrobial treatments for the last full production cycle as per 4.2.1a and 4.2.1b.	B. Verify records c antimicrobial com
		c. Provide records to show that all chemical anitmicrobial treatments identified in 4.2.3b were prescribed by the farm's veterinary health care professional before application.	C. Review records prescriptions to ve
Footnote	[23] The washing of eggs is permitted under this standard.		
	Indicator : Public disclosure of all antimicrobial treatments used on the farm	Instructions to Clients for Indicator 4.2.4 - Public Disclosure of Antimicrobial Treatments Indicator 4.2.4 requires that farms make public disclosure of all antimicrobial treatments use applications of antibiotic treatments in order to better inform interested parties about the e easily accessible to any interested party. Generally it is envisioned that farms will make discle public disclosures using other forms of media (e.g. newspaper ads, list server notifications, e In some situations, it may be impractical for a farm to make discosure via the internet (e.g. b public disclosure using the ASC website. To do so, farms must use the form in Appendix VI of used on the farm over the last full production cycle. The farm then submits this information the	ed on the farm. It is xtent of their use. I osures via the inter mail distributions) ecause of poor inte the ASC <u>Salmon</u> St to ASC for publicat
4.2.4	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	a. Maintain records of all antimicrobial treatments for the last full production cycle as per 4.2.1b.	A. Review farm re production cycle.
		b. Make a public disclosure of all the antimicrobial treatments listed in 4.2.4a. by publishing the information on the farm's website or via another more effective medium (see Instructions).	B. Verify that the information is rea
		c. As an alternative to 4.2.4b, farms may choose to make a public disclosure using the ASC website. If applicable, use the form in Appendix VI of the ASC <u>Salmon</u> Standard to list all antimicrobial treatments used on the farm. Then submitt the completed form to ASC for publication on the ASC website.	C. If applicable, ve submitted the info
		Note 1: Farms have the option to certify only a portion of the fish or farm site when WHO-lis request an exemption from the CAB in advance of the audit and provide sufficient records give	ted antibiotics have ving details on whi
		Note 2: It is recommended that the farm veterinarian review the WHO list in detail and be a all drugs.	ware that the list is
		Note 3: An exception is made for the use of oxolinic acid	

ne farm's use of prebiotics or probiotics qualifies for an exclusion (see Instructions), emical compounds are not banned in the EU, and provide a rationale in the audit

of treatments and cross-check against purchases and inventories of chemical npounds.

s of antimicrobial treatments and cross-check against health screenings and verify there is no evidence of prophylactic treatments.

the intent of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard that certified farms make public all In this context, a public disclosure means that the farm has made the information rnet (e.g. by posting on the farm website). However ASC will allow farms to make if they are shown to be a more effective way to inform interested parties.

ernet access or lack of a company website). Such farms may choose to make their tandard (not the ASC <u>Trout</u> Standard) to list all antimicrobial treatments that were ion on the ASC website.

ecords (4.2.1b) to identify all antimicrobial treatments used for the last full

farm has disclosed information about antimicrobial treatments and that the adily accessible by the public.

erify that the farm has completed Appendix VI from the Sal mon Standard and formation to ASC for publication.

ve been used at the production facility (see 4.2.5d). To pursue this option, farms must ich pens were treated and traceability of those treated fish.

s meant to show examples of members of each class of drugs, and is not inclusive of

		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):			
Criterion 5.1 T	Criterion 5.1 Traceability and transparency of raw materials in feed				
PRINCIPLE 5: U	PRINCIPLE 5: USE RESOURCES IN AN ENVIRONMENTALLY EFFICIENT AND RESPONSIBLE MANNER				
	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	c. Where the veterinary health professional has listed a disease that does not have a commercially viable vaccine, or a when an existing vaccination has not been administered (for whatever reason), request that the veterinary health professional supplies a written rationale for avoiding vaccination in the vaccination record.	C. If a vaccine exist professional provio unusual or weak.		
4.2.6	designated veterinarian	b. Maintain a record of all vaccinations administered.	B. Verify that the f		
	Indicator : Proactive vaccination against diseases that present a risk in the region and for which an effective, legally authorized and commercially viable vaccine exists, as determined by the farm's	a. Request that the veterinary health professional creates a record listing diseases that present a risk in the region and the relevant, available vaccine (or absence of a suitable vaccine).	A. Verify that the f vaccine or states t		
		d. If yes to 4.2.5c, request an exemption from the CAB to certify only a portion of the farm. Prior to the audit, provide the CAB with records sufficient to establish details of treatment, which pens were treated, and how the farm will ensure full traceability and separation of treated fish through and post- harvest.	D. Review the farn satisfactorily demo		
		c. If the farm <u>has</u> used antibiotics listed as critically important (4.2.5a) to treat any fish during the current production cycle, inform the CAB prior to scheduling audit.	C. Make note of th provides additiona		
4.2.5	Indicator: Allowance for use of antibiotics listed as critically important for human medicine by the WHO Requirement: None Applicability: All	b. If the farm has <u>not</u> used any antibiotics listed as critically important (4.2.5a) in the current production cycle, inform the CAB and proceed to schedule the audit.	B. During the on-si the farm.		
		a. Maintain a current version of the WHO list of antimicrobials critically and highly important for human health .	A. Confirm that the		

he farm has the current copy of the WHO list of antibiotics.

ite audit, verify that no antibiotics listed as "critically important" have been used on

he farm's antibiotic usage and do not schedule an on-site audit until the client al information as specified in 4.2.5d.

m's exemption request and supporting documents to verify that the farm can constrate traceability to merit an exemption.

farm holds a list of the regional diseases that also gives the relevant, available the absence of a suitable vaccine.

farm maintains a vaccination record.

sts for a regional disease but was not administered, ensure that the farm's health rided a rationale. Consult outside expert for a second opinion if the rationale is

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

		Instruction to Clients and CABs for Auditing Indicators 5.1.1 through 5.4.4 - Sourcing of Res Farms must show that all feeds used by the farm are produced in compliance with the requir producers (see note 1) to demonstrate compliance. Farms will need to obtain from their feed information systems and information handling processes to allow the feed producers to be a the feed producer that are provided to the farm to demonstrate compliance with these indic informed of the requirements of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard relating to sourcing of r In addition to the above, farms must also show that their feed suppliers comply with the mo ASC Freshwater Trout Standard permits two methods for demonstrating compliance with the Method #1. This method requires the farm to buy feed that contains the ingredients as speci process did indeed produce this special feed for the farmer. Method #2. Farmers also have a second option, commonly referred to as the "mass-balance audit, that it purchased the appropriate amount and type of ingredients to supply feed to all Standard . These ingredients, however, would be mixed into the general silos and productior production lines. This mass-balance approach is commonly used in other certification schem included in a mass-balance approach are primary fishmeal and fish oil inputs, as well as vege Note 1: The term "feed producer" is used here to identify the organization that produces the (i.e. the feed supplier) will be the same organization that produced the feed, but there may the whether the farm sources feeds directly from a feed producer or indirectly through an interr compliance with requirements.	ponsibly Produced ements of Indicato d producers the res- ble to bring forwar cators must be supp esponsibly produced re detailed require e standard: fied in these stand approach." With the its customers requin- tis customers requin- tion situations table ingredients set e fish feed (i.e. it is to be instances where nediary organizations)
5.1.1	Indicator: Evidence of traceability, demonstrated by the feed producer, of feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed [24] Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	 a. From each feed producer obtain a list of all ingredients representing more than 1% by weight of the feed as specified in Indicator 5.1.2 (below). b. For all feed ingredients identified in 5.1.1.a, provide copies of third-party documentation showing certified traceability of the production site and (for fish products), fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method. c. For three ingredients of marine origin (fewer if fewer are used), collate three examples of traceback procedures conducted by a third-party auditor for the selected feed ingredients to the point of landing and vessel, in the source fishery. d. For producers wishing to source from a feed manufacturer using a mass balance approach, provide a report from an onsite third-party audit of the feed manufacturer to assure traceability as in 5.1.1.b. 	A. Confirm that th B. Verify that farm custody capable o C. Review example D. Verify that aud the feed manufac
Footnote	[24] Traceability should be at a level of detail that permits the feed will need to supply the farm with third-party documentation of the	producer to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in this document (i.e., marine ra major ingredients covered under this requirement (e.g., marine ingredients, soy).	w ingredients mus
5.1.2	Indicator: Presence of a list of all ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed Requirement: Yes	a. Obtain a statement from each feed supplier (on company letterhead) identifying all feed ingredients that make up more than 1% of the feed by weight. Market names must be accompanied by scientific latin names for natural ingredients and formal chemical nomenclature for synthetic products.	A. Confirm that th
	Applicability: All	-	B. During the on-s

Copyright © 2014, 2019 Aquaculture Stewardship Council. All rights reserved by Aquaculture Stewardship Council

d Trout Feeds

brs 5.1.1 through 5.4.4. To do so, trout producers must work directly with their feed sults from third-party audits which demonstrate that feed producers have robust rd accurate information about their production and supply chains. Declarations from ported by the audits. Farms must also show that all of their feed producers are duly ed trout feed (see 4.1.1b below).

ments for traceability of feed ingredients listed under Indicator 5.1.1. and 5.2.3. The

lards and provide an auditor with third-party documentation that the manufacturing

his option, the farm's feed manufacturer must demonstrate, using a third-party uesting specific ingredients through schemes such as the ASC Freshwater Trout facturer, greatly reducing costs associated with special storage capacity and s such as purchasing "green" energy off an electricity grid. Ingredients that could be uch as soy.

the "feed manufacturer"). In most cases, the organization supplying feed to a farm e feed suppliers are not directly responsible for feed production. Regardless of on, it remains the farm's obligation to show evidence that all feeds used are in

he farm obtains relevant ingredient lists for all feeds used (also see 5.1.2a).

n has a copies of certificates from the feed manufacturer demonstrating chain of of tracing back to fishing area, landing site, species and harvest method

les of tracebacks for completeness and confirm compliance.

lit reports contain evidence of appropriate mass-balance records and procedures at cturer (if applicable).

st be traced back to the fishery, soy to the region grown, etc.). Feed manufacturers

he farm has a complete lists of ingredients for all feeds being used.

site inspection, verify that the farm is using only the types of feeds listed in 5.1.2a.

Criterion 5.2 Responsible origin of marine raw materials

		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
5.2.1		Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.2.1 - Feeds Containing Products that are Certified under ISEAL is the International Social and Environmental Accreditation and Labelling Alliance - a gl These requirements strive to meet the ISEAL guidelines for standard setting. The farm's feed appropriate amount and kind of "certified" ingredients to supply feed to all of its customers silos and production lines, reducing costs associated with special storage capacity and produ- remains an option.	er an ISEAL-Accred lobal association fo d manufacturer ma making a similar ro uction lines. This co
	Indicator: Fishmeal and fish oil used in feed that comes from	a. Prepare a policy stating the company's support of efforts to shift feed manufacturers purchases of fishmeal and fish oil to fisheries certified under a scheme that is an ISEAL member and has guidelines that specifically promote responsible environmental management of small pelagic fisheries. Include supporting text from the relevant portion of the certification scheme showing management unique to small pelagics.	A. Verify that the http://www.iseal
	has guidelines that specifically promote responsible environmental management of small pelagic fisheries	 b. Prepare a letter stating the farm's intent to preferentially source feed containing fishmeal and fish oil originating from fisheries certified under the type of certification scheme in 5.2.1a and inform all feed suppliers. 	B. Verify that the accordingly.
	Requirement: Not required Applicability: All	c. Use feed inventory and feed supplier declarations in 5.1.2a to develop a list of the origin of all fish products used as feed ingredients.	C. Confirm that the demonstrate com
		d. Use the list from 5.2.1c to identify which fishmeal and fish oil feed ingredients come from fisheries certified under a scheme that is ISEAL-accredited and has guidelines that specifically promote responsible environmental management of small pelagic fisheries.	D. Confirm that tl
		e. Starting 7 February 2016, provide evidence that the volume of certified ingredients (result from 5.2.1d) is ≥ 10% of the total volume of fishmeal and fish oil ingredients (result from 5.2.1c).	E. As of 7 Februar does not apply.
		f. Starting 7 February 2018, provide evidence that 100% of fishmeal and fish oil used in feed come from certified fisheries as per 5.2.1d.	F. As of 7 Februar applies.

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

dited Scheme

or social and environmental standards systems (see http://www.isealalliance.org). ay use the "mass balance approach" to demonstrate that it purchased the equest over a given period of time. It is understood that ingredients will be mixed in ould be done instead of requiring documentation for a single batch per farm, which

e client's policy supports responsible feed sourcing (e.g. programs at lalliance.org/about-standards/sectors-covered/fishing).

client has prepared a letter of intent and has notified feed all its suppliers

he farm has sufficient evidence for the origin of all fish products in feed to pliance with indicator 5.2.1.

he farm identifies which ingredients are certified as described in 5.2.1d.

ry 2016, review evidence and confirm compliance. Prior to 7 February 2016, 5.2.1e

ry 2018, review evidence and confirm compliance. Prior to 7 February 2018, 5.2.1E

	Indicator : Prior to 100% achievement of 5.2.1, the Fishsource [26] score required for the fisheries from which marine raw material in feed is derived (excluding trimming and by-products)	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.2.2 - FishSource Score of Products Used in Feed To determine FishSource scores of fish species used as feed ingredients, do the following: - go to http://www.fishsource.org/ - select "Species" drop down tab to the left - select the species that is utilized by the farm as a source of fish meal or oil - confirm that the search identifies the correct species, then select the top tab that reads - Review scores to verify compliance.	"Scores" ements of the Star
5.2.2.	Requirement: All individual scores ≥ 6 , and "Current Health" score ≥ 6	website), then the feed does not meet requirements of the Standard. Contact FishSource via SFP, a qualified independent third party may be contracted to conduct an assessment of the standard operating procedures. Note: Indicator 5.2.2. applies to fishmeal and oil from reduct	Sustainable Fisher source fishery usir ion fisheries and r
	Applicability: All	a. Provide a FS score for each fish species identified as a feed ingredient (see 5.1.2a) for all feeds used by the farm during the last 12 months. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	A. Verify that the
		-	B. For a subsamp validity of the far
Footnote	[26] Fishsource scores and their methodology are available here: ht	ttps://www.fishsource.org and https://www.fishsource.org/search?query=.	
5.2.3	Indicator : Prior to 100% achievement of 5.2.1, demonstration of chain of custody and traceability for fisheries products in feed through an ISEAL-accredited or ISO 65-compliant certification scheme that incorporates the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization's "Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries"	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.2.3 - Third-Party Verification of Traceability Indicator 5.2.3 requires that farms show that their feed producers can demonstrate chain of of feed producers (see 5.1.1b) as evidence that traceability systems are in compliance. Altern 5.1.1. by submitting evidence that suppliers, and the batches of fishmeal and oil, are certified the Marine Stewardship Council Chain of Custody Standard. For the first audit, a minimum of 6 months of data on feed is required and evidence shall rela	custody and trace latively, farms man I to the Internatio Ite to species used
	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	a. Obtain from the feed supplier documentary evidence that the origin of all fishmeal and fish oil used in the feed is traceable via a third-party verified chain of custody or traceability program.	A. Review eviden was used for the
		b. Ensure that all species within the scope of the chain of custody or traceability program align with fish meal and fish oil ingredients used in the farm's feeds (consistent with 5.2.2.a and 5.3.1.a).	B. Verify that the
		Note: Instructions for searching the IUCN database are given under Indicator 2.1.3.	
5.2.4	Indicator : Evidence that by-product feed ingredients do not come from fish species that are categorized as vulnerable [27], endangered or critically endangered according to the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species [28]	a. Compile and maintain a list (as per 5.3.1a below) of the fishery of origin for all fishmeal and fish oil originating from by-products and trimmings.	A. Review list and
	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All except as noted in [27]	b. For each by-product species (5.2.4a) that is an ingredient of any feed used during the last 12 months, search the IUCN database to determine if it is categorized as vulnerable, endangered, or criticatlly endangered. For first audits, farm records must cover ≥ 6 months.	B. Confirm that th their IUCN Red Lis

ndard. If the species has not been assessed (i.e. it is not listed on the FishSource ries Partnerships to identify the species as a priority for assessment. If agreed with ng the FishSource methodology. The report must be reviewed following SFP's not to by-products or trimmings used in feed.

farm obtains FS scores for all fish species listed as feed ingredients.

le of fish species listed in 5.2.2a, use the FishSource online database to check the m's FS scores for the time period within two months of the onsite audit.

eability as verified through third-party audits. Farms may submit reports from audits y show that their feed producers comply with traceability requirements of Indicator anal Fishmeal and Fish Oil Organization's Global Standard for Responsible Supply or to

in said dataset.

ce and confirm that a third party verified chain of custody or traceability program fishmeal and fish oil.

scope of the chain of custody audit matches ingredient lists for feeds.

for consistency with 5.3.1a.

he farm has identified all byproducts and cross-check a subsample of species to verify st categorization.

Footnote	[27] An exception is made for sub-populations of "vulnerable" species that can demonstrate healthy populations through a fishery certified by the Marine Stewardship Council, o		
Footnote	[28] The IUCN reference can be found at http://www.iucnredlist.org/		
Criterion 5.3 D	Dependency on wild-caught marine ingredients in feed [29]		
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
Footnote	[29] The FFDR requirements are calculated for fish weighing at leas	t 10 grammes(depending on the weight of fish at entry into the farm).	
	Indicator: Fishmeal Forage Fish Dependency Ratio (FFDRm) for grow-out (calculated using formulas in Appendix III, subsection 1)	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.3.1 - Calculation of Fish Meal FFDR Farms must calculate the the Fishmeal Forage Fish Dependency Ratio (FFDRm) according to f production cycle. Farms must also show that they have maintained sufficient information in o exempted from compliance with Indicator 5.3.1. for the most recent complete production cy the auditor that: - the client understands how to accurately calculate FFDRm; - the client maintains all information needed to accurately calculate FFDRm (i.e. all feed spec - the client can show how feed used for the current production cycle will ensure that the farm	ormula presented order to make an a cle (i.e. if the FFDF s for > 6 months) f n will meet require
5.3.1	Requirement: ≤1.5 Applicability: All	 a. Maintain a detailed inventory of the feed used including: Quantities used of each formulation (kg); Percentage of fish oil in each formulation used; Source (fishery) of fish oil/EPA/DHA in each formulation used; Percentage of oil in each formulation derived from trimmings; and Supporting documentation and signed declaration from feed supplier. 	A. Verify complet manufacturer.
		b. Calculate FFDRm using formulas in Appendix III. Exclude fish meal derived from rendering of seafood by-products (e.g. the "trimmings" from a human consumption fishery).	B. Verify that rele confirm the value
Note: Non-fisl	h sources of EPA/DHA (e.g. derived from algae or yeast culture) are o	exempt from compliance against indicator 5.3.2.	
Note: Farms a	are allowed select one of two options (Option A or Option B) to dem	onstrate compliance with the requirements of Indicator 5.3.2.	
	Option A Indicator: Compliance with the following requirement: Fish Oil Forage Fish Dependency Ratio (FEDRo) for grow-	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.3.2 Option A - Calculation of Fish Oil FFDR Farms must calculate the the Fish Oil Forage Fish Dependency Ratio (FFDRo) according to for cycle. Farms must also show that they have maintained sufficient information in order to ma compliance with Indicator 5.3.2. for the most recent complete production cycle (i.e. if the FFI - the client understands how to accurately calculate FFDRo; - the client maintains all information needed to accurately calculate FFDRo (i.e. all feed sp - the client can show how feed used for the current production cycle will ensure that the f Note: exclude from these calculations oil derived from rendering of seafood by-products (e.g	mula presented in ke an accurate cal DRo of the most re ecs for > 6 month farm will meet req . the "trimmings")
5.3.2 Option A	out(calculated using formulas in Appendix III, subsection 1). Requirement: ≤2.95	a. Inform the CAB whether the farm choses Option A or Option B to show compliance. If Option A is selected, proceed directly to 5.3.2b below. Otherwise, skip to Option B in the next section.	A. Record which o compliance criter
	Applicability: All, but note that farms may choose to demonstrate compliance with either Option A or Option B under Indicator 5.3.2.	b. Maintain a detailed inventory of the feed used as specified under 5.3.1a.	B. Verify complet

approved by the technical committee of the IFFO Responsible Sourcing standard.

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

in Appendix III, subsection 1) c, using data from the most recent complete accurate calculation of FFDRm as outlined below. For first audits, farms may be Rm of the most recent crop was > 1.5) if the farm can satisfactorily demonstrate to

for the current production cycle; and ements at harvest (i.e. FFDRm < 1.5).

teness of records and that values are stated in a declaration from the feed

evant calculations were done correctly, byproducts were excluded in calculations and e complies with the standard. Include in public audit report.

Appendix III, subsection 1) c, using data from the most recent complete production culation of FFDRo as outlined below. For first audits, farms may be exempted from ecent crop was > 2.95) if the farm can satisfactorily demonstrate to the auditor that:

s) for the current production cycle; and juirements at harvest (i.e. FFDRo < 2.95).

) from a human consumption fishery.

option the client chose and proceed to evaluate compliance with the applicable set of ria.

eness of records as done for 5.3.1A.

			c. Calculate FFDRo using formulas for eFCR value as given in Appendix III .	C. Verify that rele confirm the value
		Option B Indicator : Compliance with the following requirement:	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.3.2 Option B - Calculation of EPA and DHA in Feed Farms that choose Option B must show that the feeds used by the farm do not exceed the maximum level of EI in Section 2 of Appendix III. For these calculations, farms should exclude oil derived from rendering of seafood	
	5.3.2 Option B	Maximum level of EPA/DHA content from marine sources as a percentage of fatty acids in the feed (excluding EPA/DHA from trimmings and by-products)	Option B is selected, proceed directly to 5.3.2b below. Otherwise, return to Option A in the previous section.	A. Record which c compliance criter
		Requirement: $\leq 9\%$ Applicability: All, but note that farms may choose to demonstrate compliance with either Option A or Option B under Indicator 5.3.2	b. Maintain a detailed inventory of the feed used as specified under 5.3.1a.	B. Verify complet
		compliance with either Option A or Option B under Indicator 5.3.2.	c. Calculate EPA/DHA percentage using formula in Section 2 of Appendix III.	C. Verify that rele confirm the value
	Criterion 5.4 R	esponsible origin of non-marine raw materials in feed		•
			Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
			Note: In determining whether the policies of a feed manufacturer fulfill the requirements of Indicator 5.4.1, the parties against relevant requirements covering internationally recognized moratoriums and laws.	
		Indicator: Presence and evidence of a responsible sourcing policy for the feed manufacturer for feed ingredients that comply with internationally recognized moratoriums and local laws [30] Requirement: Yes	a. Compile and maintain a list of all feed suppliers with contact information (see also 5.1.1a).	A. Review feed su
	5.4.1		b. Obtain from each feed manufacturer a copy of the manufacturer's responsible sourcing policy for feed ingredients showing how the company complies with recognized crop moratoriums and local laws [34].	B. Review policies
			c. Obtain copies of third-party audits of feed suppliers (5.1.1) and confirm that these show evidence that supplier's responsible sourcing policies are implemented.	C. Verify that the of implementatio
	Footnote	[30] Specifically, the policy shall include that vegetable ingredients,	or products derived from vegetable ingredients, must not come from the Amazon Biome as g	eographically defi
		Indicates. Decountage of covingradiants that are cortified by the	a. Prepare a letter to each feed supplier stating the farm's intention to source only feeds with soy ingredients that are certified by the Roundtable for Responsible Soy (RTRS) or equivalent.	A. Verify that the
	5.4.2	Roundtable on Responsible Soy, or equivalent [31] Requirement: 100% after February 2018	b.Keep records to show that the farm sent the letter of intent (5.4.2a) to each feed supplier.	B. Verify that the evidence may inc mail slips.
ļ				
		Applicability: All	c. Obtain and maintain declarations from all feed suppliers detailing the origin of soya in the feeds.	C. Confirm that the demonstrate com

evant calculations were done correctly, by-products were excluded in calculations and e complies with the requirement. Include in public audit report

PA/DHA content. Detailed instructions for calculating EPA and DHA content are given by-products (e.g. the "trimmings") from a human consumption fishery.

option the client chose and proceed to evaluate compliance with the applicable set of ria.

eness of records as done for 5.3.1A.

evant calculations were done correctly, by-products were excluded in calculations and e complies with the requirement. Include in public audit report

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

e CAB may also consider evidence such as certificates issued by independent third-

upplier list and cross-check against feed purchases (see also 5.1.1a).

from each feed supplier to confirm required sourcing policy is in place.

scope of third-party audits of feed suppliers includes review of policies and evidence on.

ined by the Brazilian Soya Moratorium.

farm has prepared a letter of intent.

farm sent a letter of intent (5.4.2) to each feed supplier. Acceptable forms of lude direct responses from suppliers (emails, letters confirming receipt) or certified

ne farm has sufficient evidence for the origin of soya products in feeds to appliance with indicator 5.4.2 after February 2018.

		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
Criterion 5.5 E	nergy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions (on farm)		
5.4.4		c. As applicable (based on 5.4.4a), the farm must make disclosures to all buyers listed in 5.4.4b. Maintain documentary evidence of disclosures. For first audits, farm records of disclosures must cover > 6 months.	C. As applicable, vo feed ingredients. C transgenic plant in
	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b.If disclosures about transgenic matrial are needed (based on 5.4.4a), the farm must compile a list of all buyers who may have obtained fish from the harvest in question. The list must include contact details of buyers.	B. Review the farm
	Indicator : Disclosure by the farm to the direct purchasers of its harvested fish of any feed ingredients that have contained more than 0.9% transgenic material	a. For feeds with ingredients containing > 0.9% transgenic plant material (i.e. those feeds specified in 5.4.3a), ensure that the farm can identify any harvested fish that were fed such products. If no such feeds were identified in 5.4.3a, then Indicator 5.4.4 is not applicable.	A. If applicable bas harvested fish that
Footnote	[32] Transgenic: An organism, with the exception of numan beings,	Note: for the purposes of Indicator 5.4.4, the direct purchaser or 'buyer' is considered to be t	the person or entity
-		 a. Obtain from feed suppliers a disclosure detailing all plant material used as feed ingredients (i.e. soya and others plants) and specify which of these ingredients contains >0.9% transgenic plant material by weight. 	A. Review feed sup any ingredient cor
5.4.3	Indicator: Disclosure by the feed supplier of any ingredients that contain more than 0.9% transgenic [32] plant material Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	of feed. Farms must maintain documentary evidence that the suppliersof GM-free feed ingre disclosure or declaration) from the feed manufacturer detailing each of the plant materials u >0.9% by weight. Optional: feed manufacturers may also provide farms with the results of te In cases where farmer states use of feed with NO gmo feed ingredients, he/she must demons	edients have made s sed as feed ingredi sting for Geneticall strate clear evidence
Foundle		Instruction to Clients and Auditors for Indicator 5.4.3 - Disclosure of Feed Ingredients Conta Indicator 5.4.3 requires farms to ensure that their feed suppliers disclose any transgenic plan	aining Transgenic F
Footnote	[31] The technical governance structure of the ASC must approve a	ny other certification scheme as equivalent.	
		d. Starting February 2018, provide evidence that all soya used in feed is certified by the RTRS or equivalent [31].	D. As of February 2

2018 review evidence and confirm compliance.

Plant Material

a feed ingredient where that material comprises more than 0.9% of the total weight such disclosures. Documentary evidence must include a written statement (i.e. a ients and a listing of all ingredients where transgenic plant materials comprises ly modified Organisms (GMOs) as evidence of compliance.

ce by results of biomolecular testing by the feed manufacturer.

pplier declarations to confirm that all suppliers have made a disclosure identifying ntaining >0.9% transgenic plant material.

recombination. Source EFSA.

y who makes payment to the producer in exchange for possession of harvested fish.

used on results of 5.4.3a, verify that the farm has a robust method for identifying at were reared using said feeds.

m's list of buyers and cross-check with sales records and invoices (as applicable).

verify evidence that the farm has made disclosures to all buyers about transgenic Cross-check the plant material list from feed supplier (5.4.3.a) to see that all ngredients were disclosed.

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

	Indicator: Presence of records and evidence of all energy consumption on the farm (including electric power and fuels) and evidence of an energy use assessment of on-farm energy consumption	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 5.5.1 - Energy Use Assessment Indicator 5.5.1 requires that farms must have an assessment to verify on-farm energy consur which assessment protocol must be followed so long as the energy use assessment meets the internally or it may be done externally by a third-party entity. In either case, recommended a (http://www.ghgprotocol.org/files/ghgp/public/ghg-protocol-revised.pdf) or ISO 14064-1 (http://www.ghgprotocol.org/files/ghgp/public/ghg-protocol-revised.pdf) or ISO 14064-1 (http://www.ghgerlings). However the ASC encourages companies to integrate energy For the purposes of calculating energy consumption, the relevant timeframe is 12 months (i.e.	mption. The ASC Fr e intent of the star assessment methor ttp://www.iso.org, applying for certifi gy use assessment e. annually).
5.5.1	consumption Requirement: Yes, measured in kilojoule/mt fish/year	a. Maintain records for all energy consumption on the farm by source (fuel, electricity) throughout the year.	A. Verify that the
	Applicability: All	b. Use results from 5.5.1a and relevant conversion factors to calculate the farm's total energy consumption in kilojoules (kj) during the last 12 months.	B. Review the farr consumption.
		c. Calculate the total weight of fish produced (in metric tons, mt) during the last 12 months. When calculating total annual production, it is acceptable for farms to estimate the total weight using records for processed weight or tonnage sold.	C. Cross-check the sales).
		d. Use the results of 5.5.1b divided by the results of 5.5.1c to calculate energy consumption on the farm in kilojuoule/mt fish/year.	D. Review the far
		e. Provide the CAB with evidence that the farm has had an energy use assessment (see Instructions above) within the last 12 months.	E. Verify that the
Criterion 5.6 N	Ion-therapeutic chemical inputs		
		Compliance Criteria (Required Client Actions):	
	Indicator: Percentage of combustibles contained in waterproof bunds	a. Maintain a written list of all types of combustibles used on the farm.	A. Verify that the
5.6.1	Requirement: 100% Applicability: All	b. Ensure that all combustibles are stored in waterproof bunds.	B. Verify the stora are stored in wate
	Indicator: Percentage of chemicals stored in impermeable containers or buildings	a. Maintain a detailed list of all chemicals or therapeutants on the farm.	A. Verify that the
5.6.2	Requirement: 100% Applicability: All	b. Ensure that all chemicals or therapeutants are stored in impermeable containers or buildings.	B. Verify the stora inspection that al
5.6.3	Indicator: Percentage of used lubricants recycled or turned over to a waste management company Requirement: 100%	a. Prepare a written policy or procedure explaining how used lubricants are recycled or turned over to a waste management company. If no waste management company exists, obtain a signed letter from the government agency in charge of waste disposal at the provincial/state level as confirmation.	A. Verify policy winspection. Or, ex

reshwater Trout Standard does not prescribe who must perform the assessment nor ndard as described here. Farms may perform the assessment may be performed dologies include the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard ;/iso/catalogue_detail?csnumber=38381).

ication. It does not include energy used in off-site production activities (i.e. ts across the full life cycle of products for the company.

farm maintains records for energy consumption.

m's calculations for total energy use and cross-check against farm records for energy

e farm's reported annual production against other farm data sets (e.g. harvet records,

m's energy use calculations to confirm accuracy and completeness.

farm has had an energy use assessment.

Auditor Evaluation (Required CAB Actions):

farm has a complete list of combustibles on the premises.

age locations of combustibles with responsible staff and confirm that combustibles erproof bunds during the on-site inspection.

farm has a complete list of chemicals and therapeutants on the premises.

age locations of chemicals with responsible staff and confirm during the on-site Il chemicals or therapeutants are stored in impermeable containers or buildings.

with responsible staff and observe waste containers in use during the on-site camine letter of confirmation if relevant.

	Applicability: All	b. Where waste is collected by a waste management company, maintain receipts of payment for services.	B. Verify that the
		Note: When chemical containers are re-used, it shall be only for the purpose of refilling with the same chemica mislabeling. Farms should never reuse the packaging/containers of hazardous materials.	
5.6.4	Indicator: Percentage of chemical containers turned over to a waste management company Requirement: 100%	a. Prepare a written policy explaining how the chemical containers are reused or turned over to a waste management company. If no waste management company exists, obtain a signed letter from the government agency in charge of waste disposal at the local level as confirmation that neither public nor private waste disposal services are available.	A. Verify policy w inspection. Or, ex
	Applicability: All	b. Where containers are re-used, maintain records of chemical purchases and demonstrate tallied alignment against the number of containers in re-use/re-cycled.	B. Verify containe
		- -	C. Verify that the
	Indicator: Percentage of non-hazardous, non-recyclable wastes turned over to a waste management company or landfill [33] Requirement: 100%	a. Prepare a written farm policy explaining how and which non-hazardous, non-recyclable wastes are turned over to a waste management company or buried on-site. If no waste management company exists, obtain a signed letter from the government agency in charge of waste disposal at the local level as confirmation that neither public nor private waste disposal services are available.	A. Verify farm pol wastes during the
5.6.5		b. For on-site burial of waste, show that an outside expert (hired groundwater or geology consultant with minimum of five years experience and university degree, or academic groundwater geologist) has signed a letter affirming that waste burial poses no risk of contamination to surface and underground waters. Maintain CV of outside expert on file for possible inspection.	B. Verify that farr an expert with th
	Applicability: All	c. Include a statement in the farm waste disposal policy (5.6.5a) which prohibits the burning of non-hazardous, non-recyclable wastes.	C. Verify that bur no evidence of bu
		d. Where waste is collected by a waste management company, maintain receipts of payment for services.	D. Verify that the
		e. Where waste collection is a public service, show schedule of collections.	E. Verify waste cc
Footnote	[33] In case of absence of a managed landfill in the area, farms are biodegradable must not be burned on site because of the possible	e allowed to bury non-hazardous solid wastes on site, provided all precautions have been taken e emissions of toxic gases.	to prevent the co
	Indicator : Demonstration that a farmer is aware of recycling facilities that are accessible to the farm and demonstration of a commitment to use those facilities	a. Provide a list of the three closest recycling facilities for relevant farm products (regardless of how far away these may be). Provide the auditor with contact information for the local waste management agency. If the farm is obligated to utilize a designated recycling facility (e.g. as specified in local regulations or environmental use permit), the farm shall provide this information to the auditor.	A. Contact the loc recycling facilities
5.6.6	Requirement: Yes	b. Prepare a written statement articulating the farm's commitment to recycle waste from production.	B. Review the fare the farm.

Audit Manual - ASC Freshwater Trout Standard - version 1.2

Copyright © 2014, 2019 Aquaculture Stewardship Council. All rights reserved by Aquaculture Stewardship Council

farm has records of payment to waste management company.

I. Farms should not re-fill containers with different chemicals because of the risk of

with responsible staff and observe waste containers in use during the on-site kamine letter of confirmation if relevant.

er tally based on record of chemical purchases versus containers in use/re-cycled.

farm has records of disposal or payment to waste disposal company.

licy with responsible staff and examine handling of non-hazardous, non-recyclable on-site inspection. Or, examine letter of confirmation if relevant.

m has letter affirming lack of impacts to freshwater due to buried waste protocols by the stated credentials.

ning is covered in the farm policy. During the audit, inspect the farm to verify there is urning waste materials (not allowed).

farm has records of payment to waste disposal company.

ollection schedule.

ntamination of surrounding surface and underground waters. Wastes that are not

cal waste management agency to determine accessibility of the three closest s that were identified by the farm as applicable.

m's statement of commitment to use those recycling facilities that are accessible to

	Applicability: All	c. Provide a description of the types of production waste materials and how these are either disposed of, or recycled.	C. During the on- recycling procedu
		d. Inform CAB of any infractions or fines for improper waste disposal received during the previous 12 months and corrective actions taken.	D. Review infract

	Social requirements of this Standard	shall be audited by an individual who is a lead auditor in (See ASC Farm Certification and Accreditation Requi *********	conformit rements)
PRINCIPLE 6: I	BE SOCIALLY RESPONSIBLE		
Criterion 6.1 (Child labor		
		Com	pliance Criteria
6.1.1	Indicator: Number of incidences of child [34] labour [35] Requirement: None	Note: In most countries, the law states that minimum age for employment is 15 years. There - in developing countries where the legal minimum age may be set to 14 years under the - in countries where the legal minimum age is set higher than 15 years, in which case the If the farm operates in a country where the legal minimum ages is not 15, then the employer	are two possible o developing countr legal minimum ag shall maintain do
	Applicability: All	a. Minimum age of permanent workers is 15 or older (except in countries as noted above).	
_		b. Employer maintains age records for employees that are sufficient to demonstrate complia	nce.
Footnote	[34] Child: Any person under 15 years of age. A higher age would a	pply if the minimum age law of an area stipulates a higher age for work or mandatory schoolir	g.
Footnote	[35] Child labour: Any work by a child younger than the age specified in the definition of a child.		
Footnote	[36] Young worker: Any worker between the maximum age of a child, as defined above, and under the age of 18.		
Footnote	[37] Hazard: The inherent potential to cause injury or damage to a person's health (e.g., being unequipped to handle heavy machinery safely and unprotected exposure to harmf carried out, is likely to harm the health, safety or morals of workers.		posure to harmfu
Criterion 6.2 F	Forced, bonded or compulsory labour		
		Com	pliance Criteria
	Indicator: Number of incidences of forced [38], bonded [39] or	a. Contracts are clearly stated and understood by employees. Contracts do not lead to worke programs).	rs being indebted
	compulsory labour	b. Employees are free to leave workplace and manage their own time.	
6.2.1	Requirement: None	c. Employer does not withhold employee's original identity documents.	
		d. Employer does not withhold any part of workers' salaries, benefits, property or document	s in order to oblig
	Applicability: All	e. Employees are not to be obligated to stay in job to repay debt.	
		f. Maintain payroll records and be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the at	ove.
Footnote	[38] Forced (Compulsory) Labour: All work or service that is extracted from any person under the menace of any penalty for which a person has not offered himself/herself volum "Penalty" can imply monetary sanctions, physical punishment or the loss of rights and privileges or restriction of movement (e.g., withholding of identity documents).		elf/herself volunta ents).
Footnote	[39] Bonded labour: When a person is forced by the employer or c	reditor to work to repay a financial debt to the crediting agency.	
Criterion 6.3 L	Discrimination [40] in the work environment		
		Com	pliance Criteria
Footnote	Footnote [40] Discrimination: Any distinction, exclusion or preference that has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment. Not all distinction, exclusion or preference that has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment. Not all distinction, exclusion or preference that has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment. Not all distinction, exclusion or preference that has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment. Not all distinction, exclusion or preference that has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment. Not all distinction, exclusion or preference that has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment. Not all distinction, exclusion or preference that has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment. Not all distinction, exclusion or preference that has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment. Not all distinction, exclusion or preference that has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment. Not all distinction, exclusion or preference that has the effect of nullifying or impairing equality of opportunity or treatment.		, exclusion or pref es.

Audit Manual - ASC Freshwater Trout Standard - version 1.2

Copyright © 2014, 2019 Aquaculture Stewardship Council. All rights reserved by Aquaculture Stewardship Council

site visit, interview relevant staff and make direct observations to confirm that farm ures are implemented.

ions and corrective actions, if any.

y with SAAS Procedure 200 section 3.1.

exceptions:

- y exceptions in ILO convention 138; or
- e of the country is followed.
- cumentation attesting to this fact.

chemicals). Hazardous work: Work that, by its nature or circumstances in which it is

(i.e. no 'pay to work' schemes through labor contractors or training credit

e them to continue working for employer.

arily or for which such work or service is demanded as a repayment of debt.

erence constitutes discrimination. For instance, a merit- or performance-based pay

	Indicator: Evidence of proactive antidiscrimination practice [41]	a. Employer has written anti-discrimination policy in place, stating [41] the company does not engage in or sup termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, to discrimination.
6.3.1	Requirement: Yes	b. Employer has clear and transparent company procedures that outline how to raise, file, and respond to discu
		c. Employer respects the principle of equal pay for equal work and equal access to job opportunities, promotio
		d. All managers and supervisors receive training on diversity and non-discrimination. All personnel receive non
Footnote	[41] Employers shall have written antidiscrimination policies stating disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political a	g the company does not engage in or support discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promot affiliation, age or any other condition that may give rise to discrimination.
		a. Employer maintains a record of all discrimination complaints. These records do not show evidence for discrim
	Indicator: Number of incidences of discrimination	
6.3.2	Requirement: None	b. Be advised that worker testimonies will be used to confirm that the company does not interfere with the rig
	Applicability: All	caste, national origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political affiliation or
Criterion 6.4 V	Vork environment health and safety	
		Compliance Criteria
	Indicator: Percentage of workers trained in health and safety	a. Employer has documented practices, procedures (including emergency response procedures) and policies to injury. The information shall be available to employees.
6.4.1	practices, procedures and policies Requirement: 100% Applicability: All	b. Practices, policies and procedures are regularly revised to address workplace hazards that were identified in
		c. Employees know and understand emergency response procedures.
		d. Employer conducts health and safety training for all employees on a regular basis (once a year and immediat minimization, Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) and effective use of PPE.
	Indicator: Evidence that health- and safety-related accidents are recorded and corrective actions are taken	a. Employer records all health- and safety-related accidents.
		b. Employer maintains complete documentation for all occupational health and safety violations.
6.4.2	Requirement: Yes	c. Employer implements corrective action plans in response to any accidents that occur. Plans are documented remediate, and actions to prevent future accidents of similar nature.
	Applicability: All	d. Employees working in departments where accidents have occurred can explain what analysis has been done
6.4.3	Indicator: Proof of company accident insurance covering employee costs stemming from a job-related accident or injury when not covered under national law Requirement: Yes	a. Employer maintains documentation to confirm that all personnel are provided sufficient insurance to cover Equal insurance coverage must include temporary, migrant or foreign workers. Written contract of employer r
	Applicability: All	
	Indicator: Workers use and have access to appropriate personal	a. Employer maintains a list of all health and safety hazards (e.g. chemicals).
611	protective equipment (PPE)	b. Employer provides workers with PPE that is appropriate to known health and safety hazards.
6.4.4	Requirement: Yes	c. Employees receive annual training in the proper use of PPE (see 6.4.1d).

oport discrimination in hiring, remuneration, access to training, promotion, union membership, political affiliation, age or any other condition that may give rise

rimination complaints.

ns and raises.

-discrimination training. Internal or external training is acceptable if proven effective.

ion, termination or retirement based on race, caste, national origin, religion,

mination.

hts of personnel to observe tenets or practices, or to meet needs related to race, any other condition that may give rise to discrimination.

protect employees from workplace hazards and to minimize risk of accident or

risk assessments (see Indicator 6.4.5, risk assessents revised at least annually).

tely for all new employees), including training on potential hazards and risk

and they include an analysis of root cause, actions to address root cause, actions to

and what steps were taken or improvements made.

costs related to occupational accidents or injuries (if not covered under national law). responsibility to cover accident costs is acceptable evidence in place of insurance.

-		
	Applicability: All	d. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the above.
	Indicator: Evidence of a health and safety assessment of site facilities and processes	a. Employer makes regular assessments of hazards and risks in the workplace. Risk assessments are reviewed a
6.4.5	Requirement: Yes	b. Employees are trained in how to identify and prevent known hazards and risks (see also 6.4.1d).
	Applicability: All	c. Health and safety procedures are adapted based on results from risk assessments (above) and changes are in
Criterion 6.5 V	Nages	
		Compliance Criteria
		a. Employer keeps documents to show the legal minimum wage in the country of operation. If there is no legal standard minimum wage.
	Indicator: The percentage of employees who are paid a basic needs wage [42].	b. Employer's records (e.g. payroll) confirm that worker's wages for a standard work week (≤ 48 hours) always employer's records must show how the current wage meets or exceeds industry standard. If wages are based or can reasonably attain (within regular working hours) wages that meet or exceed the legal minimum wage.
6.5.1	Requirement: 100%	c. Employer maintains documentary evidence to show compliance (e.g. payroll, timesheets, punch cards, prod confirm the above.
	Applicability: All	d. Proof of employer engagement with workers and their representative organizations, and the use of cost of I of any national basic needs wage recommendations from credible sources such as national universities or gove
		e. Employer has calculated the basic needs wage for farm workers and has compared it to the basic (i.e. currer
		f. Employer demonstrates how they ensure paying a basic needs wage to their workers.
Footnote	[42] Basic needs wage: Enables workers to support the average-sized family above the poverty line, based on local prices near the workplace. Basic needs include essential expense discretionary income, as well as legally mandated social benefits (e.g., health care, medical insurance, unemployment insurance and retirement).	
	Indicatory Evidence of transparency in wage setting	a. Wages and benefits are clearly articulated to workers and documented in contracts.
	indicator: Evidence of transparency in wage setting	b. The method for setting wages is clearly stated and understood by workers.
6.5.2	Requirement: Yes	c. Employer renders wages and benefits in a way that is convenient for the worker (e.g. cash, check, or electro receive promissory notes, coupons or merchandise in lieu of payment.
	Applicability: All	d. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the above.
Footnote	[43] A legal minimum wage will be considered a basic needs wage i wage, the auditor must determine an appropriate proxy for basic n	if it is set in a manner consistent with the intent of ensuring basic needs are met. In instances where there is no needs.
Criterion 6.6 A	Access to freedom of association and the right to collective bargainin	g [44]
		Compliance Criteria
Footnote	[44] Bargain collectively: A voluntary negotiation between employe	ers and organisations of workers to establish the terms and conditions of employment by means of collective (w
		a. Workers have the freedom to join any trade union, free of any form of interference from employers or comp
		b. Union representatives are chosen by workers without managerial interference. ILO specifically prohibits "ac support worker organizations under the control or employers or employers' organizations."
	Indicator: Incidences of employees denied freedom to associate, the ability to bargain collectively or denied access to	c. Trade union representatives have access to their members in the workplace at reasonable times on the pren
	representatives, or representative organizations, chosen by	d. Employment contract explicitly states the worker's right of freedom of association.
6.6.1	workers	e. Employer has explicitly communicated a commitment to ensure the collective bargaining rights of all worker

and updated at least annually (see also Indicator 6.4.1).

mplemented to help prevent accidents.

minimum wage in the country, the employer keeps documents to show the industry-

meet or exceed the legal minimum wage. If there is no legal minimum wage, the on piece-rate or pay-per-production, the employer's records must show how workers

uction records, and/or utility records). Be advised that workers will be interviewed to

iving assessments from credible sources to assess basic needs wages. Includes review ernment.

t) wage for their farm workers.

es (e.g., food, clean water, clothes, shelter, transportation and education), a

nic payment methods). Workers do not have to travel to collect benefits nor do they

legal minimum wage, or a legal minimum that is not set in the spirit of a basic needs

ritten) agreements.

beting organizations set up or backed by the employer.

ts which are designated to promote the establishment of worker organizations or to

nises.

rs.

	Requirement: 0 (zero)	f. Local trade union, or where none exists a reputable civil-society organization, confirms no outstanding cases association and collective bargaining rights.
	Applicability: All	g. There is documentary evidence that workers are free and able to bargain collectively (e.g. collective bargain
		h. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm the above.
Criterion 6.7 L	Disciplinary practices	
		Compliance Criteria
	Indicator: Incidences of abusive disciplinary actions	a. Employer does not use threatening, humiliating or punishing disciplinary practices that negatively impact a v
6.7.1	Requirement: None	b. Allegations of corporeal punishment, mental abuse [46], physical coercion, or verbal abuse will be investigat
	Applicability: All	c. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm there is no evidence for excessive or abusive disciplin
	Indicator: Evidence of nonabusive disciplinary policies and procedures whose aim is to improve the workers' performance [45]	a. Employer has written policy for disciplinary action which explicitly states that its aim is to assist the worker t
6.7.2	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b. Maintain documentary evidence (e.g. worker evaluation reports) and be advised that workers will be intervi
Footnote	[45] If disciplinary action is required, progressive verbal and written warnings shall be engaged. The aim should always be to improve the worker before letting him/her go. (Ind	
Footnote	[46] Mental abuse: Characterised by the intentional use of power, i	ncluding verbal abuse, isolation, sexual or racial harassment, intimidation or threat of physical force.
Criterion 6.8 (Overtime and working hours	
	1	Compliance Criteria
		a. Employer has documentation showing the legal requirements for working hours and overtime in the region accepted recommendations (48 regular hours, 12 hours overtime) then requirements of the international stan
	Indicator: Violations or abuse of working hours [47] and overtime	b. Records (e.g. time sheets and payroll) show that farm workers do not exceed the number of working hours a
6.0.4	[48] laws and agreements	c. Payment records (e.g. payslips) show that workers are paid a premium rate [49] for overtime hours.
6.8.1	Requirement: None	d. Overtime is limited and occurs in exceptional circumstances as evidenced by farm records (e.g. production r
		e. If an employer requires employees to work shifts at the farm (e.g. 10 days on and six days off), the employer evidence that employees have agreed to this schedule (e.g. in the hiring contract).
		f. Be advised that workers will be interviewed to confirm there is no abuse of working hours and overtime laws
Footnote	[47] Working hours (a.k.a. normal work week) can be defined by lave every seven-day period.	w but shall not exceed 48 hours on a regular basis (i.e., constantly or the majority of the time). Variations based
Footnote	[48] All overtime shall be paid at a premium and should not exceed 12 hours per week. In the case of exceptional or emergency events, additional overtime hours are permitted. I workers will receive a premium wage and an equal amount of time off in addition to normal time off. Overtime work shall be voluntary, except in cases where it is legal and in wh overtime in order to meet short-term business demands.	

against the farm site management for violations of employees' freedom of

ing agreements, meeting minutes, or complaint resolutions).

worker's physical and mental health or dignity.

ted by auditors.

nary actions.

to improve [45].

iewed to confirm that the disciplinary action policy is fair and effective.

ted by policy statements as well as evidence from worker testimony.)

where the farm operates. If local legislation allows workers to exceed internationally dards apply.

allowed under the law.

ecords, time sheets, and other records of working hours).

r compensates workers with an equivalent time off in the calendar month and there is

.

on seasonality may apply but personnel shall be provided with at least one day off in

n such exceptional cases, which must pose an acute and long-term threat to the farm, ch there is a collective bargaining agreement in place that permits compulsory

Footnote	[49] Premium rate: A rate of pay higher than the regular work week	rate. Must comply with national laws/regulations and/or industry standards.	
Criterion 6.9 II	nteractions with communities		
		Compliance Criteria	
	Indicator: For new farms, evidence of engagement and proactive consultation with surrounding communities, including native and/or indigenous communities about potential social impacts [50] from the farm as required bu relevant local and/or national laws and regulations.	Note: A 'new farm' is defined as an aquaculture operation where construction was completed after the publication date.	
		a. Provide evidence to show whether or not the farm fits the definition of a 'new farm' as used here. If yes, proc	
6.9.1		b. Provide results of a participatory Social Impact Assessment (p-SIA) or equivalent methodology as evidence of potential social impacts from the farm. Mandatory for all farm sites with greater than ten (10) staff/employees	
	Applicability: All new farms (see note)	c. Evidence provided in 6.9.1b should include minutes from community meetings and a log of communications access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with	
Footnote	[50] Evidence could include minutes from community meetings and physical infrastructure and cultural issues, with a particular focus o	d a log of communications with stakeholders. Social impacts to be discussed would likely include economic impa n impacts to indigenous people, where applicable.	
	Indicator: Evidence of regular proactive communication, engagement and consultation with surrounding communities, including native and/or indigenous communities. Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	a. The farm engages in consultations with the local community at least twice every year (bi-annually). Note: far include local authorities and/or elected community representatives.	
6.9.2		b. Consultations are meaningful. OPTIONAL: the farm may choose to use participatory Social Impact Assessmen with greater than ten (10) staff/employees.	
		c. Consultations include participation by elected representatives from the local community who were asked to	
		d. Maintain records and documentary evidence (e.g. meeting agenda, minutes, report) to demonstrate that co	
		e. Be advised that representatives from the local community and organizations may be interviewed to confirm	
	Indicator: Evidence of an operational grievance and conflict resolution mechanism to address community concerns Requirement: Yes	a. Farm policy provides a mechanism for presentation, treatment and resolution of grievances (i.e. complaints)	
693		b. The farm follows its policy for handling stakeholder grievances as evidenced by farm documentation (e.g. fol corrective actions).	
0.5.5			
	Applicability: All	c. The farm's mechanism for handling grievances is effective based on resolution of stakeholder complaints and	
		d. Be advised that representatives from the local community, including complainants where applicable, may be	

A farm seeking certification must have documentation from all of its fingerling and egg suppliers to demonstrat			

F	PRINCIPLE 7: REQUIREMENTS FOR FINGERLING AND EGG SUPPLIERS			
		Com	pliance Criteria	
	Indicator: Presence of documents issued by pertinent authorities	a. Obtain copies of supplier's business permit and land title deed.	A. Verify that farm applicable).	
	proving compliance with local and national authorities on land and water use, effluent regulations and use of treatments	b. Obtain records from suppliers showing discharge permit requirements as required.	B. Verify that farm requirements.	

Audit Manual - ASC Freshwater Trout Standard - version 1.2

Copyright © 2014, 2019 Aquaculture Stewardship Council. All rights reserved by Aquaculture Stewardship Council

tion date of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard 7 February 2013 or a farm that

ceed to 6.9.1b. If not, then Indicator 6.9.1 does not apply to the farm.

f the farm's engagement and consultation with surrounding communities about .

with stakeholders. Consultations should address economic impacts, natural resource h a particular focus on impacts to indigenous people, where applicable.

icts, natural resource access and use, human health and safety issues, and changes to

rms with less than 6 employees consultations once every year is sufficient. This may

nt (pSIA) or an equivalent method for consultations. Mandatory for all farm sites

contribute to the agenda.

nsultations comply with the above.

the above.

lodged by stakeholders, community members, and organizations.

low-up communications with stakeholders, reports to stakeholder describing

d community concerns (e.g. follow-up correspondence from stakeholders).

interviewed to confirm the above.

e compliance with the following requirements.

m obtains copies of business permits and land title deed from each supplier (if

m obtains records from suppliers to show compliance with discharge permit

7.1	Requirement: Yes	c. Obtain records from suppliers showing treatments used on fingerlings and eggs.	C. Verify that the f	
	Applicability: All	d. Maintain on-site copies of laws governing water use, land use, effluent regulations and chemical treatments for animals.	D. Verify that farm requirements, if a	
	Indicator: New introductions of exotic species from the date of publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard (7 February 2013), unless the hatchery/fingerling facility is a closed production	Note: For the purposes of Indicator 7.2, a species is not considered exotic if it can be shown t farm prior to publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard. Also see Indicator 2.3.1.	that the species is r	
		a. Obtain written evidence showing whether or not the fingerling and egg suppliers use closed production systems [51]. If yes, then Indicator 7.2 does not apply.	A. Verify that the t Otherwise, procee	
7.2	system [51] Requirement: None	b. Obtain written evidence showing that the fingerling and egg suppliers do not produce an exotic species. If they do not, then Indicator 7.2 does not apply.	B. Verify that the f do produce exotic	
	Applicability: All	c. If the supplier produces an exotic species, obtain written evidence that the species was widely commercially produced in the area before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard.	C. Verify that the f produced in the a	
Footnote	[51] A closed production system is defined as a facility with recircul might survive and subsequently reproduce.	ating water that is separated from the wild aquatic medium by effective physical barriers that	t are in place and w	
7.3	Indicator: Allowance for siting in National Protected Areas [52] Requirement: None [53,54] Applicability: All except as noted in 53 and 54	Instruction to Clients for Indicator 7.3 - Exceptions to Requirements that Suppliers (fry/fing For the purposes of implementing Indicator 7.3, the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard defines legal or other effective means, to achieve the long-term conservation of nature with associat 7.3: Exception #1: An exception is made for protected areas that are classified by the Internation their landscapes, or areas that include sustainable resource management [53]. Exception #2: Where farms clearly pre-date the estabishment of protected areas, the farm m protected area, and that it is in compliance with any relevant conditions placed on the suppli farm to demonstrate that its supplier is not negatively impacting the core reason an area has Where a supplier is sited in a protected areas that does not have formal national recognition rationale showing how the supplier's operation is compatible with the objectives of that prot Note: If a supplier of fingerlings or eggs has previously undertaken an independent assessme documents as evidence to demonstrate the supplier's compliance with Indicator 7.3.	Its that Suppliers (fry/fingerlings) are not Site It Trout Standard defines a protected area as on of nature with associated ecosystem server It is sified by the International Union for Conserrement [53]. Protected areas, the farm must demonstrate the itions placed on the supplier by authorities as ne core reason an area has been protected. Dermal national recognition (e.g. within a region the objectives of that protected area (as in Estimational recognition (from the objectives of that protected area (as in Estimational recognition for conserting its in the objectives of the protected area (as in Estimational recognition for conserting its in the objectives of the protected area (as in Estimational recognition for conserting its in the objectives of the protected area (as in Estimational recognition for conserting its in the objectives of the protected area (as in Estimational recognition for conserting its in the objectives of the protected area (as in Estimation of the operation for conserting its in the objective of the operation for conserting its in the objective of the operation of the operation of the operation protected area (as in Estimated operation of the operation operation (as in Estimated operation of the operation (as in Estimated operation operati	
Footnote	[52] A protected area is "A clearly defined geographical space, reco (Editor) (2008), Guidelines for Applying Protected Area Management (Editor) (2008), Guidelines (2008), (2008)	gnised, dedicated and managed, through legal or other effective means, to achieve the long-t nt Categories, Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. x + 86pp.	erm conservation o	
Footnote	[53] An exception is made for protected areas that are classified by IUCN, or the International Union for Conservation of Nature, as Category V or VI. These are areas preserved pri Details can be found here: http://www.iucn.org/about/work/programmes/pa/pa_products/wcpa_categories/.			

farm obtains treatment records from its suppliers.

n obtains records from suppliers to show compliance with water extraction permit applicable.

native to the area of farm operation or the species was established in the area of the

farm has evidence that their suppliers use only closed production systems [51]. ed to 7.2B.

farm has evidence that their suppliers do not produce an exotic species . If suppliers c species, proceed to 7.2C.

farm has evidence showing that the exotic species in 7.2c was widely commercially rea before publication of the ASC Freshwater Trout Standard.

vell maintained to ensure no escapes of reared specimens or biological material that

ted in National Protected Areas

s "a clearly defined geographical space, recognized, dedicated and managed through vices and cultural values [52]." The following exceptions shall be made for Indicator

rvation of Nature (IUCN) as Category V or VI. These are areas preserved primarily for

hat the hatchery/fingerling operation is compatible with the objectives of the is a result of the protected designation [54]. The burden of proof is placed on the

onally-designated protected area), the farm producer should provide the CAB with a Exception #2 above).

mpact as part of the regulatory permitting process, the farm may use such

nd cross-check against supplier location.

of nature with associated ecosystem services and cultural values." Source: Dudley, N.

marily for their landscapes, or areas that include sustainable resource management.

Footnote	[54] An exception is also made for farms located in protected areas that are designated as such after the farm already exists in that location. In these situations, the farm must dem area, and that it is in compliance with any relevant conditions placed on the farm as a result of the designation.		
	Indicator: Evidence of an assessment of the property for the presence of species listed on the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) "Red List of Threatened Species" as vulnerable, near threatened, endangered or critically endangered; an evaluation of the farm's impact on any such species present; and clearly defined mitigation measures to reduce any negative impacts and allow existence of such species Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	Note: Under Indicator 7.4, farms are required to have evidence showing that their fingerling and egg suppliers supplier's site (as described for Indicator 2.1.3). Suppliers may perform this assessment internally (i.e. done by supplier hires a third-party entity to conduct the assessment, farms must request evidence that the work was c consultant).	
7.4		a. Prepare a letter informing egg and fingerling suppliers that the supplier must compile a list of IUCN Red Listed species in the relevant categories that may occur on their property following the instructions in Indicator 2.1.3.	A. Verify that the to compile the list
		b. Obtain from egg and fingerling suppliers a "risk assessment" (search and mitigation plan) that evaluates how the supplier's operation impacts on any IUCN Red Listed species identified in 7.4a. The risk assessment may be done by the supplier or it may be performed by an academic ecologist or environmental consultant.	B. Verify that the suppliers and that
		c. Obtain from egg and fingerling suppliers a copy of the supplier's ETP species response plan and protocols based on the findings of the risk assessment.	C. Verify that the
	Indicator: Evidence that the egg and fingerling producer must have an equivalent or better health status than that of the grow- out facility, and must follow all national and local (jurisdictional) guidance on disease management Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	a. Obtain a written statement from egg and fingerling producers detailing the applicable national and local disease regulations and guidance on disease management which the supplier follows.	A. Verify that the supplier conforms
7.5		b. Prepare a letter informing egg and fingerling producers that they must evaluate eggs and fry using health status metrics developed by the farm's veterinary health professional (see 4.1.2a).	B. Verify that the developed by the
		c. Maintains records of the farm's evaluations of the condition of eggs and fingerlings upon delivery.	C. Verify that the delivery.
7.6	Indicator : Evidence of disclosure to the grow-out farm of all chemical and antibiotic treatments on eggs and fry, including the reason for their use and the quantity used	a. Prepare a letter informing egg and fry suppliers that they must disclose all chemical and antibiotic treatments on eggs and fry, along with stated rationale and the quantity used (see Indicator 7.1c).	A. Verify that the antibiotic treatme
7.6	Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	b.Optional: Farm may conduct voluntary set tests on a subsample of eggs and fry for each stocking event, to test for chemical and antibiotic use consistent with the supplier's declaration.	B. Auditor include antibiotic test on
77	Indicator: Allowance for the use of therapeutic treatments, including antibiotics or other treatments, that are banned under European Union (EU) law	a. Inform egg and fry suppliers in writing that the farm will not purchase from suppliers using any therapeutants or antibiotics that are banned under EU law.	A. Verify that the
	Requirement: Not permitted Applicability: All	b. Compare any results from 7.6b to the farm's EU banned list (see 4.2.2a) to show that the egg and fry suppliers do not use banned chemicals.	B. Include a stater of their supplier's
	Indicator: Presence of a fish health management plan implemented in agreement with the facility's designated	a. For every supplier of fry and egg to the farm, obtain a copy of the supplier's Fish Health Management Plan (FHMP).	A. Verify that the

nonstrate that its operation is compatible with the objectives of the newly protected

have had an assessment done for the presence of IUCN red listed species near the the supplier) or they may have the assessments done by third-party entities. If the done by suitably qualified professionals (e.g. academic ecologist or environmental

farm sent a letter to egg and fingerling supplier(s) informing them of requirements t outlined in 7.4a.

farm has a copy of the risk assessment produced on behalf of the egg and fingerling t this assessment covers the species listed in 7.4a.

farm has a copy of the egg and fry supplier(s) response plan and protocols.

farm has a written statement from the egg and fingerling producer detailing how the s to applicable national and local regulations and guidance on disease management.

farm has a copy of the letter informing its suppliers of health status metrics farm's veterinary health professional.

farm keeps records of evaluating the condition of eggs and fingerlings for each

farm has informed its suppliers that they must disclose information on chemical and ents together with the rationale for their use.

es in the audit report whether the farm has chosen to conducted chemical and a subset of samples for each major stocking event.

farm has a record of the statement sent to egg and fry suppliers.

ment in the audit report describing a) whether the farm undertook optional testing s fry/fingerlings and b) findings against the EU banned list, if any

farm obtains a FHMP from each supplier of egg and fry.

	7.8	veterinarian Requirement: Yes	b. Ensure that the egg and fry supplier's FHMP is reviewed and updated at least annually with signatures by management indicating approval.	B. Verify that the at least annually.
		Applicability: All	c. Ensure that the egg and fry supplier's designated veterinarian reviews and approves the FHMP annually and after each update of the FHMP, by signature.	C. Confirm that th designated vetering
-		Indicator: Evidence of company-level policies and procedures that demonstrate the company's commitment to each of the 8 key ILO	a. For suppliers identified in 2.4.1a, obtain a copy of the supplier's company-level policies and procedures relating to key ILO labor issues.	A. Verify that farn
	7.9	labour issues described in Principle 6 Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	-	B. Review supplie commitment to a
ľ		Indicator: Evidence of regular communication, engagement and	Note: see compliance criteria for Indicator 6.9.2.	
	7.10	consultation with surrounding communities Requirement: Yes Applicability: All	a. Ensure that the farm obtains documentary evidence from egg and fry suppliers of regular communciations with surrounding community as described under 6.9.2a, 6.9.2b, 6.9.2c and 6.9.2d	A. Examine copies verify that the far
				-

farm has record that supplier management approves review and update of the FHMP

he farm has supplier documentation showing signature and date of review by inarian.

m obtains copies of relevant company-level policies and procedures fromsuppliers.

er policies and procedures (copy provided by the farm) to verify the supplier's address each of the 8 key ILO labor issues.

s of records and documentary evidence (e.g. meeting agenda, minutes, report) to rm's suppliers performed community consultations in complance with requirements.